

ORIGINAL

No. 21-5938

FILED

SEP 09 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

MICHAEL COTA

(Your Name)

— PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF NEVADA

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

SUPREME COURT STATE OF NEVADA

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

MICHAEL COTA

(Your Name)

P.O. BOX 1989

(Address)

ELY NV. 89301

(City, State, Zip Code)

NA

(Phone Number)

RECEIVED

OCT - 8 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

RECEIVED

SEP 21 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

1

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. DO STATE JUDICIAL OFFICIALS HAVE A CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO VIOLATE SET STATUTE LAWS OF THE STATE?
2. DO JUVENILES HAVE THE SAME RIGHTS UNDER THE CONSTITUTION AS ADULTS?
3. DO STATE COURT OFFICIALS HAVE CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY TO VIOLATE DOCTOR / PATIENT CONFIDENTIALITY?
4. IS NOT DUE PROCESS VIOLATED WHEN THE CASE JUDGE AND OR DISTRICT ATTORNEY VIOLATE STATE LAW IN THE COURSE OF CONDUCTING THE ADJUDICATION PROCESS.
5. IF ESTABLISHED STATE LAWS ARE VIOLATED BY THE CASE JUDGE AND DISTRICT ATTORNEY WHILE CONDUCTING THE ADJUDICATION IS NOT EQUAL PROTECTION NOT VIOLATED
6. IF STATE LAWS ARE APPLIED DIFFERENTLY TO EACH PERSON IS NOT EQUAL PROTECTION VIOLATED
7. ONCE DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION WERE VIOLATED THEN IS NOT ANY SENTENCE A VIOLATION OF CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

1. THOMAS M GREGORY
2. JOHN MALONE
3. MATTHEW JOHNSON

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

PAGE NUMBER

CASES

MINER VS U.S. 329 P2d 784 S.CT 812

STATUTES AND RULES

NEVADA REVISED STATUTE 62H.030(2)

RULES OF CANON FEDERAL

RULES OF CANON STATE

OTHER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....6

JURISDICTION.....5

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....4

STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....8

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....9

CONCLUSION.....10

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A QUESTIONS PRESENTED.....1

APPENDIX B LIST OF PARTIES.....2

APPENDIX C TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED.....7

APPENDIX D U.S. DISTRICT COURT-NEVADA CASE# 3:21-cv-00329-MMD CLB
AS IT RELATES TO THE THREE LISTED PARTIES

APPENDIX E NEVADA SUPREME COURT CASE 77414 and 77415

APPENDIX F

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix ____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix ____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix E to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the 9th JUDICIAL DISTRICT court appears at Appendix D to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A-_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 4-13-20. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix E.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A-_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

1. NEVADA REVISED STATUTE, WE INCLUDE N.R.S. 62H IN ITS ENTIRETY AND WE DRAW SPECIFIC ATTENTION TO NRS 62H.030(2)(3) THIS STATUTE COVERS CONFIDENTIALITY OF JUVENILE RECORDS, THE REQUIREMENTS TO SEAL THEM AND THE REQUIREMENTS TO USE THEM IN ADOPT PROCEEDINGS. PLEASE SEE APPENDIX D OUTLINE OF EXHIBITS EXHIBIT ONE NRS 62H.030(2)

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

1. WE CLAIM THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS OF LAW CONTAINED IN THE FIFTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS.
2. WE CLAIM THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE CONTAINED IN THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT.
3. WE CLAIM THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT CLAUSE WHICH PROHIBITS CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

WE SHALL PROVE THAT THE STATE OF NEVADA REPRESENTED BY THE PARTIES LISTED CONSPIRED TOGETHER TO VIOLATE MR COTAS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AND WHILE IN THE PROCESS VIOLATED NEVADA LAW THUS PERFORMING CRIMINAL ACTS DURING THE JUDICIAL PROCESS. WE SHALL PROVE THAT NEVADA REVISED STATUTE COVERED IN N.R.S. 62H GIVES LEGAL REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO JUVENILE COURT RECORDS. WE SHALL PROVE THE PARTIES VIOLATED THIS STATUTE. WE SHALL PROVE THAT THE ENTIRE JUDICIAL PROCESS WHICH ENTRAPPED MR COTA INVOLVED VIOLATIONS OF 5TH, 6TH, 8TH AND 14TH CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS. WE SHALL PROVE THAT ALL PARTIES ENGAGED IN ILLEGAL CONDUCT KNOWINGLY TO VIOLATE MR COTAS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. WE SHALL PROVE THAT MR COTA HAD A COURT RECORD AS A JUVENILE SOME INCLUDING SITUATIONS THAT OCCURRED WHEN HE WAS 10. WE SHALL PROVE THESE RECORDS CONTAINED CONFIDENTIAL MEDICAL AND PSYCHIATRIC RECORDS. WE SHALL PROVE THAT THE DOUGLAS COUNTY D.A. DID NOT FILE THESE RECORDS WITH THE CLERK OF COURTS BUT KEPT THEM IN HIS FILES AND THEN SIMPLY PULLED THEM OUT AND USED THEM AGAINST MR COTA WHEN HE WAS 19. THESE RECORDS WERE READ INTO RECORD IN AN OPEN COURTROOM. THIS WAS A CRIMINAL ACT BY NEVADA STATUTE AND THIS COURT MUST CORRECT THIS SERIOUS VIOLATION OF OUR CONSTITUTION.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. WE HAVE PROVEN VIOLATIONS OF THE DUE PROCESS AMENDMENT AND NOW WE OUTLINE THE AREAS. FIRST ECONOMIC SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS. MR COTA HAD AN ABSOLUTE RIGHT TO ENJOY HIS RIGHT TO SEALED JUVENILE RECORDS AND CONFIDENTIALITY OF MEDICAL AND PSYCHIATRIC RECORDS.
2. PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS WAS VIOLATED IN THAT DEFENSE COUNSEL HAD NO WAY TO KNOW THE D.A. HAD ILLEGALLY KEPT PAST JUVENILE RECORDS IN HIS OFFICE AND WOULD SIMPLY PULL THEM OUT AND USE THEM AGAINST MR COTA WITH NO HEARINGS REQUIRED
3. THIS COURT MUST GRANT THIS CERTIORARI TO PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF MR COTA AND PROTECT JUVENILE RECORDS IN THE FUTURE.
4. THIS CASE DEALS WITH SENSITIVE JUVENILE ADJUDICATION RECORDS AND AS SUCH THIS CASE PRESENTS ISSUES OF PUBLIC INTEREST.
5. THESE ISSUES ARE PART OF CIVIL RIGHTS ISSUES BEING ADJUDICATED IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT NEVADA

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 9-8-21