


21-5869

ORIGINAL

In the  
Supreme Court of the United States

IN RE ABDUL MOHAMMED, PETITIONER,




On Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition to  
the Executive Committee of the United States  
District Court for the Northern District of  
Illinois and United States Court of Appeal for  
the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit

**PETITION FOR WRIT OF  
MANDAMUS/PROHIBITION**

Abdul Mohammed  
*Pro Se Petitioner*  
258 E. Bailey Rd, Apt C,  
Naperville, IL 60565  
(630) 854-5345  
aamohammed@hotmail.com

September 27, 2021



## **QUESTION PRESENTED**

The questions presented are:

- 1) whether the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit shall transfer pending Appeal # 20-2419 and Appeal # 21-1591 to a different circuit;
- 2) whether the Orders of the Executive Committee (hereinafter Executive Committee) of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois (hereinafter District Court) shall be vacated as void *ab initio* (Nunc Pro Tunc).

## **PARTIES TO PROCEEDING**

Petitioner (Plaintiff-Appellant in Appeal # 20-2419 and Appeal # 21-1591, Respondent in Executive Committee proceedings and Petitioner-Appellant in Appeal # 20-3178) is Abdul Mohammed.

Respondents in this court are the members of the Executive Committee and the United States Court of Appeals for the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit and all the judges of the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit (hereinafter 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit).

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

QUESTIONS PRESENTED .....	2
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	6
PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS .....	7
OPINIONS BELOW .....	7
JURISDICTION .....	8
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	8
REASONS FOR GRANTING MANDAMUS .....	9
CONCLUSION .....	10

## APPENDIX

### Appendix A

Amended Motion for Transfer (Case # 20-2419) .....	App-001-004
Amended Motion for Transfer (Case # 21-1591) .....	App-005-008
Motion for Explanation # 20-2419) .....	App-009
Motion for Explanation (Case # 21-1591) .....	App-010
Motion for Miscellaneous Relief (Case # 21-1591) .....	App-011-013
Order denying Amended Motion for Transfer (Case # 20-2419) ...	App-014
Order denying Amended Motion for Transfer (Case # 20-2419)....	App-015
Order denying Motion for Explanation (Case # 22419).....	App-016
Order denying Motion for Explanation (Case # 21-1591 .....	App-017
Order denying Motion for Miscellaneous Relief (Case # 21-1591)	App-018
Executive Committee Order of June 17, 2020.....	App-019-020
Executive Committee Order of August 13, 2020.....	App-021-022
Executive Committee Order of September 16, 2021.....	App-023-024
Complaint from Mohammed v Bruton, Case 21-L-63085 (Cook	

County Circuit) ..... App-025-033  
Sanction Order of the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit of  
January 11, 2021, ..... App-034-035

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### **Cases:**

<i>Cheney v. United States Dist. Court for D.C.</i> (03-475) 542 U.S. 367 (2004) 334 F.3d 1096.) .....	6
<i>Mohammed v Bruton et.al</i> (Case # 21-L-63085) (Cook County Circuit Court) .....	7

### **Constitutional Provisions:**

1 <sup>st</sup> Amendment Right to Petition and Access to Courts.....	10
Due Process and Equal Protection Clause of the 5 <sup>th</sup> Amendment.....	10
Due Process and Equal Protection Clause of the 14 <sup>th</sup> Amendment.....	10

## **PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS**

This case presents unique events which have culminated in retaliation and harassment against the Petitioner by the Executive Committee and the individual Respondents who are judges of the District Court and the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit with prejudice towards Petitioner's race, color, religion, ethnicity, national origin, citizenship, and disabilities, etc. A Federal Judge can only hold office during his/her good behavior and the behavior of the individual Respondents is far from good. The Petitioner is suing the individual Respondents for their retaliation and harassment (*Mohammed v Bruton et.al* (Case # 21-L-63085) (Cook County Circuit Court) (App-025-033).

## **OPINIONS BELOW**

Order denying Motion for Transfer (Case # 20-2419) .....	App-014
Order denying Motion for Transfer (Case # 21-1591) .....	App-015
Order denying Motion for Explanation (Case # 20-2419 .....	App-016
Order denying Motion for Explanation (Case # 21-1591) .....	App-017
Order denying Motion for Miscellaneous Relief (Case # 21-1591 .....	App-018
Executive Committee Order of June 17, 2020..... .....	App-019-020
Executive Committee Order of August 13, 2020 .....	App-021-002
Executive Committee Order of September 16, 2021 .....	App-022-023
Sanction Order of the 7 <sup>th</sup> Circuit of January 11, 2021.....	App-034-035

## **JURISDICTION**

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. 1651. The judgments of the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit were entered on September 17, 2021, and September 24, 2021. A Writ of Mandamus is an order from a court to an inferior government official ordering the government official to properly fulfill their official duties or correct an abuse of discretion. (See, e.g., *Cheney v. United States Dist. Court for D.C.* (03-475) 542 U.S. 367 (2004) 334 F.3d 1096.)

## **STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

Petitioner is suing several judges of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois and the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit as described in *Mohammed v Thomas Bruton et.al* (Case # 21-L-63085) (App-025-033) and for the reasons, as described in *Mohammed v Thomas Bruton et.al* (Case # 21-L-63085) (App-025-033), the Petitioner filed Amended Motion for Transfer (Appeal # 20-2419) (App-001-004) and Amended Motion for Transfer (Appeal # 21-1591) (App-005-008) but the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit denied those Amended Motions for Transfer without any explanation (App-014) and (App-015). Then the Petitioner filed Motion for Explanation (Appeal # 20-2419) (App-009) and Motion for Explanation (Appeal # 21-1591) (App-010) which were also denied (App-015) and App-016. Further, the Petitioner filed a Motion to Vacate the illegal sanction order entered by the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court on January 11, 2021 (App-011-013), but the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit has refused to file that Motion (App-018). Petitioner is repeating the complaint from *Mohammed v Thomas Bruton et.al* (Case # 21-L-63085) (App-025-033) as if fully set forth herein.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

For the reason as described in *Mohammed v Thomas Bruton et.al* (Case # 21-L-63085) (App-025-033) all the judges of the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit are disqualified from hearing any matter related to the Petitioner and further the judges of the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit have further retaliated against the Petitioner for filing *Mohammed v Thomas Bruton et.al* (Case # 21-L-63085) (App-025-033) by denying Amended Motion for Transfer (Appeal # 20-2419) (App-014), Amended Motion for Transfer (Appeal # 21-1591) (App-015), Motion for Explanation (Appeal # 20-2419) (App-016), Motion for Explanation (Appeal # 21-1591) (App-017). Further the Executive Committee Orders of June 17, 2020, August 13, 2020, and September 2021 shall be vacated for the same reasons as described in *Mohammed v Thomas Bruton et.al* (Case # 21-L-63085) (App-025-033). Further Executive Committee Order of September 16, 2021 (App-023-024) shall also be vacated for another reason and that is the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit did not barred the Petitioner from proceeding in a case in District Court or the Court of Appeals only as In Forma Pauperis as described in the Sanction Order of the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit (App-034-035) and the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit never barred the Petitioner from proceeding in a case in the District Court and the Court of Appeals by paying the filing fee and the Petitioner is proceeding in Case # 21-cv-4597. The 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit Sanction Order which is mentioned in the Executive Committee Order of September 16, 2021 (App-023-024), does not even exist and members of the Executive Committee made up that Order because the non-existing 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit Sanction Order mentioned in the Executive

Committee Order of September 16, 2021, cannot be an error because the Executive Committee Order of September 16, 2021, was reviewed by 7 judges of the District Court and the Clerk of the District Court. Further the actions of the Respondents as described in *Mohammed v Thomas Bruton et.al* (Case # 21-L-63085) (App-025-033) and as described in this Petition are violations of 1<sup>st</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> Amendments of the Constitution of the United States, Hate Crimes Act of Illinois and violations of various other federal and state laws and statutes.

## **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons set forth above, this court should grant the Petition for Mandamus/Prohibition, direct the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit to transfer Appeal # 20-2419 and Appeal # 21-1591 to a different Circuit, direct the District Court to vacate all Executive Committee Orders entered against the Petitioner as void ab initio (Nunc Pro Tunc) and direct the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit to vacate the illegal sanction order entered in Appeal # 20-3178.

Respectfully submitted,