

**IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

YVONNE BOWERS SR.

Petitioner,

v.

LYNX ASSET SERVICES, LLC,

Respondent,

**On Petition for A Writ of Certiorari
To The Superior Court Of New Jersey
Appellate Division**

APPENDIX FOR REHEARING

Yvonne Bowers Sr., Pro Se

83 Woodbine Avenue

Newark, New Jersey 07106

Phone: 862-237-6622

TABLE OF CONTENT INDEX OF APPENDICES

B, EXHIBITS (CONTINUED)

	Page(s)
Exhibit AA Email refusal	83a
Exhibit AB Harassing Emails	85a
Exhibit AC Receipt Confirmation	89a
Exhibit AD Letter Confirmation	91a
Exhibit AE Threatening Emails	93a
Exhibit AF First Tracking Numbers	
9505514365378139210799	95a
Exhibit AG Second Tracking Numbers	
9505514365378139210805	96a

TABLE OF CONTENT INDEX OF APPENDICES**B, EXHIBITS (CONTINUED)**

	Page(s)
Exhibit AH June 22, 2018, Hearing	
Excerpts, Page 17, 18, 20	97a
Exhibit AI Deficiency Notice	103a
Exhibit AJ June 1, 2018, Hearing	
Excerpts, Page 6,	106a
Exhibit AK January 5, 2018, Hearing	
Excerpts, Page15-16	107a

83a- 84a

From: Yvonne Bowers
Sent: Sunday, May 20, 2018 6:25 PM
To: Joshua G. Curtis
Subject: RE: Reply Papers

Please send via certified mail return receipt requested by USPS pursuant 4.4-7 or FedEx or UPS. I need the use of my computer for my appeal can not chance it crashing by opening up an attachment Reply Brief. Thanks

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Joshua G. Curtis <joshuagcurtis@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2018 3:17:30 PM
To: Yvonne Bowers
Subject: Reply Papers

Ms. Bowers:

I attach:

- (1) A cover letter to the Court;
- (2) The Plaintiff's reply brief; and
- (2) The supporting certification of Joshua G. Curtis, Esq.

Thank you.

--
Joshua G. Curtis, Esq.
Court Plaza South--West Wing
21 Main Street
Suite 210
Hackensack, New Jersey 07601
Office: (201) 201-342-1700
Cell: (201) 463-4770
Fax:: (201) 488-3380

This message is sent by a lawyer and likely contains PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION intended solely for the use of the intended recipient who is likely the addressee(s) named above, though may not be if an incorrect email address was inserted. Any disclosure, additional review, distribution, copying or use of the information by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email and/or attachments and you are not the intended recipient, please notify me by immediate reply and delete the original message, as any applicable privileges and/or work product doctrine are not being waived. If you are an attorney in receipt of this email, you are bound by RPC 4.4(b) and ABA Formal Opinion 99-413 and should be guided accordingly.

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: Pursuant to Treasury Regulations, any tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used or relied upon by you or any other person, for the

85a - 86a

From: Joshua G. Curtis
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 3:55 PM
To: Yvonne Bowers
Subject: Re:

I ask again: are you denying that you received the envelope?

On Wed, May 30, 2018 at 3:50 PM, Yvonne Bowers <yvonne.bowers@hormel.com> wrote:

If you sent a hard copy, you would have mentioned it in the email. I am not believing this statement because you would never have sent an email as well as a hard copy. That is very unusual. I am well aware of how Lynx manipulates the system. Please send another copy. ASAP. Thanks

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Joshua G. Curtis <joshua@hormel.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 12:34:13 PM
To: Yvonne Bowers
Subject:

Ms. Bowers:

I sent a hard copy of the reply papers to you via Priority Mail with Confirmed Delivery and Tracking on May 19, and they arrived at your residence on May 21.

Are you claiming that you did not receive this envelope?

—
Joshua G. Curtis, Esq.
Court Plaza South--West Wing
21 Main Street
Suite 310
Hackensack, New Jersey 07601
Office: (201) 201-342-1700
Cell: (201) 463-4770
Fax: (201) 488-3380

This message is sent by a lawyer and likely contains PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION intended solely for the use of the intended recipient who is likely the addressee(s) named above, though may not be if an incorrect email address was inserted. Any disclosure, additional review, distribution, copying or use of the information by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email and/or attachments and you are not the intended recipient,

87a- 88a

From: Joshua G. Curtis
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 4:41 PM
To: Yvonne Bowers
Subject: Re: Re:

Ms. Bowers:

You're not answering my question. Do you deny receiving the envelope?

On Wed, May 30, 2018 at 4:29 PM, Yvonne Bowers <

> wrote:

Again, I do not believe the Reply Brief was ever sent by priority mail only email. I, know what you are trying to do and it will not work this time. I, have a defense that has merit and you do not know what to do next. I, just called you at 201-463-4770 around 3:57 pm and no answer so I left a voice mail on the answering machine to restate this fact. Peace

Sent from for Windows 10

From: Joshua G. Curtis <
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 3:55:07 PM
To: Yvonne Bowers
Subject: Re:

I ask again: are you denying that you received the envelope?

On Wed, May 30, 2018 at 3:50 PM, Yvonne Bowers <

> wrote:

If you sent a hard copy, you would have mentioned it in the email. I am not believing this statement because you would never have sent an email as well as a hard copy. That is very unusual. I am well aware of how Lynx manipulates the system. Please send another copy. ASAP.Thanks

Sent from for Windows 10

From: Joshua G. Curtis <
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 12:34:13 PM

89a --- 90a

NJ
07070-9998
3374850340
05/19/2018 (800)275-8777 3:57 PM
=====

Product Description	Sale Qty	Final Price
PM 1-Day Flat Rate Env (Domestic) (NEWARK, NJ 07102) (Flat Rate) (Expected Delivery Date) (Monday 05/21/2018) (USPS Tracking #) (9505 5143 6537 8139 2107 59)	1	\$5.70
Insurance (Up to \$50.00 included)	1	\$0.00
PM 1-Day Flat Rate Env (Domestic) (NEWARK, NJ 07102) (Flat Rate) (Expected Delivery Date) (Monday 05/21/2018) (USPS Tracking #) (9505 5143 6537 8139 2108 05)	1	\$5.70
Insurance (Up to \$50.00 included)	1	\$0.00
Total		\$13.40
Credit Card Remitd (Card Name:AMEX) (Account #:0000000000005024) (Approval #:871779) (Transaction #:899) (Entry Mode:Chip) (AID:A000000000000000000000000000000000) (Application Label:AMERICAN EXPRESS) (PIN:PIN Not Required) (Cryptogram:50E07FA4182869D3) (ARC:00) (CVR:550300) (IAD:05460103602002) (TSI:F800) (TVR:0000000000)		\$13.40

Includes up to \$50 Insurance

Text your tracking number to 28777 (USPS) to get the latest status. Standard Message and Data rates may apply. You may also visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Save this receipt as evidence of insurance. For information on filing an insurance claim go to <https://www.usps.com/help/claims.htm>.

Preview your Mail
Track your Packages
Sign up for FREE @
www.informedelivery.com

All sales final on stamps and postage
Refunds for guaranteed services only
Thank you for your business

HELP US SERVE YOU BETTER

TELL US ABOUT YOUR RECENT
POSTAL EXPERIENCE

Go to:
<https://postalexperience.com/Pos>

840-5070-0290-002-00022-17413-02

or scan this code with
your mobile device:



or call 1-800-410-7420

YOUR OPINION COUNTS

Bill #: 840-50700090-2-2217413-2
Clerk: 10

91a 92a

JOSHUA G. CURTIS

ATTORNEY AT LAW

COURT PLAZA SOUTH - WEST WING
21 MAIN STREET
SUITE 210
HACKENSACK, NEW JERSEY 07601

TEL: 201-342-1700
CELL: 201-463-4770

FAX: 201-460-1206
EMAIL: joshuagcurtis@gmail.com

May 31, 2018

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Yvonne Bowers
83 Woodbine Avenue
Newark, New Jersey 07102

Re: ~~Lynn~~ v. Bowers
Docket No.: ESX-1C-191-17

Dear Ms. Bowers:

On May 19, 2018, I sent you a copy of the Plaintiff's reply papers via email and USPS Priority Mail with Delivery Confirmation. I have attached the USPS receipt as proof.

Nevertheless, given your allegation that I did not send the papers, I enclose another copy of the same papers now.

Thank you.

Very truly yours,

Joshua G. Curtis

encl.

From: Joshua G. Curtis
Sent: Friday, June 1, 2018 3:06 PM
To: Yvonne Bowers
Subject: Judgment

93a ~ 94a

Dear Ms. Bowers:

As you know, earlier today, the Court entered a frivolous-litigation sanction against you in the amount of \$6,170.00, including \$50.00 in costs and \$5,120.00 in attorneys' fees.

You were given a copy of the Order in Court, but I have attached a digital copy for your convenience.

Please forward a certified bank check (drawn to the order of Lynx Asset Services LLC) in the amount of ~~\$6,170.00~~. You can send the check to my office.

If I do not receive the check within 10 days, I will the docket the judgment and fix it as a lien against the property at 83 Woodbine Avenue in Newark, New Jersey.

Thank you.

--
Joshua G. Curtis, Esq.
Court Plaza South—West Wing
21 Main Street
Suite 210
Hackensack, New Jersey 07601
Office: (201) 201-342-1700
Cell: (201) 463-4770
Fax: (201) 488-3380

This message is sent by a lawyer and likely contains PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION intended solely for the use of the intended recipient who is likely the addressee(s) named above, though may not be if an incorrect email address was inserted. Any disclosure, additional review, distribution, copying or use of the information by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email and/or attachments and you are not the intended recipient, please notify me by immediate reply and delete the original message, as any applicable privileges and/or work product doctrine are not being waived. If you are an attorney in receipt of this email, you are bound by RPC 4.4(b) and ASA Formal Opinion 99-413 and should be guided accordingly.

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: Pursuant to Treasury Regulations, any tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used or relied upon by you or any other person, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code, or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax advice addressed herein.

95a

ALERT: AS OF APRIL 30, USPS.COM NO LONGER SUPPORTS OUTDATED BROWSER...

USPS Tracking®

[FAQs > \(http://faq.usps.com/?articleId=220900\)](http://faq.usps.com/?articleId=220900)

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 9505514365378139210799

Remove X

On Time

Expected Delivery on

MONDAY

21 MAY 2018 ⓘ **by 8:00pm ⓘ**

 **Delivered**

May 21, 2018 at 11:20 am
Delivered, To Mail Room
NEWARK, NJ 07102

Get Updates ✓

Text & Email Updates



Tracking History



May 21, 2018, 11:20 am
Delivered, To Mail Room
NEWARK, NJ 07102

Your item has been delivered to the mail room at 11:20 am on May 21, 2018 in NEWARK, NJ 07102.

ALERT: AS OF APRIL 30, USPS.COM NO LONGER SUPPORTS OUTDATED BROWSER...

USPS Tracking®

FAQs > (<http://faq.usps.com/?articleId=220900>)

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 9505514365378139210805

Remove X

Your item was returned to the sender on May 22, 2018 at 7:15 am in NEWARK, NJ 07102 because of an incorrect address.

Alert

May 22, 2018 at 7:15 am
No Such Number
NEWARK, NJ 07102

Text & Email Updates



Select what types of updates you'd like to receive and how. Send me a notification for:

Text

Email

☐☐

All Below Updates

☐☐

Expected Delivery Updates ⓘ

☐☐

Day of Delivery Updates ⓘ

☐

97a - 98a

1 certification.

2 Thus, the Court, the highest court in our
3 state ruled that defendant did not have a pending case
4 before it. I'm going to focus now on the reply brief
5 issue which is really central to Ms. Bowers'
6 arguments. Ms. Bowers claims that she did not receive
7 a hardcopy of plaintiff's reply papers for the
8 sanctions motion until the day before the motion.

9 It was argued May 31. She attaches emails
10 between her and Mr. Curtis. May 19th Mr. Curtis
11 emailed reply papers to defendant. May 20th, Ms.
12 Bowers requested a hardcopy by certified mail return
13 receipt requested, UPS or FedEx.

14 She claimed that she needs her computer for
15 her appeal and did not want to chance crashing it by
16 opening up an attachment that she claims occurred
17 previously when such emails were sent to her.

18 She claims that she spoke with our law clerk
19 around May 22nd explaining her concern of opening
20 emails from Mr. Curtis because previous attachments
21 from plaintiff infected her computer with a virus.

22 So on May 30th at 12:34 p.m. Mr. Curtis told
23 defendant that he sent a hardcopy of the reply papers
24 versus via UPS -- I'm sorry. United States Postal
25 Service Priority Mail on May 19th which he claimed

1 arrived at her residence on May 21st.

2 He asked her if she was denying that she
3 received the package. Ms. Bowers replied at 3:50 p.m.
4 stating that if he had sent a hardcopy he would have
5 mentioned it in his May 19th email. She asserted that
6 Mr. Curtis would never have sent both an email and a
7 hardcopy because it was very unusual to do so.

8 She is in quotes, "Aware of how things
9 manipulates the system," period, end of quotes. She
10 asked Mr. Curtis to send another copy and he replied
11 at 3:55 p.m. asking defendant again if she denied
12 receiving the package.

13 She replied at 4:29 p.m. stating the
14 following in quotes, "I do not believe the reply brief
15 was ever sent by priority mail," the only email I know
16 what you are trying to do and will not work this time.
17 I have a defense that has merit and you do not know
18 what to do next.

19 Mr. Curtis replied at 4:41 p.m. reiterating
20 that defendant is not answering the question whether
21 she is denying receiving the package. Ms. Bowers
22 describes these email exchanges as cyberbullying by
23 Mr. Curtis, her brief at three.

24 She admits she received the reply papers
25 from plaintiff on May 31, the day before the argument.

1 inform him that she did not receive the package until
2 May 30th. He claims Ms. Bowers is either avoiding
3 service or not actually living at 43 Woodbine Avenue
4 in the City of Newark.

5 So in terms of the motion I'll briefly
6 summarize what I understand the parties arguments to
7 be then rule on it, Ms. Bowers motion and the
8 statement of facts portion of the brief.

9 Ms. Bowers disputes the merits of the
10 foreclosure action, the legality of the sheriff sale.
11 Brief at seven and eight. She argues the Court should
12 not permit her to supplement -- I'm sorry, should
13 permit her to supplement the record with new evidence
14 to support Ms. Bowers contention of being bullied in
15 an effort to make her less credulous at 11.

16 She cites the Liberty Surplus versus Noel
17 case which stated that a motion to supplement the
18 record is appropriate when additional facts are likely
19 to change the result, 189 N.J. 436 at 452 our Supreme
20 Court 2007.

21 She request the Court to consider the email
22 exchange between Mr. Curtis and herself. As the Court
23 just previously outlined, she described Mr. Curtis as
24 follows in quotes, "Like a thud that preys on the
25 innocent," period, end of quotes.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001

June 24, 2021

Yvonne Bowers, Sr.
83 Woodbine Avenue
Newark, NJ 07106

RE: Bowers v. Lynx Asset Services, LLC
NJSC No. 084824

Dear Ms. Bowers, Sr.:

The above-entitled petition for writ of certiorari was postmarked June 21, 2021 and received June 23, 2021. The papers are returned for the following reason(s):

No motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, signed by the petitioner or by counsel, is attached. Rules 33.2 and 39. The motion must be signed.

No notarized affidavit or declaration of indigency is attached. Rule 39. You may use the enclosed form.

Please be advised if you intend to pay the filing fee, please attach a check or money order in the amount of \$300.00 to the filing when resubmitting the petition to the Court.

Please correct and resubmit as soon as possible. Unless the petition is submitted to this Office in corrected form within 60 days of the date of this letter, the petition will not be filed. Rule 14.5.

A copy of the corrected petition must be served on opposing counsel.

When making the required corrections to a petition, no change to the substance of the petition may be made.

Sincerely,
Scott S. Harris, Clerk
By:

Susan Frimpong
(202) 479-3039

Enclosures

1 anymore actions. Judge Floria said sorry, but you
2 didn't follow the procedure, you have to get a
3 frivolous litigation sanction against her so my motion
4 was denied.

5 So in one respect I was unhappy that I had
6 lost the motion but I was sure that by now Ms. Bowers
7 had gotten the point. Then what happens five minutes
8 later, she files another motion before this Court
9 seeking the same things over and over and over. I
10 just sat down here and while I was sitting here Ms.
11 Bowers handed me yet another motion filing in this
12 case.

13 It is becoming ridiculous. This Court is
14 going to have to -- I know we have three Chancery
15 judges here and in my home county, Bergen, we have
16 only two. We have three because of the volume.

17 THE COURT: And they're both retiring.

18 MR. CURTIS: Yeah, well, we may have to
19 bring -- we may have to call some from the Law
20 Division or from the Family Division. We may have to
21 go over and tell the presiding judge of Civil that
22 he's going to -- that he or she is going to have to
23 let go of some of their staff and bring them over here
24 to service the Yvonne Bowers docket because that's
25 what we have and that's where we're going and it is

1 restraining order and preliminary injunction to
2 prevent the sale of the Belleville property.

3 On March 28th, 2017 the Supreme Court
4 rejected the motion because it was not permitted under
5 court rules. Exhibit AA, the Supreme Court considered
6 defendant's case disposed of on June 26th 2014 when it
7 denied defendant's motion to reconsider the Supreme
8 Court's denial of her petition for certification.

9 Thus, the Supreme Court ruled that defendant
10 does not have a pending case before it. On March
11 30th, 2017 this lis pendens was filed. Plaintiff
12 asserts that plaintiff is trying to sell the property
13 but it cannot sell a property with a lis pendens on it
14 for the reasons stated on October 27th and in these
15 papers.

16 Including but not limited to the fact that
17 any real estate transaction would require plaintiff,
18 the owner of the property to sign an affidavit of
19 title which it would require certification that the
20 property is not subject to litigation.

21 In the brief and a motion for summary
22 judgment, Ms. Bowers said she planned to file a motion
23 for fraud with the Supreme Court brief page one. On
24 November 29th she filed a motion for fraud, fraud on
25 the Court and denial of due process and equal

1 protection with the Supreme Court, Exhibit A of our
2 certification.

3 In support of the motion Ms. Bowers stated
4 that this Court did not grant her motion for summary
5 judgment to continue the lis pendens because she did
6 not have a pending case. She also said she had a
7 hearing in this Court on December 15th regarding the
8 removal of the lis pendens.

9 States that on December 29th, the Supreme
10 Court told her it would not hear her case. On January
11 2nd, Ms. Bowers requested an adjournment of the
12 summary judgment motion so she could file a motion for
13 fraud with this Court.

14 That application was opposed because the
15 schedule was put out back in October. The Court did
16 not on its own because I didn't think I had the
17 discretion to do so, adjourned this hearing further.

18 I'll summarize the party's arguments as I
19 did in October 27th and I'll do it again now so that
20 we have a complete record. The plaintiff argues the
21 Court should discharge the lis pendens because there's
22 nothing that would support the lis pendens at this
23 point.

24 There is no action pending that challenges
25 the plaintiff's title to the property and that's a