

21-5598

ORIGINAL

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Washington, D.C.

FILED  
JUN 08 2021  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Cedric Mack — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

Carhop Finance — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Cedric Mack  
(Your Name)

1423 N. Kansas Ave.  
(Address)

Topeka KS. 66608  
(City, State, Zip Code)

816-702-2991  
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1) Did Plaintiff File his Case in a timely Manner?
- 2) Why did you see fit, to reverse your default decision for the defendant?
- 3) Did you reverse your decision because the Plaintiff is a Negro and the Defendant is white?
- 4) Did it take well over the date, for the defendant to respond to Plaintiff Complaint?
- 5) Did the Judge break any laws in this case if so which ones?
- 6) Did the Plaintiff fill out the correct paper work so the US Marshalls could serve the lawsuit to the Defendant?
- 7) Did the US Marshalls or Court's Decide to send the Defendant the Complaint, Fed X?
- 8) Did Defendant sign for the Complaint from Fed X?
- 9) Can a defendant be served VIZ- ~~Fed-X~~ Fed-X?

## **LIST OF PARTIES**

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## **RELATED CASES**

Patricia M. Varier, Plaintiff, v. BIS Global, Defendant, CIVIL ACTION  
No: PX 16-3111

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
 is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 10-29-20.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

**CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

Rule 55. Default; Default Judgment (2)(2)

Rule 7004. PROCESS; SERVICE OF SUMMONS,

Complaint (2)(1)(2)(b) 4(8)(1)(4)(5)(7)(8)

Rule 15. Amended and ~~or~~ Supplemental Pleadings.  
(2)(8)(3)(2)

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Plaintiff, conducted his complaint in a timely manner. Defendant was well aware of the complaint. Therefore, the defendant acted on complaint when he or she saw fit. I filled out the correct papers for the US Marshalls to serve defendant. I have proof of it, they decided to send it FedEx, which is still liable. Furthermore, defendant sign for the complaint from FedEx. It was a default judgment based on the defendant.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

PLAINTIFF Followed all the laws, and sign the correct paper work for the Defendant to be served the Complaint. Default Judgement was overturned because the Courts alleged the Plaintiff did not properly serve Defendant. Furthermore, Plaintiff followed all the proper guidelines, which to serve the Defendant. IF anyone IS at fault it IS the Courts.

I believe race played some factors, in the reverse decision on the judge's decision. Therefore, it had a huge impact on the Plaintiff's case, Plaintiff begs the court to overturn the judge's decision. Plaintiff, followed the law and Plaintiff hopes the Supreme Court will to.

#### **CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 8-29-21