

21-5881

ORIGINAL

No. \_\_\_\_\_

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

SEP 24 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IN RE: MARJORIE A. CREAMER  
(Your Name) PETITIONER

vs.

~~EXTRAORDINARY~~ RESPONDENT(S), Mandamus

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Published false Law

86 F.3d 167 (10th circ 1996)

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

EXTRAORDINARY  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

"PUBLIC IMPORTANCE"

MARJORIE A. CREAMER

(Your Name) PO BOX 216

~~PO BOX 216~~

(Address) BISON KS 67520

~~BISON KS 67520~~

(City, State, Zip Code)

816-872-2803

(Phone Number)

# Question

Sexual Harassment causing chain events  
False Case Law setting false

Set of rules/principles 86 F.3<sup>rd</sup> 1167 (10<sup>th</sup> circuit

1996) CREAMER v. LAIDLAW

An unsoundness of an insane hostile work environment  
Men never arrested for Sexual Harassment, assault, battery - RAPE on April

24, 1991 - question "Severe, pervasive

for Sexual Harassment at work?  
BECAME - False Case Law for EMPLOYMENT

~~denied~~ - Denied JURY TRIAL Oct 1994.

then 26 years of Creamer arrested,

beaten, falsely confined and called  
mental for no reason. Police have  
excessive force charges, Creamer has

false record? Violation May 24, 2019!

KCMD Metro KCATA Bus.

62. Epstein THE HUSH

Weinstein <sup>Harvey</sup>  
film producer

"Want tell Senator  
Hays" G. I. Jane

Military Tailhook

SEXUAL HARASSMENT AND INDECENT, LEWD, OR VULGAR CONDUCT

QUESTION

Movie

A. Use of indecent, lewd, or vulgar language or gestures while on duty, or on Company premises or equipment, or in uniform, toward passengers or other employees, will not be tolerated. Violation of this policy may result in suspension or termination.

B. Sexual advances, actions or threats toward another employee, passenger, bystander while on duty or Company or RTD property or equipment will not be tolerated. Violation of this policy may result in suspension or termination. Report any such incidents to management immediately.

United States Supreme Court Question  
INSUBORDINATION

\* Denied Jury Trial - Oct 1994

Any employee refusing to follow the direction of management may be suspended pending investigation. Pending the completion of the investigation further suspension or termination may be imposed.

S.H. Case law 86 F. 3<sup>rd</sup> 167 (10<sup>th</sup> circuit 1996)  
EMPLOYEE ERRORS  
"Severe and pervasive"

Our contract with RTD allows for assessments of monetary penalties against the Company for lost or substandard service. These are known as "liquidated damages". Examples of liquidated damages include, but are not limited to driving off route, not using traincards correctly, avoidable lateness (more than 10 minutes on local routes, 3 minutes on express routes), improper radio procedures, express bus station procedures, dispatch errors, improper maintenance repairs or cleaning.

EMPLOYEE TRAINING AND QUALIFICATIONS

#9251673 DIST of Colorado State

Every employee must have a valid Colorado drivers license. Drivers, driver trainers and maintenance employees must possess a valid Colorado Class S license. A copy of the license must be on file in the employee's personnel file. Employees must be at least 21 years old, have no more than four points on their driving record over the past two years, and pass the chemical screen. In addition, each candidate for a driving position must go through the Laidlaw training program.

23 10

903323



May 24, 2019

# Public Transit bus riders

No public entity shall  
discriminate against  
an individual with a  
disability in connection  
with bus riders.

# LIST OF PARTIES

[ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

See attached  
and below

Dist. of Colorado RELATED CASES

#92 S. 1673  
Marjorie A. Creamer v. Laidlaw

#95 M 792 — EEOC filed 1993 year  
Benita Barrett v. Laidlaw

— Mary  
#95 cv 392 Raybal v. Laidlaw

#01 cv 1240 Baumann v. Greyhound

#02 cv 1908 Bartko v. Laidlaw

attached additional  
Cases, parties  
involved

Dist of Colorado, #9251673

Arapahoe Co. <sup>cases #</sup> 1995-1997  
Colorado

→ 86 F.3<sup>d</sup> 167 (10 cert 1996)  
false law Creamer v Landman

- ELUS CO. DISTRICT, Kansas Court

#2004-48

09 —

08 - 341

08 - 4126

07 - 35

15 - 17

15CR139 VIII

Cases CREAMER, MARJORIE filed  
10<sup>th</sup> Circuit Appeal #2016-3206

#94-1593 Laidlaw #16-3209

#2003-1019 Laidlaw #2018-3188 <sup>ident</sup>  
DIST OF KANSAS Laidlaw

#2011-3358

11-3362

11-3372

12-3018 - LAIDLAW

12-3127

13-3333

14-4701

14-3252

14-4083

15-3048

16-3202

16-3204

16-3235

Lained  
st

HPD -  
Hays Police  
Dept.  
Settlement  
Excessive  
Force  
1983  
violation

#94-1593

CREAMER v  
LAIDLAW

Yr. 1996 false  
published  
case law

86 F.3<sup>d</sup> 167  
(10<sup>th</sup> Circuit 1996)

VIII

CREAMER, MARJORIE  
U.S. Supreme Court Cases filed  
DAY year 2021 <sup>#</sup> LAIDLAW  
false law

CREAMER v LAIDLAW  
Denied appeal #92S1673 (Dec 1994)  
Judge Sparr (Oct 1994)  
however see 10<sup>th</sup> circuit appeal

# 94-1593  
published law 86 F.3d 167  
(10<sup>th</sup> Circuit 1996)

ERROR of COURT ERROR of FACT  
LOWER COURTS

U.S. Supreme Court filings (doc defective Record)  
2012 - 5606 ESIS  
General Motors  
Claim Agent

2012 - 5833 SMITH COUNTY  
KS - Police

2014 - 6350 - GENERAL  
MOTORS

2021 <sup>#</sup> - LAIDLAW  
X TRANSIT - FED.  
REPORTER



US Supreme Court

ESIS #12 - 5606

Smith <sup>H</sup>  
Sheriff 2012 - 5833

General  
Motors 2014 - 6350

all cert denied

SD of NY - General Motors,  
Claims still  
in court SD of NY  
year 2021

XI

General Motors  
Bankruptcy  
SD of NY # 5009-50026

Judge Robert  
Gerber

General Motors  
Dist of Kansas # 2011-4028

appeal

GM agent  
ESF  
claim

11-4110

11-33\_\_

11-4067  
Creamer & Landlaw

11-4066 appeal  
11-3362

SD District of NY

# 14 MD 2543

14 - 6350  
16 - 3923  
XII

US Supreme  
Court - GM

police 1983 violator  
Western Excessive Force  
Dist of Missouri

KCPD 2016-816  
Don Ebert  
appeal 8th Ct  
Creamer worth for  
metro Bus  
# 18-1511

KCPD 2016-817 # 17-1181

cleaner  
Brown

Demid reconsideration  
July 26

Dist of Ellis Co.  
Kansas

15-17

15 cr 139

XIII

parties of 30 years

2021  
Current to 1991

current Municipal Court, Kansas City, MO  
Jackson Co.

KCATA - Transt Inc.

Bus Rider — #6000148912-4

Dist of Missouri  
Western Division

KCATA  
#2019-21

#2019-363

NKC  
police #19-528

Dist of Kansas — government employees  
disciplinary action  
#2019-2044

Washburn

For Estate Howard Leroy Ellis #13pr22  
Kansas, Smith County District Court  
XIII

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2/9
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	3/85
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	9-17
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	18-19
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

- CREAMER v LAIDLAW TRANST INC
- APPENDIX A - 86 F. 3<sup>rd</sup> 167 (10<sup>th</sup> circuit 1996) - Bus Drive
- APPENDIX B - Appeal denied #92S1673 (Witness Li: employee file)
- APPENDIX C - Opinion #92S1673, Judge Sparr District of Colo. pages 3-10
- APPENDIX D - 03-1019 Denied, Dist Ct of Appeals 10<sup>th</sup>
- APPENDIX E - Waiting at Bus Stop #06-816, Judge Fernando J. Gaitan Jr. April 20, 2017 EXCESSIVE FORCE
- APPENDIX F - Denied Counsel July 25, 2017

G - Dismiss March 1, 2018 #06-816, appeal

KANSAS SMITH CENTER, KANSAS #13 p. 27 #18-1511

State Courts - C - ESTATE HOWARD ELLS Unfinished Confined

A - Numerous KCATA 6 years complaints Petreals Rider

B - KCATA Metro Discrimination, disablt due to service dog + Condition

May 24, 2019 #G000148912-4

SDNY #19-02973 Construction  
 TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED  
 Cases *Tierra Williams v. Realty S. Corp* PAGE NUMBER  
*Meritor Savings Bank* 8, 6, 12, 13  
*Michelle Vinson* P. 10,  
 477 US 57 (1986)

*Harris v Forklift Systems* 7, 6, 12  
 510 US 17 (1993) P. 10

*Faragher v. City of Boca Raton* 11  
 524 U.S. 775 (1998)  
 STATUTES AND RULES

BUS Driver  
 Sexual Harassment Policy page 10  
 LADLAW (RTD) page 10  
 BUS Rider "Public Transportation" Disruption

Bill of Rights - Constitutional  
 Amend #7 - jury 20-  
 Amend #10 - appeal

ADA - 1964, 1990 page 9  
 28 U.S.C. § 1651(a) "Severe or persuasive"  
 OTHER False published

86 F.3d 167 (10th Cir 1996) - ALL

- articles, reviews written  
 - Bonta Banett, EEOC action filed  
 #95M792 Dist of Colorado 16-

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☒ reported at 86 F.3<sup>rd</sup> 167 (10<sup>th</sup> circuit 1996), or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, A & Brake  
☐ is unpublished. ineffectiveness of counsel, using my  
GOOD NAME

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix C to the petition and is Opinion Dist of Colorado, Judge Sparr

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

6 years retreated off bus, refused fare  
ticket # GOOD/489/2-4 Municipal Roman  
City  
MO  
The opinion of the BLUESHIP #16-816 court  
appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is  
☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or, as a bus rider  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished. KCMO, Don Ebert  
Excess force

Dist of MO KCATA  
#19-21  
19-363

1. Gerald 8<sup>th</sup> and #18-1511  
HPD Hays, KS, Dist of KS #4871

May  
24  
2019  
Court

## JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was Appendix A *published*

June 11, 1996 86 F. 3<sup>rd</sup> 167 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir 1996)

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: Dec 1994, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix B.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was undecided  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix May 24, 2019 *violation*

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing 2021  
appears at Appendix night Tornado - Black Bus Drive

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted Male  
to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in  
Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).



CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Denied Bill of Rights  
Amendment 7

Right to Jury  
Dist of Colorado #9251673  
denied and held by biased Judge Spain  
not "severe or pervasive"

Jury trial would verdict  
Creamer on case alone with evidence  
presented. Att'd's Richard Rehn

attached  
Mayne Creamer (U.S. Dist  
See Court REC'D  
attached)

Denied  
Amendment 10 Right to an appeal

attached Sexual Harassment  
policy  
Exhibit #1 in Dist of Colorado #9251673  
Creamer v. Laidlaw

Civil Rights 1964 - 1991  
Bus.  
Assault, Battery Rape due to

race, sex - at WORK a Bus Driver  
rnr

Hostile Sexual Work Environment

questioned Federal issue EEOC

" 86 F. 3d 167 (10th Cir., 1996)  
Severe OR Pervasive

The environmental condition of Cardenas  
work environment after work  
condition

3 months - 1991

6 weeks unpaid wages  
public transit year 2019  
discrimination as a bus Driver.  
rnr

My own attorney, Brake, as  
governmental employees  
and US Dist of Colorado Judge, Sparr  
malicious, intent to harm  
me by - witness, Boruta Barrett, case  
since false case law

86 F. 3<sup>d</sup> 167 (10<sup>th</sup> Cir/1996)  
using Creamer's good name, for FALSE  
"Severe or pervasive" sexual

Work environment  
Using clause and good name.

Lower Judge Sparr defamation  
of Creamer's character in his  
lengthy opinion 1994 Creamer

2021 governmental employees  
Physical, emotional abuse and  
harassment. Appendix A, B  
C

U.S. Supreme Court  
about time of C v L Dis of Colo #92S1673  
Incident 3 mos, April 11, 1991

Meritor Savings Bank

v  
Michelle Vinson

477 U.S. 57 (1986)

The Supreme Court holds that sexual harassment that creates a hostile environment is a form of sex discrimination prohibited by Title VII of Civil Rights Act 1964.

Harris v Forklift Systems

510 U.S. 17 (1993)

The Supreme Court holds that a person does not have to prove

psychological damage in order  
to prevail in a sexual harassment suit,  
but can win based on evidence of  
conduct that would reasonably be  
perceived to be hostile and sexually  
abusive.

False Case, based on <sup>Derry trial jury</sup> Judge

86 F. 3<sup>d</sup> 167 (10<sup>th</sup> circuit 1996)

Lower case Dist of Colorado #9251673

Exhibit #1  
3 months sexual harass (ie trainer)  
Rick Reiser  
Assault, battery rape at work

1<sup>st</sup> Landmark Sexual  
Harassment ~~Harassment~~ Justice William H. Rehnquist  
Merrill Savings Bank

(1986) 477 U.S. 576, 65 V. Unions 9-0 vote  
unanimous

As to the bank's liability,  
the Court of Appeals held that an  
employee is absolutely liable for  
sexual harassment by supervising  
personnel whether or not the employer  
knew or should have known about it.

Claim of sexual harassment  
"hostile environment" is a

form of sexual harassment

Retired U.S. Supreme Justice • Sandra Day O'Connor 2006  
• Judge Spain dies 2006 • Creamer selling book  
8 THE HUSTLE

# Public Transit bus riders

No public entity shall  
discriminate against  
an individual with a  
disability in connection  
with bus riders.

TORNADO  
May 24 2019  
#

KCMO 600014  
8912-4  
ticket

9  
~~11~~

# Statement of Case

The relevant facts will prove the severe incidents and pervasive, sexual hostile work environment that runs my ~~the~~ conditions at work and I could no longer work there, and employers behaving never corrected. This is the last court of the last resort to correct my good name and last 30 years of a false case law.

Banett  
Laidlaw

due to attorney AT Brake, unprofessional conduct.

86 F. 3<sup>rd</sup> 167

(10<sup>th</sup> circuit 1996)

CREAMER v. LAIDLAW TRANSIT INC.

EEOC  
1993  
Filed



utterance; and whether it unreasonably interferes with the employee's work performance.

Conduct not continuing, severe, and pervasive enough to create objectively hostile or abusive work environments that a reasonable person would find hostile or abusive is beyond Title VII's purview.

In this case, they found that the defendant's behavior -- the supervisor's behavior was relatively limited, that he was forthright in admitting that the advances were unwelcome. It simply did not rise to the level of pervasive harassment as the term is defined.

It also indicates that the plaintiff, beyond showing that harassment occurred, must produce evidence of the shortcomings of the response. Nash versus Electrospace System, 9 F.3d, Fifth Circuit at page 401, also illustrates the theory of response as a consideration in sexual harassment.

We have cases as late as June 1994, Bouton versus BMW, a Third Circuit case at 29 F.3d 103, Third Circuit ('94), speaking to this issue. Hostile work environment claims require proof of pervasive, severe, intentional discrimination affecting the plaintiff that would also affect a reasonable person.

And they still look to Meritor versus Vinson as the landmark. In that case, the plaintiff sought to have BMW held

62

THE HUSH <sup>author</sup> MARGORIE A  
CREAMER

Dist of Color  
#92S1673

QUESTION S.H.  
30 years ago

DENIED JURY TRIAL  
SEXUAL HARASSMENT AND INDECENT, LEWD, OR VULGAR CONDUCT

- A. Use of indecent, lewd, or vulgar language or gestures while on duty, or on Company premises or equipment, or in uniform, toward passengers or other employees, will not be tolerated. Violation of this policy may result in suspension or termination.
- B. Sexual advances, actions or threats toward another employee, passenger, bystander while on duty or Company or RTD property or equipment will not be tolerated. Violation of this policy may result in suspension or termination. Report any such incidents to management immediately.

INSUBORDINATION

Year 1991 - winter months  
(last incidents) April 24, 1991  
Any employee refusing to follow the direction of management may be suspended pending investigation. Pending the completion of the investigation further suspension or termination may be imposed.

S.H.

became case law

86 F. 3<sup>rd</sup> - 1167 (10<sup>th</sup> circuit 1996)  
EMPLOYEE ERRORS

CREAMER V. LAIDLAW

Our contract with RTD allows for assessments of monetary penalties against the Company for lost or substandard service. These are known as "liquidated damages". Examples of liquidated damages include, but are not limited to driving off route, not using traincards correctly, avoidable lateness (more than 10 minutes on local routes, 3 minutes on express routes), improper radio procedures, express bus station procedures, dispatch errors, improper maintenance repairs or cleaning.



Exhibit #1 #92S1673 CREAMER  
EMPLOYEE TRAINING AND QUALIFICATIONS LAIDLAW

(ie Greyhound Bus)

Every employee must have a valid Colorado drivers license. Drivers, driver trainers and maintenance employees must possess a valid Colorado Class S license. A copy of the license must be on file in the employee's personnel file. Employees must be at least 21 years old, have no more than four points on their driving record over the past two years, and pass the chemical screen. In addition, each candidate for a driving position must go through the Laidlaw training program.

National Transportation - LAIDLAW  
Colorado Tailhook Scandal 1991 year

Employer, Landlaw failed to take reasonable steps to prevent such acts and should be held accountable for

"severe OR pervasive"

as a reasonable jury would have concluded. Cleaner, I was denied a jury trial

- 1) I am member of protected class
- 2) I was subject to UNWELCOMED sexual harassment
- 3) Harassment based on my sex
- 4) Harassment created Hostile sexual work environment
- 5) Supervisors (file see) harassing actions were foreseeable or

full within his scope of employment, and the employer failed to respond adequately and effectively.

Faragher, 1185 Ct at 2293  
an employer can escape liability only if it took reasonable care to prevent and correct any sexually harassing behavior.

Faragher v. City of Boca Raton  
524 US 775, 118 S Ct. 2275, 2283,  
141 L. Ed. 2<sup>nd</sup> 662 (1998)

Hostile Work Environment based on  
supervisor actions.

Further discrimination in the  
workplace occurs [when the  
workplace is permeated with

discriminatory intimidation,  
ridicule and insult that is  
sufficiently "severe or pervasive"  
to alter the condition of the  
victims' employment and create  
an abusive working environment."

Harris, 510 U.S. at 21,  
114 S.Ct. 367, 126 L. Ed. 2d 295  
(1993)

Proving that the discriminatory  
based on sex created a hostile  
and abusive work environment

Meritor Savings Bank v.  
Michell Vinson

477 US 57 (1986)

Chief Justice William Rehnquist  
sexual harassment is  
violation of Civil Rights 1964

U.S. Supreme Court Chief  
Justice William Rehnquist  
had 33 years on court  
and on case decision  
Mentor v. Vinson

477 US 57 —

I have had 30 years  
injustice since "pushed and  
pinned down" on pool table  
at work April 24, 1991, rape.

This altered my work  
Condition; however became case  
law for "severe or pervasive" "Claim  
of sexual harassment — Rape

86 F. 3d 167 (10th Cir 1996)

I will present judicial Materials  
Dkt Co. #9251673 AFD Rm, Witness List, employee  
Files  
13 —

# Facts for relief and damages awarded

- 1) denied jury trial, opinion  
biased Judge Span  
said sexual harassment  
not a federal issue  
"As opin. of Oct 1994  
calls me an eggshell  
plaintiff, defamation  
of character and  
my parents, abusive  
and alcoholic

28 pages of  
Lies, slander  
Appendix C (denial)

- 2) affidavits of  
MR Richard Rehr  
MS MAYOR A. Creamer  
14

3) supervisory employee  
dispatcher John Chapman III  
file and  
manager's file

4) <sup>NOT</sup> Bonta Barrett  
Jenni Sanford testimony  
of work environment  
year 1993 never  
Judge Spar seeing  
Laurel's failure to  
remedy sexual hostile  
work environment for  
me, MS. Creamer.  
so as to work.

5) Laurel's Sexual Policy  
Harassment

I was assaulted, battered  
for 3 months, then April



24, 1991 attempted Rape  
pushed and pinned on a  
pool table at WORK.

10<sup>th</sup> circuit appeal  
Court failed to  
remedy Laidlaw's  
Sexual hostile work  
environment conditions

"Intolerable for me to continue working"

(6) Barrett EEOC action  
witness list for Cleaners"

1991 "sex or perverse  
work environment filing  
on supervisor John Capman III  
Case # 95M-792 Dist of Co  
Barrett v Laidlaw

7)

An opinion published

has been

86F3<sup>rd</sup> 167 (10<sup>th</sup> Oct/1996)

is a decision of precedential  
value to become part of the  
body of law, used for future  
decisions.

???????????????? Taken  
Prisoner

May 24, 2019 day of  
Tornado, NO Public entity shall  
discriminate against an individual  
with a disability in connection with  
her condition as has under

"RACIAL ACT" against  
Creamer, me and "Freedom"  
Senior dog.

17

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Exhausting on merits of  
Case #1 Exhibit of Sexual  
harassment policy at work  
#92S1673 Lower Case Court  
ERROS of LAW, FACTS  
Dist of Colorado becoming  
case law for "SEVERE"  
PERSASIVE

clause  
for  
precedent.

EXHIBIT #1 OF CASE NUMBER 92-S-1673

POLICY FROM THE EMPLOYEE MANUAL OF LAIDLAW

SEXUAL HARASSMENT  
AND INDECENT, LEWD, OR VULGAR CONDUCT

- A. Use of indecent, lewd, or vulgar language or gestures while on duty, or on Company premises or equipment, or in uniform, toward passengers or other employees, will not be tolerated. Violation of this policy may result in suspension or termination.
- B. Sexual advances, actions or threats toward another employee, passenger, bystander while on duty or Company or RTD property or equipment will not be tolerated. Violation of this policy may result in suspension or termination. Report any such incidents to management immediately.

18

## Constitutional violations of Lower COURT

- denied jury trial Amendment 7
- denied appeal Amendment 10

(law of sexual harassment  
clause "severe or pervasive")  
WRONGFULLY 10<sup>th</sup> circuit errors  
more than single incident  
- 3 months of hostile sexual  
environment then April 24, 1991  
attempt rape, assault battery  
which altered WORK environment  
- no arrests or termination of  
perpetrators nor correct  
redress the sexual hostile work environment  
- Sexual harassment policy  
Exhibit #1 #9251673  
20

attorney AT Brake unprofessional conduct  
representing Maynor A. Creamer

Renewal and Affirmative  
Event 30 years May 24, 2019

Restitution of using her  
GOOD NAME + CREAMER Dr. LANDLAW  
86 F. 3<sup>rd</sup> 167 (10<sup>th</sup> court 1996)

Affidants Mr Richard Rehn

Ms Maynor Creamer

Witness Bonta Barnett, ~~attendant~~ John Chapman

While Maynor A. Creamer, me of  
30 years injustice, police brutality  
false arrests, false confinements

A current racial issue Black bus  
Kansas City bus driver of Metro Bus  
6 years of this, then refusal to dis-  
board  
pg 21

Reasons for Granting  
WRIT

Public Transit  
bus riders

No public entity shall  
discriminate against  
an individual with a  
disability in connection  
with bus riders.

22  
~~1005~~

Means public transportation providers  
cannot refuse to provide you with transport  
because ~~of~~ you have a disability

Mayor A. Creamer (ADA)  
was refused EQUAL (Access)  
and her service dog "Freedom"

were refused public transportation  
because of her disability as  
May 24, 2019

Current judges also in municipal court for <sup>Amad</sup> #6  
a speedy rights <sup>violation</sup> trial #6000  
KCMO ticket AS judges also

No jury trial CAUSED  
in 1994 Oct and current  
presiding over case refusing to  
recuse self as Judge Span

had a conflict of interest  
His <sup>Span</sup> son was murdered

page 23 and a employee of MILE H  
S. H. Star Club 1990's. Creamer  
is over a gesture or touch at work <sup>in judges order</sup> character

CONCLUSION

Asking for oral argument.

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

MARJORIE A. CREAMER  
Myrie A Creamer, nonfiction book  
THE HUSH

Date:

~~July 9, 2021~~

~~AUG 12, 2021~~

Sept 24, 2021

