

APR 01 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No. _____

21-5597

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Jonathan Holt

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

VS.

U.S. OF America

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Jonathan Holt #72642-061

(Your Name)

Butner Federal Medical Center

(Address)

P.O. Box 1600

(City, State, Zip Code)

Butner, North Carolina

(Phone Number)

27509

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

APR 20 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- (1) whether counsel was ineffective for not pursuing a mental health exam on petitioner's behalf.
- (2) whether counsel was ineffective for not allowing petitioner to testify on his own behalf.
- (3) whether the lower court committed a fundamental error in charging the petitioner as a Adult, when in fact he was a Juvenile at the time of the offense.
- (4) whether counsel was ineffective for not challenging the proffer that was used against the petitioner.
- (5) whether petitioner is actually innocent of his Davis-united states, 139 S.Ct. 2319 (2019), claim and Rehaif-united states 139 S.Ct. 2191 (2019) claim.

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|---|
| OPINIONS BELOW..... | 1 |
| JURISDICTION | |
| CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED | |
| STATEMENT OF THE CASE | |
| REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT | |
| CONCLUSION | |

INDEX TO APPENDICES

| | |
|------------|---|
| APPENDIX A | U.S. Dist. Court Decision AND Order |
| APPENDIX B | U.S. Court of Appeals Order |
| APPENDIX C | U.S. Magistrate's Report AND Recommendation |
| APPENDIX D | |
| APPENDIX E | |
| APPENDIX F | |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

PAGE NUMBER

CASES

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----|--------------------------------|----|
| Ake - - - Oklahoma | 470 | us. 168, (1985) | 3 |
| Moore - - - Texas | | us. Supreme Court life-18-443 | |
| McWilliams - - - | | us. Supreme Court life-16-5244 | |
| Cutler - - - Sullivan | 446 | us. 350 (1980) | |
| Strickland - - - Washington | 466 | us. 687 | |
| Cronin - - - United States | 466 | us. 648 | 4 |
| Rock - - - Arkansas | 483 | us. 44, (1977) | 4 |
| Strickland - - - | | us. 466 us. 687 | 5 |
| Jones - - - Barnes | 463 | us. 745 (1983) | 5 |
| U.S. - - - Hood | 825 | F. 2d 667 (2nd Cir. 1977) | 5 |
| Mabbay - - - | | us. 139 S. Ct. 2319 (2019) | 8 |
| Davis - - - | | us. 139 S. Ct. 2191 (2019) | 10 |
| U.S. - - - Rehrig | | 924 (C), 924 (J) | 13 |

STATUTES AND RULES

| | | |
|----------|------------------------------|----|
| Title 11 | us. section 4241 (C), (D) | 13 |
| Title 18 | us. section 4247(b) | 13 |
| Title 18 | us. section 924 (C), 924 (J) | 13 |

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix ____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix ____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was
1/5/2021.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: NA, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix NA.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including NA (date) on NA (date) in Application No. A-_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was
_____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date:
_____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A-_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- ① Fifth Amendment of The united States Constitution
- ② Sixth Amendment of The united States Constitution
- ③ Eighth Amendment of The united States Constitution

Statutory provisions

- 18 U.S.C. section 4241 (b), (c), (d)
- 18 U.S.C. section 5032
- 18 U.S.C. section 924(j)
- 18 U.S.C. section 5031-5042

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

petitioner was arrested for Title
18 U.S.C. Section 1959(a)(1), Murder with
A Firearm, and Title 18 U.S.C. Section
924(j). petitioner proceeded to trial
and was convicted by a jury and sen-
tenced to life plus 25 years in A
Federal prison.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The reason why this Honorable Court should proceed and accept this case, is because petitioner is actually innocent of his Davis - United States, 139 S.Ct. 2314 (2019), claim, and petitioner's United States - Rehab claim, 139 S.Ct. 2191 (2019). Petitioner was a juvenile at the time of this case, yet he was unconstitutionally charged as an adult. There are the reasons why this Honorable Court should accept this case, and verify to the nation that no prisoner should ever be unconstitutionally incarcerated in any American prison.

Petitioner states the following in this writ of certiorari:

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

John H. H. H.

Date: 4/1/2021