

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED  
OCT 25 2021  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No. 21-635

In the  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

LARRY FIELDS,  
*Petitioner,*  
v.

CINCINNATI, OH POLICE DEPARTMENT, ET AL.,  
*Respondents.*

**On Petition for Writ of Certiorari  
to the United States Court of Appeals  
for the Sixth Circuit**

**PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

Larry Fields  
5912 Piqua Ave.  
Cincinnati, OH 45224  
(513) 596-5549  
weebecuttin1@gmail.com

*Pro Se Petitioner*

Becker Gallagher · Cincinnati, OH · Washington, D.C. · 800.890.5001

**ORIGINAL**

### QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the magistrate judge intended violation of the federal rules of civil Procedure?
2. Whether petitioner constitutional rights where violated?
3. Whether the defendants had an unfair Advantage of the outcome of the trail?
4. Whether Larry was given due process clause of the 14<sup>th</sup> amendment?

### **LIST OF PARTIES**

Cincinnati Police Department, City of Cincinnati, Citizen Complaint Authority, Cincinnati Police Records, Sgt. Dawn Smalls, Officer Hollis Hudepohl, Officer Rommel Coman, Officer Sean Farris, Sgt Jeffrey Zucker, Officer Katisha Davis, Officer Anthoy Dawson, Officer Donald Brown, Lt. Vanhorn, Capt. David fink, Kim Neal, Dena Brown, Diana Bookwalter, Lt. Fern, Cincinnati City Manager, Officer Jason Scott, Fath Properties, Aubree Durnell, Jhon Leinberger, Magistrate Judge Karen Lit. Kovitz.

### **RELATED CASES**

A2002117 Larry Fields vs. Cincinnati Police Public records

2019-01143PQ Larry Fields vs. Cincinnati Polce Public records

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

QUESTIONS PRESENTED .....	i
LIST OF PARTIES.....	ii
RELATED CASES .....	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	v
OPINION BELOW .....	1
STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION .....	1
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISION INVOLVED .....	1
REASON FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	1
CONCLUSION.....	2
<b>APPENDIX</b>	
Appendix A Order in the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit (July 28, 2021).....	App. 1
Appendix B Order and Report and Recommendation in the United States District Court Southern District of Ohio Western Division (June 7, 2021).....	App. 4

Appendix C

Report and Recommendation in the United States  
District Court Southern District of Ohio Western  
Division

(July 6, 2021).....App. 15

## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

There are no cited cases.

### **OPINION BELOW**

The opinion of the three-panal judges of the court of appeals filed on July 28, 2021.

The District courts opinion is reported on 10/15/2021 and is reproduced in the appendix

### **STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION**

This court has jurisdiction under 28 USC section 1254 from a notice of appeal.

### **CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISION INVOLVED**

28 USC 636 (C) (1)

14<sup>TH</sup> Amendment due process clause

APA Administrative Procedures act of 1946

### **STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

Petitioner Challenges the constitutionality of the district court trial

### **REASON FOR GRANTING THE WRIT**

This court should decide whether guidance from this court is needed on the proper of remedy for violations of constitutional civil rights.

## CONCLUSION

The appellate circuit court dismissed the case was remanded where the trial court up held and ruled for the defendants.

Respectfully submitted,

Larry Fields  
5912 Piqua Ave.  
Cincinnati, OH 45224  
(513) 596-5549  
weebecuttin1@gmail.com

*Pro Se Petitioner*