

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Brenda M. Johnson

No. 21-5938

Vs

Motion to Reconsider

Electronic Transactions Consultants Corporation,
WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION,
Pioneer Human Services. et.,al

Petitioner Brenda M Johnson states intervening cause is set in motion by the defendant's own conduct, and will not relieve the defendant of liability. The extraordinary facts is Johnson was not paid for work performed and could not go to the Union 17 due to information was not presented to her or the other employees and the Department had failed to ratify it's actions . Therefore, Johnson was considered an exempt employee under which she reported violations unconstitutional which caused harm and damages. The defendant removed the case to District Court of Western Washington and refused to answer the summons and complaint when a Lawsuit was brought against the defendants under

An Act To prohibit discrimination on account of sex in the payment of wages by employers engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce. Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That this Act may be cited as the "Equal Pay Act

Grounds: FRCP 60 (b)(3)

Discrimination Black American woman, over 40 years, retaliated and denied pay and benefits

A collective bargaining agreement for civil servant salaries is an **agreement on the terms of employment for employees in service of municipalities, the government** . Collective agreements, including agreements for civil servant salaries stipulate on e.g. the pay and pay rises in each sector.


epa 1963 -

Rule 6 – Computing and extending time (a) Computing Time. The following rules apply in computing any time period specified in these rules, in any local rule or court order, or in any statute that does not specify a method of computing time.

Motion to Reconsider No. 21-5938

I.

Brenda M Johnson



The party known as defendant did not request an extension of time by failure to defend allegations brought against them by Petitioner Brenda M. Johnson. Johnson is a Civil Servant employee in which she was locked out of place of employment and has worked from home to defend her Constitutional rights .

Any official who receives information under Rule 6(e)(3)(D) may use the information only as necessary in the conduct of that person's official duties subject to any limitations on the unauthorized disclosure of such information. Any state, state subdivision, Indian tribal, or foreign government official who receives information under Rule 6(e)(3)(D) may use the information only in a manner consistent with any guidelines issued by the Attorney General and the Director of National Intelligence.

In Johnson's complaint to OSHA, in which the Department lack of safety affected the health of Johnson caused her financial hardship and loss of property by not holding the responsible parties accountable when a default judgment \$4,700,000 was made against the parties in 2014 with the Attorney General Robert Ferguson present with an appearance mailed to Johnson.

Exhibit(s) External Email from Kinard , Kathy , Letter from SUPERIOR COURT July 2, 2021, History 10/31/2014 District Court Robert J. Bryan Attached Motion Reconsideration 1-4

Envelope received on 02/28/2022 Monday from Supreme Court

RULE 44 Of the Rules of this Court

I HEREBY CERTIFY under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and the petition for rehearing is presented in good faith and not for delay. Executed on 02/28/2022.

Signature



/s Brenda M. Johnson

8110 West 35th Ave

University Place, WA 98402

253-289-6570

Motion to Reconsider No. 21-5938

II.

Brenda M Johnson

Supreme Court of the United States

Brenda M Johnson.

Case : 21-5928

5928

Vs.

Motion reconsider note 12/21 /2021

Electronic Transaction Consultants Corporation et.al

This matter comes before the Court regarding Writ of Certiorari . The Appellant Electronic Transaction Consultants Has not answered not made a appearance to defend the Complaint filed in which a entry Judgment would be granted under FRCP 4

It has been more than 60 days since Electronic Transaction Consultants was contacted and a response was not received in accordance to State and Federal.

Thirdly the petition was accepted when a case number was granted.

Rule 901 (a) Authenticating or Identifying Evidence was given to support the claim against Electronic Transaction Consultants Corporation which was not hearsay.

The matter comes before the Court a stamped copy of the judge(s) decision was not found nor a statement with facts and conclusion of law placed in an order. Johnson is objecting to Scott Harris clerk letter stating "an order in the above entitled case " just with a denial.

Issue

Why do you allow Wrong actions to continue without accountability?

Facts Entry of order must have facts, and conclusion of law placed in an order.

RCW 34.05.461

Entry of orders.

(1) Except as provided in subsection (2) of this section:


(a) If the presiding officer is the agency head or one or more members of the agency head, the presiding officer may enter an initial order if further review is available within the agency, or a final order if further review is not available;

(b) If the presiding officer is a person designated by the agency to make the final decision and enter the final order, the presiding officer shall enter a final order; and

Case : 21-5928.

1 of 3.

Pro-se Brenda M Johnson



© If the presiding officer is one or more administrative law judges, the presiding officer shall enter an initial order.

With respect to agencies exempt from chapter 34.12 RCW or an institution of higher education Parties who are not satisfied with the decision of a lower court must petition the U.S. Supreme Court

Brenda Johnson is seeking the court to order the Appellant to make a appearance and defend the matters of EPA 1963 fair labor act in which Johnson was a employee under definition of the law in which Electronic Transaction Consultants Corporation broke the law under 29 u.s.c. 660.

The Appellant had not defended it's self nor requested a Jury demand Seventh Amendment.

Johnson has suffered irreparable harm due to lack of duty and allowed to break laws to pay employees for work performed and benefits as a Deputy Clerk for the in the State of Washington.

The primary means to petition the court for review is to ask it to grant a writ of certiorari. This is a request that the Supreme Court order a lower court to send up the record of the case for Parties who are not satisfied with the decision of a lower court must petition the U.S. Supreme Court to hear their case. The primary means to petition the court for review is to ask it to grant a writ of certiorari. This is a request that the Supreme Court order a lower court to send up the record of the case for review.

Johnson is seeking the business be held in contempt of court for failure to appear.

It is a right to petition the Court and defend the Bill of Rights of our Constitution.

Color or Creed should not make your wages lower then your peers doing the same type of work .

The petition should be granted upon the first amendment . Johnson has provided time , and hours to defend a right given to all citizens of the United States. Johnson has observed the obstruction of justice deteriorate the foundation the nation .

Appellee Brenda M Johnson is seeking to enforce the rules of government and Constitutional rights in which was denied to me.

It's the error of the Court to have not place facts, conclusion, statement with facts and conclusion of law placed in an order In which relief should be granted. Frpc 60

FIAO is also being requested for all entries made between parties on the case.

The case should have been a classes action suit for wrong classifications instead the location was closed in three locations permanently.

1. Exhibit History 3:14-cv-05872-RJB
2DKT Line 3 Term Judge Panel
2. letter to Superior Court of State of Wa
21-2-05769-3
3. Email May 06, 2019 09:26 AM FR
Kathy Kinard (COM)(H) Nov 3, 2014 Letter

I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed on December 14 2021.

Mailed on December 14 2021

/s Brenda M Johnson

311 S. 9th street Apt 501

Tacoma Washington 98402

brendajtacoma@aol.com

253-289+1174

Case : 21-5928.

3 of 3.

Pro-se Brenda M Johnson