

No. _____

21-5981

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Ray Sarabian
(Your Name)


— PETITIONER

vs.

Halliburton Lp, Inc. — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

ORIGINAL



U.S. dist. court, El Paso, TX
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Ray Sarabian
(Your Name)

3604 Tano Cross, DR
(Address)

El Paso, TX 79917
(City, State, Zip Code)

817 845-5759
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Supreme Court of The United States
Office of The Clerk

Washington, D.C.

October 15, 2021

R.E: Sarahin v. Halliburton Logging Services

Dear Clerk:


In answer to your letter of September 23, 2021, the question presented for review in accordance to Rule 14.1(a) is that the 5th Circuit Court of Appeal did not follow the procedural steps and claimed that I was late in submitting the brief on time. The last time the required time for submitting the brief was correct and the request for stay, was also on time. So the question for review in accordance to Rule 14.1(a) is that the Court of Appeals has violated my ^{CONSTITUTIONAL} constitutional right ^{to} 14 amendment for not letting me to appeal my case by claiming that I was late to submit my brief ^{and} the brief was on time. Please let me know if you have any greater understanding of this letter.

Cordially yours

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of this filing was mailed to the defendant attorney on Monday of 5, October 2021

Respectfully submitted

R. J. S.



LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Ray Sandus, Plaintiff-Appellant

Halliburton Logging & Survey defendant-Appellee

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW 1

JURISDICTION This Court may grant habeas corpus to contest the legality of the arrest or imprisonment of a person held in custody by a state or federal authority. It is an appeal from state and court of appeal for during the case.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

STATEMENT OF THE CASE, At present, Reg. 5000, before the Court to review the case of appeal of the court because the procedure was followed properly.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT The writ is granted as follows:

CONCLUSION The decision taken, namely, that the court of Appeal was not proper and was done in time.

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was July 2, 2021.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Applicant Ry. Sambu asks the court to remove the ~~54~~ cont cont of Appeal for dismissing the case because it is the ~~has been~~ was submitted at the right time and there is not delay in submitting the paper of appeal.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The reasons are follows

1. all the refiling procedures done on ~~trial & standard~~
Appeal should not dismiss the case
2. There is no reason for dismissing the case

IN THE UNITED STATE SUPREME COURTS

RAY SARABIAN PLAINTIFF

CASE NO. 21-10-320

VS.

HALLIBURTON LOGGING SERVICES

DEFENDANT

DATE: 06-09-2021

DATE 09-~~03~~ 2021

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIARONI

RECEIVED

SEP - 9 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

This case deals with the Employee Retirement Income Security Act Case. The district court entered final Judgment dismissing the case on August 20, 2020. Thereafter, plaintiff filed a motion for a new trial which was denied on September 15, 2020.

Plaintiff's first notice of appeal was dismissed by 5th circuit court of appeal for want of prosecution claiming that brief was not filed on time. That is not true; the deadline for submitting the brief was November 24, 2020, it was submitted November 22, 2020 two days earlier than it was due. After the

Brief was reviewed by clerk, they determined that some corrections are to be made and the corrected Copy should be submitted within the next 14 days.

Unfortunately, during this period, the plaintiff briefcase was stolen with all the documents with respect To this case. The plaintiff contacted the clerk and explained to her the situation. She was not willing to cooperate initially, but because of my persistence, she required me to file a motion for delaying for submittal of the corrected copies. I did so but it was denied. (please see the enclosed correspondance)

Now, it comes the second notice of appeal.

On March 29, 2021, the plaintiff filed a motion in district court to refile for appeal, the honorable judge Granted the motion to refile. The plaintiff received the refiling order April 1, 2021.

The notice of appeal was mailed to the 5th circuit court of appeal April 28, 2021 LESS THAN 30 DAYS THAT MEANS IT WAS SUBMITTED ON TIME (SEE THE DATE ON MAILING RECEIPT ENCLOSED)

THEREFORE, There is no reason for DENYING the plaintiff 's appeal since was done on the correct time FRAME and appeal decision BY COURT OF APPEAL SHOULD BE REVERSED

RECEIVED

AUG 24 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

RECEIVED

JUN 15 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "B. J. Smith", is written over a horizontal line.

Date: 9-16-21