

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Enrique E. Quintana — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

United States of America — RESPONDENT(S)

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Enrique E. Quintana, do swear or declare that on this date, November 15, 2020, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have served the enclosed MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* and PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.


The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

United States Supreme Court

United States Attorney

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on November 15, 2020


(Signature)

Respectfully submitted on this the 26 day of August
2021.

Enrique E. Quintana

Certificate of Service

I hereby swear under penalty of perjury that
I have sent a true copy of the foregoing to the
United States Attorney; and the original to the United
States Supreme Court, on this the day of
2021.

Enrique E. Quintana



CASE MANAGER

AUTHORIZED BY THE ACT OF JULY 7, 1955.

AS AMENDED TO ADMINISTER OATHS

18 U.S.C. 4004

Supreme Court of the United States
United States of America,
Respondant,

Case No.

V.

Enrique E. Quintana,
Petitioner,

Motion to File Writ Nun Pro Tunc

Comes now, Enrique E. Quintana, Pro Se in the above captioned case, and files this Motion for Honorable Court to accept his Writ of Certiorari as timely filed.

The Petitioner is currently housed at a Federal prison in Tucson, Arizona, which has been running under quarantine, and pandemic protocol beginning in March of 2020, and continuing until present. During this time the Petitioner placed his Writ of Certiorari in the Prison Legal Mail System on November 15, 2020.

According to a letter from the Attorney hired to do a case research 8-4-2021, the court did not receive his filings. The Petitioner now sends his Writ to this Honorable Court for the second time, and ask that the court consider it filed on the original date of sending, and thus, timely filed. The delay was not caused by any action on behalf of the Petitioner, and no prejudice will be afforded either party by this Honorable Court accepting his filings as timely. However, the Petitioner will be greatly prejudiced, and denied Constitutional, and procedural guarantees if his Motion is not granted.

