

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DOCKET NUMBER: 21-62

PAUL POUPART

versus

STATE OF LOUISIANA, ET AL.

CERTIFICATE OF PRO SE LITIGANT

A. I, Paul Poupart, a federal citizen of this country, now certify that the following application for rehearing is restricted to the grounds specified in Rule 44(2), of this Court's rules, and is presented in good faith absent any delay.

"Further Deponent Says Not"

Paul M. Poupart

Paul Poupart (pro se)
Elayn Hunt Correctional Ctr
6925 Highway 74, Box 4
Post Office Box 174
Saint Gabriel, Louisiana
70776-0174
(225.642.3306)

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DOCKET NUMBER: 21-62

PAUL POUPART

versus

STATE OF LOUISIANA, ET AL.

APPLICATION FOR REHEARING

1. Now entering this honorable court is the Petitioner, Paul M. Poupert, a living human being, who is confined in a state facility pursuant to a criminal judgment by such state.
2. May this application/petition please this court today.

GROUND S FOR RELIEF

1. On October 5, 2021, this Court denied an application for the great writ of certiorari filed by the pro se Petitioner.
2. This petition comes timely to Rule 44(1), of this Court's rules, and Petitioner humbly request

ed a writ of release from his judgment of conviction of LSA-R.S. 14:122, pursuant to the decision in Seals v. McBee, id.

3. Because of the intervening circumstances in this case that have a substantial and controlling effect of Petitioner's privileges & immunities to the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, he believes he is being denied his liberty under the order of denial by this supreme court on October 5.

4. Because many common--law do have a nature to ensure finality - the order of denial is the case in this matter. No more petitions for the applicant ever in his natural life. This denial is repugnant to the Fourteenth Amendment, id., and its privileges and immunities clause that other states have conceded so - for the freedom of a defendant if convicted of an unconstitut

ional state statute. This event is a fact to Petitioner's liberty interest in the United States Constitution. For error, the rule of law applies to more than one individual and this Court has denied Petitioner where he proved the invalidity of a statute of the State of Louisiana where it was drawn into more than one question about the fraud of the state judicial system to intentionally disregard the liberty interest of freedom here today. Title 28 USC 1257.

5. For other substantial grounds, Title 28 USC 1652, states that state laws are required as rules of decision by this and these Courts of this nation.

6. The rights of an individual citizen is opined to the matter that affects the very issue of where Heck, id., actually stands in relation to a petition seeking federal relief from a state law. Louisiana changed its law

pursuant to the ruling in Seals,
id., and if this Court determined
in Yates, id., that a state court
must make a constitutional right
retroactive if it determines the
outcome of the challenge, the ord
er of denial is abridging the fun
damental right (substantial) to
petition this Court for an opinion
under the First Amendment.

7. For these reasons Petitioner
requests that this Supreme Bench
rehear this case today seeing the
intervening circumstances that is
affecting his liberty in this
country.

8. May it so be.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, I, Paul Poupart, now
pray that all equitable relief be
afforded me this day.



Paul Poupart (pro se)
Elayn Hunt Correctional Center
6925 Highway 74, Box 4
Post Office Box 174
Saint Gabriel, Louisiana 70776
(225.642.3306)

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

DOCKET NUMBER: 21-62

PAUL POUPART

versus

STATE OF LOUISIANA, ET AL.

ORDER

BY ORDER OF THIS COURT, THE PETI
TION FOR REHEARING BY PETITIONER,
PAUL POUPART, IS HEREBY GRANTED
THIS DAY.

THUS SUSCRIBED:

U.S. SUPREME COURT JUSTICES