

21-5881 ORIGINAL

No. _____

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

SEP 24 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IN RE: MARJORIE A. CREAMER
PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

EXTRAORDINARY, Mandamus
RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Published false Law

86 F.3d 167 (10th circuit 1996)

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

EXTRAORDINARY
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

"PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

MARJORIE A. CREAMER

(Your Name) P O Box 216

██████████

(Address) BISON KS 67520

████████████████████████

(City, State, Zip Code)

816-872-2803

(Phone Number)

11

Question

Sexual Harassment causing chain events
False Case Law Setting false
Set of rules/principles 86 F. 3rd 167 (10th circuit

1996) CREAMER v. UAL

An unsoundness of an insane hostile work environment

Men never arrested for Sexual Harassment,

assault, battery - RAPE on April

24, 1991 - question "severe, pervasive"

for Sexual Harassment at Work?

BECAME - False Case Law for EMPLOYMENT

denial - Denied JURY TRIAL Oct 1994.

then 26 years of Creamer arrested,

beaten, falsely confined and called

mental for no reason. Police have

excessive force charges, Creamer has

False record? Violation May 24, 2019!

KCMD Metro KCAT A Bus.

62. Epstein THE HUSH

• Weinstein ^{Harvey}
film producer

• Military talk show

"Wait till Senator
Hays" G. I. Jane

SEXUAL HARASSMENT AND INDECENT, LEWD, OR VULGAR CONDUCT

QUESTION

Movie

A. Use of indecent, lewd, or vulgar language or gestures while on duty, or on Company premises or equipment, or in uniform, toward passengers or other employees, will not be tolerated. Violation of this policy may result in suspension or termination.

B. Sexual advances, actions or threats toward another employee, passenger, bystander while on duty or Company or RTD property or equipment will not be tolerated. Violation of this policy may result in suspension or termination. Report any such incidents to management immediately.

United States Supreme Court Question

INSUBORDINATION

* Denied Jury Trial - Oct 1994

Any employee refusing to follow the direction of management may be suspended pending investigation. Pending the completion of the investigation further suspension or termination may be imposed.

S.H. Case law 86 F. 3rd 167 (10th circuit 1996)

"Severe and Pervasive"

Our contract with RTD allows for assessments of monetary penalties against the Company for lost or substandard service. These are known as "liquidated damages". Examples of liquidated damages include, but are not limited to driving off route, not using traincards correctly, avoidable lateness (more than 10 minutes on local routes, 3 minutes on express routes), improper radio procedures, express bus station procedures, dispatch errors, improper maintenance repairs or cleaning.



Exhibit #1

EMPLOYEE TRAINING AND QUALIFICATIONS

#92S1673 DTS of Colorado Spor

Judge
P.B.

Every employee must have a valid Colorado drivers license. Drivers, driver trainers and maintenance employees must possess a valid Colorado Class S license. A copy of the license must be on file in the employee's personnel file. Employees must be at least 21 years old, have no more than four points on their driving record over the past two years, and pass the chemical screen. In addition, each candidate for a driving position must go through the Laidlaw training program.

62

10

92S1673

May 24, 2019

Public Transit

bus riders

No public entity shall discriminate against an individual with a disability in connection with bus riders.

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

See attached
and below

Distr. of Colorado RELATED CASES

#92 S. 1673 Marjorie A. Cremer v. Laidlaw

#95 M 792 — EEOC filed 1993 year
Berita Barrett v. Laidlaw

— Mary v. Laidlaw

#95 cv 392 Raybal v. Greyhound

#01 cv 1240 Baumann v.

#02 cv 1908 Bartko v. Laidlaw
VI

attached additional
Cases, parties
involved

Dist of Colorado, #92S1673

Arapahoe Co. ^{case #} 1995-1997
Colorado

→ 86 F.3d 167 (10 court 1996)
false law Creamer v Cadlaw

- ELLIS CO. DISTRICT, Kansas Court

2004-48

09 —

08-341

08-4126

07-35

15-17

15CR139 VIII

Case CREAMER, MARJORIE filed
10th Circuit Appeal #2016-3204

#94-1593 Laidlaw #16-3209

#2003-1019 Laidlaw

DIST OF KANSAS
#2011-3358

11-3362

11-3372

12-3018 - LAIDLAW

12-3127

13-3333 Lained
14-4701 st

14-3252

14-4083

15-3048

16-3202

16-3204

#2018-3188 Laidlaw

HPD -
Laidlaw

Hays Police

Dept.

Settlement
Excessive
Force
1983
Violation

#94-1593

CREAMER ✓
LAIDLAW

YR. 1996 false
published
case law

86 F.3d 167
(10th Circuit 1996)

163235 VIII

CREAMER, MARJORIE
US Supreme Court Cases filed,
DAY Year 2021 [#] LAIDLAW
false law

CREAMER v LAIDLAW
Denied appeal #92S1673 (See 1994
doc) Judge Span (Oct 1994)
however see 10th Circuit appeal

94-1593
published law 86 F. 3rd 167
(10th Circuit 1996)

ERROR of COURT ERROR of PART
LOWER COURTS (doc defective Recov)

US Supreme Court filing ES15
2012 - 5606 General Motors
Claim Agent

2012 - 5833 SMITH COUNTY
KS - Police

2014 - 6350 - GENERAL
MOTORS

2021 [#] X - LAIDLAW
TRANSIT - FED.
REPORTER

US Supreme Court

ESIS #12 - 5606

Smith ^H
Sheriff 2012 - 5833

General 2014 - 6350
Motors

all cert denied

SD of NY - General Motors
Claims still
in court SD of NY

Year 2021

XII

General Motors
Bankruptcy
SD of NY # 09-50026

Judge Robert
Geller

General Motors
Dist of Kansas # 2011-4028 appeal

GM agent ESK claim 11-4110 11-33

11-4067

reamer v Landau

11-4066 appeal 11-3362

SD District of NY # 14 MD 2543 US Supreme
Court GM
XII 14-6350
16-3923

police 1983 violator
Western Excessive Force
Dist of Missouri
KCPD 2016-816 8th
Don Ebert appeal court
Creamer waith for
Metro Bus
18-1511

KCPD 2016-817 # 17-1181

Cleane
Brown

Denied reconsideration
July 26

Dist of Ellis Co.

Kansas

15-17

15 ce 139

XIII

parties of 30 years

2021 Current to 1991

Current Municipal Court, Kansas City, MO
Jackson Co.

KCATA - Transit Inc.

#G000148912-4

Bus Rider —

Dist of Missouri
Western Division

KCATA
#20 19-21

#2019-363

NKC Police #19-528
government employees

Dist of Kansas - disciplinary action
#2019-2044

Washburn

for Estate Howard Leroy Ellis #13pr22
Kansas, Smith County District Court
XIII

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2/9 3-85
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3-85
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	9-17
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	18-11
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

CREAMER v LAIDLAW TRANS INC

APPENDIX A - 86 F. 3rd 167 (10th circuit 1996) - Bus Dri

~~Deleted~~

APPENDIX B - Appeal denied #92S1673 (Witness li
Dist of Col. Judge Daniel B. Sparr employee file)

~~Record below~~
APPENDIX C

Opinion #92S1673, Judge Sparr District of Col. pages
Order Deformation of Character (Creamer) 3-11

APPENDIX D - 03-1019 Denied, Dist Ct of Appeals 10th

APPENDIX E - Waiting at Bus Stop - #06-816, Judge Fernando
J. Gaeta Jr. April 20, 2017 EXCESSIVE FORCE

APPENDIX F - Denied Counsel July 25, 2017

G - Dismiss March 1, 2018 #06-816, appeal

KANSAS - C. SMITH CENTER, KANSAS #13 pt. 2 L #18-1511
ESTATE HOWARD ELLIS ^{8th circ}

State Courts - KCATA Unfinished Confirmed

A - Numerous 6 years complaints May 24, 2019
Retiree Rider #G000148912-4

B - KCATA Metro Discrimination, disabit
due to service dog + condit. in

SDotNY #19-02973 TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

Tierra Willows v. Realty S. Corp Construction

PAGE NUMBER

Meritor Savings Bank

8, 6, 12, 13

Michelle Vinson
477 US 57 (1986)

P. 10,

Harris v Forklift Systems
510 US 17 (1993)

7, 6, 12
P. 10

Faragher v. City of Boca Raton
524 U.S. 775 (1998) 11

STATUTES AND RULES

BUS
Driver Sexual Harassment Policy page 15 -

BUS
Rider "Public Trans Rivation" page 10

Bill of Rights - Constitution

Amend #7 - jury

Amend #10 - appeal

ADA - 1984 1990 page 9

28 U.S.C. § 1651(a) " " "

OTHER False published "Sever or persuade"

86 F.3d 167 (10th Cir. 1996) - ALL

- articles, reviews written

- Bonita Barnett, EEOC action filed
#95m792 Dist of Colorado 16 -

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at 86 F.3rd 167 (10th circuit 1996); or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished. *ineffectiveness of counsel, using my
GOOD NAME*

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix C to the petition and is opinion Dist of Colorado, Judge Sparr

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

*6 years retreated off bus, refused to take
ticket # G000148912-4 Municipal Court
KCMO, Don Ewart
excessive force*

*May
24
2019
court*

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at Buckets Stop #16-816; or, *as a MO
bus rider*
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

*Dist of MO KCATA
#19-21
19-363*

*HFD Hays, KS, Dist of KS #4871
opend 8th court #18-1511
15*

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case

was

Appendix A *June 11, 1996* *86 F.3rd 167 (10th Cir. 1996)*

published

[] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: *Dec 1994*, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix *B*

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. *A* _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was *undecided*.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix *May 24, 2019* *vi. Olathe* *Speedy Trial*

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: *2021*, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix *night Tornado - Black Bus Drive*

[] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. *A* _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Denied Bill of Rights
Amendment 7

Right to Jury

Deet of Colorado #9251673

denied and held by biased Judge Spain
not "severe or pervasive"

Jury trial would verdict
Creamer on case alone with evidence
presented. Att'd's Richard Lehr

attached

Mayre Creamer U.S. DIST
COLOR
SEE
COURT
RECORD
attached

Denied

Amendment 10

Right to an appeal

attached Sexual Harassment
policy

Exhibit #1 in Deet of Colorado #9251673

Creamer v. Laidlaw

Civi l Rights 1964 - 1991
Bus.
Assault, Battery Rape due to

race, sex - at WORK a Bus Driver
race, sex

Hostile Sexual Work Environment

questioned Federal issue EEOC

" 86 F. 3d 167 (10th Cir., 1996)

"Severe OR Pervasive

The substantiated condition of (addles
work environment after work

Condition

3 months - 1991

6 weeks unpaid Wages -
public transit year 2019

discrimination as a bus Rider.

My own attorney, Brake, as
governmental employees
and US Dist of Colorado Judge Spar
malicious intent to harm
me by - witness, Bonita Barrett, case
since false case (an)

86 F. 3d 161 (10th Cir 1996)
using Creamer's good name, for FALSE
"severe or pervasive sexual

Work environment
using clause and good name.

Lower Judge Spar defamation
of Creamer's character in his
lengthy opinion 1994 Causing
lengthy opinion

2021 governmental employees
physical, emotional abuse and
harm. Appendix A, B
C

U.S Supreme Court
about time of C v L Dis of Colo #92S1673
incident 3 mos, April 11, 1991

Meritor Savings Bank

Michelle Vinson

477 U.S. 57 (1986)

The Supreme Court holds that sexual harassment that creates a hostile environment is a form of sex discrimination prohibited by Title VII. of Civil Rights Act 1964.

Harris v Forklift Systems

510 U.S. 17 (1993)

The Supreme Court holds that a person does not have to prove

psychological damage in order to prevail in a sexual harassment suit, but can win based on evidence of conduct that would reasonably be perceived to be hostile and sexually abusive.

Dairy trial jury
False Case, biased Judge

86 F. 3rd 167 (10th circuit 1996)

Lower case Dist of Colorado #92SL673

Exhibit #1
3 months sexual harass, (ie train)
Rich Reason
Assault, battery rape at work

1st Landmark Sexual
Harassment
Brook Justice William H. Rehnquist
Harassment Men in Saving Bank

(1986) 477 U.S. 57, 65 9-0 ^{casework} Veto
As to the bank's liability, the court of appeals held that an employee is absolutely liable for sexual harassment by supervisory personnel whether or not the employer knew or should have known about it. Claim of sexual harassment "hostile environment" is a form of sexual harassment

Retired U.S. Supreme Justice Sandra Day O'Connor 2006
Nonfiction
• Judge Span dies 2006
• Judge Span dies 2006
THE HUSH

Public Transit bus riders

No public entity shall
discriminate against
an individual with a
disability in connection
with bus riders.

TORNADO
May 24 2019

KCMO 600014
8912-4

ticket

9
~~5~~

Statement of Case

The relevant facts will prove the severe incidents and pervasive, sexual hostile work environment that made my ~~the~~ conditions at work and I could no longer work there, and employers behavior never corrected. This is the last resort of the last resort to correct my good name and last 30 years of a false case law.

due to attorney AT Brake, unprofessional conduct
86 F. 3^d 167 (10th circuit 1996) EOC 1993
Bennetts v. AIDLAW TRANSIT INC. FILED

ORDER
Case #9251673 Dist of Colo Judge D B Spain²⁴

1 utterance; and whether it unreasonably interferes with the
2 employee's work performance.

3 Conduct not continuing, severe, and pervasive enough
4 to create objectively hostile or abusive work environments
5 that a reasonable person would find hostile or abusive is
6 beyond Title VII's purview.

7 In this case, they found that the defendant's
8 behavior -- the supervisor's behavior was relatively limited,
9 that he was forthright in admitting that the advances were
10 unwelcome. It simply did not rise to the level of pervasive
11 harassment as the term is defined.

12 It also indicates that the plaintiff, beyond showing
13 that harassment occurred, must produce evidence of the
14 shortcomings of the response. Nash versus Electrospace
15 System, 9 F.3d, Fifth Circuit at page 401, also illustrates
16 the theory of response as a consideration in sexual
17 harassment.

18 We have cases as late as June 1994, Bouton versus
19 BMW, a Third Circuit case at 29 F.3d 103, Third Circuit ('94),
20 speaking to this issue. Hostile work environment claims
21 require proof of pervasive, severe, intentional discrimination
22 affecting the plaintiff that would also affect a reasonable
23 person.

24 And they still look to Meritor versus Vinson as the
25 landmark. In that case, the plaintiff sought to have BMW held

0522-3

62

THE HUSH ^{and} MARJORIE A
CREAMER

DIST OF COLOR.
#9251673

DENIED

QUESTION S.H.

SEXUAL HARASSMENT AND INDECENT, LEWD, OR VULGAR CONDUCT

- A. Use of indecent, lewd, or vulgar language or gestures while on duty, or on Company premises or equipment, or in uniform, toward passengers or other employees, will not be tolerated. Violation of this policy may result in suspension or termination.
- B. Sexual advances, actions or threats toward another employee, passenger, bystander while on duty or Company or RTD property or equipment will not be tolerated. Violation of this policy may result in suspension or termination. Report any such incidents to management immediately.

Year 1991 Winter Month
IN SUBORDINATION
(last Incident) April 1, 1991

Any employee refusing to follow the direction of management may be suspended pending investigation. Pending the completion of the investigation further suspension or termination may be imposed.

S.H.

Become Case Law

86 F. 3^d 167 (10th Cir 1996)

EMPLOYEE ERRORS

CREAMER v. LAIDLAW

Our contract with RTD allows for assessments of monetary penalties against the Company for lost or substandard service. These are known as "liquidated damages". Examples of liquidated damages include, but are not limited to driving off route, not using traincards correctly, avoidable lateness (more than 10 minutes on local routes, 3 minutes on express routes), improper radio procedures, express bus station procedures, dispatch errors, improper maintenance repairs or cleaning.



Exhibit #1 #9251673 CREAMER v.
EMPLOYEE TRAINING AND QUALIFICATIONS LAIDLAW
Gte Greyhound

Every employee must have a valid Colorado drivers license. Drivers, driver trainers and maintenance employees must possess a valid Colorado Class S license. A copy of the license must be on file in the employee's personnel file. Employees must be at least 21 years old, have no more than four points on their driving record over the past two years, and pass the chemical screen. In addition, each candidate for a driving position must go through the Laidlaw training program.

808323

National Transportation - LAIDLAW
Colorado Taibook Scandal 1991 year

Employer, Landlaw failed to take reasonable steps to prevent such acts and should be held accountable for "know OR perceive as a reasonable jury would have concluded. Creamer, I was denied a jury trial

1) I am member of protected class
2) I was subject to UNWELCOMED sexual harassment
3) Harassment created Hostile sexual work environment
4) Harassment (file ^{see}) harassing
5) Supervisors were foreseeable or

full within his scope of employment, and the employer failed to respond adequately and effectively.

Faragher, 1185 Ct at 2293
an employer can escape liability only if it took reasonable care to prevent and correct any sexually harassing behavior

Faragher v. City of Boca Raton
524 US 775, 118 S Ct. 2275, 2283,
141 L.Ed. 2nd 662 (1998)

Hostile Work Environment based on
supervision actions.

Further discrimination in the workplace occurs [when the workplace is permeated with

discriminatory intimidation,
reduces and consult that is
sufficiently "severe or pervasive"
to alter the condition of the
victims' employment and create
an abusive working environment.

Harris, 510 U.S. at 21,
114 S.Ct. 367, 126 2. Ed. 2^d 295
(1993)

Proving that the discriminatory
based on sex created a hostile
and abusive work environment

Meritor Savings Bank v.
Vinson
Michelle

477 US 57 (1986)

Chief Justice William Rehnquist
Sexual harassment is
Violation of Civil Rights 1964

U. S. Supreme Court Chief
Justice William Rehnquist
had 33 years on court
and on case decision
Mentor v. Vinson

477 US 57 —

I have had 30 years
"justice since" pushed and
pinned down on pool table
at work April 24, 1991, rape.
This altered my work
condition; however became case
law for "severe or pervasive" clause
of sexual harassment — Rape
86 F. 3d 167 (10th Cir. 1996)

I will present sufficient material
DIA & C. #92S1673 and R. Witness List, employee
files
13 —

Facts for relief and damages awarded

- 1) denied my trial, opinion biased Judge Span said sexual harassment not a federal issue
"As opn. of Oct 1994
Calls me an egg shell plaintiff, defamation of character and my parents, abusive and alcoholic
28 pages of lies slander
Appendix C (denied)
- 2) affidavits of Mr Richard Rehr
MS Mayor A. Cremer

3) supervisory employee
Dispatcher John Chapman II
file and
manager's file

4) but ^{NOT} Bonta Barrett
Jen Daiford testimony
of work environment
year 1993 never
Judge Spain seeing
Laellaw's failure to
remedy sexual Hostile
Work Environment for
me, Ms. Creamer.
so as to work.

5) (addlaw Sexual Policy
Harassment

I was assaulted, battered
for 3 months, then April

24, 1991 attempted Rape
pushed and pinned on a
pool table at WORK.

10th court appeal
Court failed to
remedy Laidlaw's
Sexual hostile work
environment conditions
"Intolerable for me to continue working"
(b) Barrett EEOC action
witness test for Clemons
1991 "sear or persuade"
work environment filing
on Supervisor John Capman III
Case # 95M-792 Dist of Co
Barrett v Laidlaw

7) An opinion published
was given
86 F^{3d} 167 (10th Cir 1996)
is a decision of precedential
value to become part of the
body of law, used for future
decisions. ?????????????? Taken
Prisoner

May 24, 2019 day of
Tornado, NO Public entity shall
discriminate against an individual
with a disability in connection with
her condition as was under

"RACIAL ACT" against
Creamer, me and "Freedom"
Seven deg.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Exhausting on merits of
Case #1 Exhibit of Sexual
harassment policy at work
#92S1673 lower case court
ERRORS of LAW, FACTS becoming
Dist of Colorado case law for "SEVERE,
PERVERSIVE"

clause
for
precedent.

EXHIBIT #1 OF CASE NUMBER 92-S-1673

POLICY FROM THE EMPLOYEE MANUAL OF LAIDLAW

SEXUAL HARASSMENT
AND INDECENT, LEWD, OR VULGAR CONDUCT

- A. Use of indecent, lewd, or vulgar language or gestures while on duty, or on Company premises or equipment, or in uniform, toward passengers or other employees, will not be tolerated. Violation of this policy may result in suspension or termination.
- B. Sexual advances, actions or threats toward another employee, passenger, bystander while on duty or Company or RTD property or equipment will not be tolerated. Violation of this policy may result in suspension or termination. Report any such incidents to management immediately.

Constitutional violations of Lower COURT

- denied jury trial Amendment 7
- denied appeal Amendment 10

(law of sexual harassment
clause "severe or pervasive")
WRONGFULLY 10th crucial errors
more than single incident
- 3 months of hostile sexual
harassment then April 24, 1991
attempt Rape, assault battery
which altered work environment
- no arrests or termination of
perpetrators nor correct
redress the sexual hostile work environment
- Sexual harassment policy
Exhibit #1 #9251673

attorney AT Brake unprofessional conduct
representing Mayor A. Creame

Revered and Hon. Sir, f/c
Events 30 Years May 24, 2019

Restitution of using her
Good NAME - CREAMER Dr. LANDLAW
86 F. 3rd 167 (10th court 1996)

affidants Mr Richard Fehn

Ms Mayoris Creamer

Witness Bonta Bennett, ^{John Chapman} ~~settled~~.

While Mayor A. Creamer, me of
30 years injustice, police brutality
false arrests, false Confinements
A current racial issue Black bus
Kansas City bus drivers & Metro Bus.
6 years of this, then refusal to dis-
board

Reasons for Granting
WRIT

Public Transit

bus riders

No public entity shall
discriminate against
an individual with a
disability in connection
with bus riders.

22
~~22~~

Means public transportation providers
cannot refuse to provide you with transportation
because you have a disability

Mayra A. Clemon (ADA)
was refused EQUAL Access
and her service dog "Freedom"

were refused public transportation
because of her disability ^{May 24, 2019} as

cannot also in Municipal Court for ^{Amad} #6
judges ^{Violation} a speedy rights trial. #6000
KCMO ticket. As judges also
The judges discretion
No. 99 trial CMS ED
in 1994 Oct and cannot
presiding over case refusing to
recuse self as Judge Span

had a conflict of interest
His ^{Span} son was murdered

P. 23
and a employee of MILE H)
S. H. Staff Club 1990s. Clemon
is own a gestor or touch at Work ^{Springfield} in judges order
character

CONCLUSION

Asking for oral argument.

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

MARJORIE A. CREAMER
MARJORIE A. CREAMER, nonfiction book
THE HUSH

Date:

July 9, 2021

Aug 12, 2021

Sept 24, 2021