


21-5591
No.

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit



Veretta Burnett

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

VS.

Merrick Garland et.al

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Veretta Burnett

(Your Name)

16600 Greenfield Rd. #307

(Address)

Detroit, Michigan 48235

(City, State, Zip Code)

313-675-3565

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Before the Court is Plaintiff and movant's Motion to Compel, Reopen and Reconsider original case 2:09-cv-14238 under Section 242 Liability of State officials who act under the color of law violating movants constitutional, 5th amendment right to freedom, justice and liberty. When the FBI and City of Highland Park did not pay Movant and Plaintiff for Geographically profiling the Missing Most Wanted World Leaders and Most Wanted Terrorist. City of Highland Park seek to hide Discovery by defaming my character by punishment by psychological psychiatric evaluations; to cover up Domestic and International Terrorism. City of Highland Park attorney is Outright lying in his Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs Motion to compel, James McGinnis states the reason City of Highland Park sought to dismiss my Motion in Appendix 5a pg.2 City of Highland Park states "Plaintiff is bringing up issues that were not related to original case such as Domestic Terrorism-international Terrorism. When in Fact James McGinnis himself Rule 32 (a) (2) through (8) Depositioned Plaintiff about Osama Bin Laden being in the curtilage of Plaintiffs and Movant home, escaping from a secret detention, he had been in since 1986 in the City of Highland Park Mi. James McGinnis seeks to hide Discovery of Domestic Terrorism in City of Highland Park by simply lying in his appeals and seeks to work me with out pay one reason is I alerted the FBI (Chris Hess- 7, David Harmon, and Gwen Rosenthal in her professional and personal capacity concerning FBI Most Wanted Osama Bin Laden)

(1) In General. At a hearing or trial, all or part of a deposition may be used against a party on these conditions:

- A) the party was present or represented at the taking of the deposition or had reasonable notice of it;
- B) it is used to the extent it would be admissible under the Federal Rules of Evidence if the deponent were present and testifying; and
- C) the use is allowed by Rule 32(a)(2) through (8).

(2) Impeachment and Other Uses. Any party may use a deposition to contradict or impeach the testimony given by the deponent as a witness, or for any other purpose allowed by the Federal Rules of Evidence.

3) Deposition of Party, Agent, or Designee. An adverse party may use for any purpose the deposition of a party or anyone who, when deposed, was the party's officer, director, managing agent, or designee under Rule 30(b)(6) or 31(a)(4).

(f) Unavailable Witness. A party may use for any purpose the deposition of a witness, whether or not a party, if the court finds:

- A) that the witness is dead; (Pertaining to All Stars Topless Bar)
- B) that the witness is more than 100 miles from the place of hearing or trial or is outside the United States, unless it appears that the witness's absence was procured by the party offering the deposition;
- C) that the witness cannot attend or testify because of age, illness, infirmity, or imprisonment;
- D) that the party offering the deposition could not procure the witness's attendance by subpoena.

1. Is City of Highland Park violating Plaintiffs 5th amendment right to freedom justice and liberty? My Liberty has been taken away working for the FBI and City of Highland Park for over 16 years - since 2005 - also was Veretta Burnett 4th amendment right and 14th amendment right to procedural and substantial due process violated when City of Highland Park Attorney James McGinnis outright lied in his Memorandum to dismiss Veretta Burnett's Motion "stating Plaintiff -Appellants request should be denied because the grounds for her request are not related to claims she brought in District Court in 2009 Rule 32 (a)-(8) Appendix 48a" James McGinnis Appendix 5a pg.2 (02/05/2011); Which is an outright lie, Plaintiff Veretta Burnett was deposed about Osama Bin Laden by City of Highland Park James McGinnis; Should Highland Park Pay Plaintiff and Movant Veretta Burnett 15 million dollars for work done for FBI Chris Hess in City of Highland Park Deposition of Veretta Burnett for finding the Missing Most Wanted Terrorist being detained by other Terrorist organizations. The Court Should impose Rule 11 (b)) In General. If, after notice and a reasonable opportunity to respond, the court determines that Rule 11(b) has been violated, the court may impose an appropriate sanction on any attorney, law firm, or party that violated the rule or is responsible for the violation. Absent exceptional circumstances, a law firm must be held jointly responsible for a violation committed by its partner, associate, or employee.

Did James McGinnis, Christopher Trainor and Association, City of Highland Park, Todd Perkins, seek to defraud the FBI violating Rule 11(b) under U.S.C. 42 section 242 that punishes state officials for violating Plaintiffs Veretta Burnett 4th, 5th, and 14th amendment? Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, Plaintiff Veretta Burnett may sue state or local officials for the "deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and [federal laws]." Under Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 (1971), you may sue federal officials for the violation of certain constitutional rights. Did the FBI violate the 5th amendment right to freedom liberty and justice of Plaintiffs Veretta Burnett's constitutional right when FBI did not pay Veretta Burnett for geographically profiling the 36 Most Wanted World Leaders and Most Wanted Terrorist when they go missing from the FBI. Did the FBI violate U.S.C. 42 ss 242 section 1983 and giving Burnett the immunities for working for the FBI finding the world leaders and Most Wanted Terrorist? How long has Plaintiff Veretta Burnett acted at the behest or agent of the state for the FBI?

2 Did Movant and Plaintiff show extra-ordinary circumstances to satisfy Rule 60(b)(6) judgement of District Court when Burnett filed Motion to re-open the case 2:09 cv 14238 where I was depositioned (Rule 32(a)(8) about Osama Bin Laden coming to my curtilage-home by City of Highland Park second a motion was filed by Plaintiff Veretta Burnett 2017 due to the fact Kim Jong Un the President of North Korea was being fed infected raw rats which turned into Covid 19 Rats and servicing customers with Acrylic nails, in the City of Highland Park in 2014-present. case No.17-2170 Appendix 4a,5a,16a

Did James McGinnis close the discovery of Osama Bin Laden because Plaintiff Veretta Burnett had implemented corrupt police officer Chief Theodore Caldwell II in the plot to the 911 - World Trade Center attack to the FBI and during the deposition on 02/16/2011 (Plaintiff Testified that Osama Bin Laden been inside America since 1986. Detained? Is City of Highland Park denying Plaintiff to due process under the 14th amendment to procedural and substantial due process, by misleading the court that the original case in 2009 was not about Terrorism when it actually was?

Is this a situation under the fourth and 14th amendment for example, if Angela (Plaintiff) knows Larry (City of Highland Park and James McGinnis) neighbor sells stolen equipment. And Larry (City of Highland Park) keeps the stolen equipment in his abandoned garage. And Angela (Plaintiff Veretta Burnett) enters Larry Garage and seizes the stolen Property (Osama Bin Laden & Hamza Bin Laden) and turns it (them) over to the Police (FBI) because Larry (City of Highland Park), customers are Government actors - who uses Larry Garage to sell stolen equipment. Angela (Plaintiff Veretta Burnett) must go to the FBI, As far as the 4th amendment (Plaintiff and movant Veretta Burnett) hasn't committed a crime. However is City of Highland Park responsible for the Plaintiffs financial lost, lost wages owed to Veretta Burnett Plaintiff and movant for not being paid for the work I have done for FBI agents Chris Hess-7 (Christopher Hess), David Harmon and Gwen Rosenthal in her personal and professional capacity in the City of Highland Park?

Why would the Federal District court Put Burnett Rule 17 (2)(c) case No. 20-12413 against McGee When Burnett is the Plaintiff and L. McGee is my daughter whom under Rule 17(2)(c) a Parent, or friend can sue for a minor or incompetent person. City of Highland Park Willful neglect caused me and my children great financial lost and bodily harm. My daughter Loreatha McGee did not work with the World Leaders and Most Wanted Terrorist, but she was still sex trafficked. My Daughter E.MDB was sexually assaulted by Ali Al- Kindi- Saddam Hussein at the age of 12. Which lead to Jacket and Child protective case.

When do private citizens become government actors? (Coolidge v New Hampshire (403 U.S. 443 {1971})

LIST OF PARTIES

☐ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Defendant 1: Merrick Garland United States Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530 .
Defendant 2: Saima Mohsin United States Attorneys Office 211 W. Fort street , Suite 2001 Detroit Michigan 48226.
Defendant 3: Christopher Wray, Chris Hess(7) David Harmon, Gwen Rosenthal in her personal and professional capacity -935 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington DC 20535 Rule 17(2)(d) Defendant
Defendants 4: Department of Health and Human Services Jacket number 07-474207N , Hubert H. Humphrey Building 200 Independence Ave. , SW Washington DC 20201
Defendant 5: City of Highland Park Mi. (William T. Ford, Todd Perkins, Mayor Yopp, Chief of Police Theodore Caldwell II) 12050 Woodward Highland Park Mi. 48203
Defendant 6: James McGinnis 985 E. Jefferson Ave. Ste. 100, Detroit Michigan 48207
Defendant 7: Detroit Public Schools Headquarters : Attention Dr. Nikolai Vitti , 3011 West Grand Blvd Detroit , Michigan 48202
Defendant 8: Danna Nessel Attorney General for Wayne County 3030 W. Grand Blvd. #Suite 10200 Detroit Mi. 48202
Defendant 9: Law Offices of Christopher Trainor and Associates - Sean P. Cabot P-64021 2000 Town Center Suite 1900, Southfield Mi. 48075

RELATED CASES

Veretta Burnett v. City of Highland Park and Police Officer Brenda Stevenson Case no. 2:09-cv-14238
Veretta Burnett v. City of Highland Park 17-2170
United States v. Brenda Stevenson Police officer of City of Highland Park
Veretta Burnett v. Merrick Garland et. al. 20-12413

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	20
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	21
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	22
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	23
CONCLUSION.....	24

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit Order Affirming District Court Judgement - Denying motion to reopen , reconsider and Compel (June 28, 2021) 1a</i>
	<i>United States Court of Appeals For the Sixth Circuit Order denying Burnett'smotion for relief from Judgement (April 17,2018) 4a</i>
	<i>United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Divion Defendants City of Highland Park , Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs Appeal (February 5, 2018) 5a</i>
	<i>United States District Court Eastern District -Southern Division Order Denying Objection and Motion to Compel (November 5,2020) 14a</i>
	<i>United States District Court Eastern District Southern Division Order Denying Plaintiff Motion to Compel , reopen and reconsider (September 01,2017) 16a</i>
	<i>United States District Court Eastern District Southern Division City of Highland Park v. Burnett , Order denying Plaintiffs Motion (September 03,2020) 19a</i>
	<i>State of Michigan Third Judicial Circuit Family Division Request and order for review of referee Recommendations (December 04,2019)</i>
	<i>State Of Michigan Third Judicial Circuit Family Division Request for Appellate Counsel has been granted . (March 03,2020) 47a</i>
	<i>United States District Court Eastern District Court Southern Division Deposition of Plaintiff regarding Osama Bin Laden by Defendants James McGinnis on Behalf of City of Highland Park (February 16, 2011) 48a</i>

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
<i>People v Boettner</i> 80 Misc 2d. 3(1974).....	23
<i>Walter v. United States</i> (447 U.S. 649 (1980)).....	22
<i>Burdeau v McDowell</i> 256, U.S. 465 (1921).....	22
<i>Coolidge v New Hampshire</i> 403 U.S. 443 {1971}.....	15,23
<i>Rasul v. Bush</i> (542 U.S. 466 {2004}).....	18,23
<i>Hoffa v United States</i> 385, U.S. 293, (1966).....	23
<i>Monroe v. Pape</i> (365 U.S.167 (1961)).....	22
<i>State v Oldaker</i> ,172 W.Va. 258 (W.Va.1983).....	23
<i>California v. Greenwood</i>	23
<i>Nix v. Williams</i> (467, U.S.431 (1984)).....	22
<i>Daniels v. Williams</i> 474, U.S. 327(1986).....	23
<i>United States v. Ruiz</i> 536 U.S. 622.....	22,23
<i>Spinelli v United States</i> 393, U.S. 410 (1969).....	22
<i>Aguilar v Texas</i> ,378, U.S. 108 (1964).....	22
<i>Illinois v. Gates</i> 462, U.S. 213 (1983).....	22
<i>Draper v. United States</i>	22

STATUTES AND RULES

Rule 32 (1) In General. At a hearing or trial, all or part of a deposition may be used against a party on these conditions:

(A) the party was present or represented at the taking of the deposition or had reasonable notice of it;

(B) it is used to the extent it would be admissible under the Federal Rules of Evidence if the deponent were present and testifying; and (C) the use is allowed by Rule 32(a)(2) through (8).

(2) Impeachment and Other Uses. Any party may use a deposition to contradict or impeach the testimony given by the deponent as a witness, or for any other purpose allowed by the Federal Rules of Evidence.

(3) Deposition of Party, Agent, or Designee. An adverse party may use for any purpose the deposition of a party or anyone who, when deposed, was the party's officer, director, managing agent, or designee under Rule 30(b)(6) or 31(a)(4). p.22,23,

Rule 11(b)(2)(3)p.23

Rule 17(2)(c) p.22 p.23

42 U.S.C. Section 242 liability of state officials who violates a person constitutional amendments acting under the color of law p.22

42 U.S.C. Section 1983 : Liability of State Officials and Section 1983 who refuse to stop illegal Ku ,Klux,Klan Activity

Totality of Circumstances1. When the Plaintiff describes how she found out about the criminal activity(Most Wanted World Leaders and Most Wanted Terrorist)? (2)When Plaintiff can give detailed descriptions about the criminal(Terrorist activity) activity? (3)The evidence the movant gave was reliable.(Where both Osama bin Laden's located , Kim Jong Un location and the location of 36 World Leaders and Most Wantedd Terrorist (4)When the Plaintiff can give information that can be corroborated by the FBI or Police?(5)

When the information that was given to the FBI, Shawn Cabot , Merrick Garland et al implements Plaintiff working in the sex industry as a Topless Dancer. (Rule 32 (a-8) Depositions and Federal Rules of evidence . Shawn Cabot my attorney was given a brief on what the KKK was feeding Kim Jong Un in 2011, when I was deposed about Osama Bin Laden .

OTHER

Rasul v. Bush (542 U.S. 466{2006} Four British and Austrailian citizens were captured by the American military in Pakistand and Afghanistan- they were transported to American military base Guantanamo Bay Cuba . Their families sued in federal district court. The Government countered arguing that the U.S. courts have no jurisdiction over the claims because the prisoners were not U.S. citizens. The Supreme Court sided with the Plaintiffs in the case. It held that U.S. Courts do have jurisdiction over such cases. This case made it clear that appeals filed on behalf of foriegn citizens held by the U.S. military in Guantanamo Bay have some recourse to challenge their indefinite detention.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix 1a,4a,5a to the petition and is

☒ reported at Sixth Circuit of Appeals Court; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix 14.16,19a to the petition and is

☒ reported at United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix 22a,47a to the petition and is

☒ reported at Third Judicial Circuit Family division; or,
☒ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the N/A court appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

[] reported at N/A; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was June 28, 2021.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: June 28, 2021, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix 1a.

☒ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including October 18, 2018 (date) on June 28, 2021 (date) in Application No. 18 A 9.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was Third Judicial Circuit. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix 22a, 47a.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. n/a A n/a.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Burnett v McGee No. 20-12413, 2020 WL 5984370 , at *1 (ED Mich Sept.16,2020)(report and recommendations Appendix 1a(June 28,2021)
*2 *Burnett v City of Highland Park* No. 2:09-cv- 14238-MOB-RSW,2017 WL 6504003, at *1 (E.D. Mich Sept. 01,2017) Appendix 1a
Burnett, 2020 WL 5984370 at *3 28 U.S.C. subsection 1915 (e) (2) (b) Appendix 1a
De novo under subsection 1915 (e)(2) Appendix 1a, *Ashcroft v Iqbal*, 566 U.S. 662 (2009) Appendix 1a, *Bell ATL Corp. v Twombly* , 550 U.S. 544 , 570 (2007) Appendix 1a
Rule 60 (b)(6) *Burnett* filed a 42 U.S.C Subsection 1983 Complaint against City of Highland Park Appendix- 4a (April 17, 2018)
Rule 60 (b) Appendix 4a , *Yeschick v. Mineta*, 675 F. 3d 622,628(6th Cir.2012) Appendix 4a
United States Court of Appeals -City of Highland Park Motion to dismiss Appeal -Highland Park attorney James McGinnis Lying about Terrorism and Osama Bin Laden location in Motion to Dismiss,in question 4. Plaintiff -Appellants request was denied because the grounds for her request are not related to the claims in district court in 2009 (Order denying Motion to Reopen, reconsider , compel (Case 17-2170) Rule 32 (b) (8) United States District Court of Appeals Eastern District Deposition of Plaintiff and movant Burnett by James McGinnis on Osama Bin Ladens Location living with Plaintiff and coming to visit Appendix 48a 2:09 -cv-14238 (February 16, 2011)
Section 802 of the U.S. Patriot Act & Section 808 of the U.S. Patriot Act Appendix 5a (February 5,2018)
Powell v Berghuis,506 F. App'x,442,448,(6th cir. 2013) Appendix 5a (February 05,2018)
Appeals are denied if they are not raised for the first time on appeal *Rutcoskey* by *Perez v. U-Haul , Inc*, 89 F. 3d 835 (6th Cir. 1996) Appendix 5a (February 05,2018)
Plaintiffs motion to compel , reopen and reconsider - Deposition of Plaintiff and movant Rule 32(b) (8) regarding Osama Bin Laden and my Agent Chris Hess -a deposition used in earlier action can be used in later actions if it has the same parties or their representatives or successors as for Federal rules of evidence (February 16,2011) taken by Defendants James McGinnis representing City of Highland Park and Brenda Stevenson (Appendix 48a)
Bamas v. CitiMortgage,Inc , 577 F. App'x 616 (6th Cir. 2014) Appendix 5a (Feb.6,2018) In this instance , the issues Plaintiffs wants to argue were not preserved for appeal 5a
The Defendants City of Highland Park claim Domestic and International Terrorism was not involved . refer *Burnett v. City of Highland Park* 2:09-cv-14238 Deposition of Plaintiff Burnett about Osama Bin Laden Rule 32 (b)(8)
The United States v. Brenda Stevenson et. al. - use of Excessive Force and lying to FBI ; movant Burnett testified against Sgt. Brenda Stevenson about Osama Bin Laden number 1- (2:09-cv-14238) and Chris Hess Plaintiffs Agent (FBI David Harmon at trial of Sgt. Stevenson) Appendix 48a (February 16,2011)
Defendants City of Highland Park moves to dismiss Plaintiffs appeals pursuant Rule 27 (d) Defendants moves to dismiss appeals Appendix 5a (February 16,2011)
The order to Compel the FBI to pay Plaintiff and Movant 15 millions dollars is denied Appendix 14a (November 5th ,2020)
Gonzalez v. Crosby ,545 U.S. 524,535, 125, S. Ct. 2641,2649,162 L. Ed. 480(2005) 545 U.S. 524,535 (2005) Appendix 19a September 3,2020
State and Federal Judges abuse of power by not taking Judicial notice in 2:09-cv-14238 of Osama Bin Laden location and legal documents presented in State Court. (*United States v Ruiz* 536 U.S. 622 as a matter of fact Judges have the duty to disclose exculpatory evidence at the beginning of a trial as a matter of due process not at the end of trial) . Appendix 22a, December 04,2019

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This is a case where the Rule of Four of Justices should side with the Plaintiff and movant Veretta Burnett. 42 U.S.C. Section 242 Section 1983 Liability of State Officials who act under the color of law.- City of Highland Park Attorney James McGinnis is outright being untruthful to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, FBI, and Supreme Justices. Highland Park City Attorney sought to Dismiss Plaintiff's motion to reopen, reconsider and Compel Highland Park City and FBI to pay me a sum of 5 million dollars, in case 17-2170 (Monroe v Pape (365 U.S. 167 (1961)). Highland Park City attorney sought to deceive the court with a motion to dismiss Plaintiff's appeal with outright lies. McGinnis claims for dismissing Plaintiff's motion based on Defendant-Appellee statements. Appendix 5a reason and statement 4." Plaintiff-Appellant's request was denied because the grounds for her request are not related to the claims she brought in district court in 2009 (Order Denying Motion to Reopen Case, RE No.89, Pg ID 1571) the City of Highland Park are telling lies Rule 32 (b)(8) Deposition of Plaintiff and movant Veretta Burnett regarding how Osama Bin Laden got to my curtilage - home from secret detention. Movant was deposed by the City of Highland Park Michigan attorney James McGinnis on February 16, 2011, original case 2:09-cv-14238 Appendix 48a -Taken at the Law Offices of James McGinnis. Furthermore, the City of Highland Park seeks to hide Terrorism by once again lying to the District Court and Appeals Court. Appendix 5(a) pg2 reason for Dismissing Appeal City of Highland Park Attorney states " this appeal is improper because the relief that Plaintiff-Appellant seeks is related to "Domestic Terrorism" (Rasul v. Bush (542 U.S.466 {2004} James McGinnis knew on February 16, 2011, that the original case in 2009 was about movant going to the FBI with the correct location of Osama Bin Laden and Hamza Bin Laden (Rule 32 (b)(a) and 2days later Plaintiff was assaulted by City of Highland Park Police Sgt. Brenda Stevenson as retaliation for telling the FBI where Osama Bin Laden was Geographically profiled through my administrative profiling which is alerting proper authorities (FBI & CIA). James McGinnis is telling outright lies about Plaintiff's Deposition and Rule 11 will show James McGinnis a respected Attorney is falsifying my testimony in a Federal Deposition. Rule 32(b)(8) A Federal Deposition can be used as a rule for federal evidence for civil procedure. Due to the lies and defamation of character by claiming Plaintiff is "fantasizing " attacking my mental health status to cover a crime being perpetrated by Highland Park City attorney James McGinnis. Which have cost Plaintiff and Movant 15 million dollars in lost wages, physical injury, and loss of my children. Plaintiff and movant's deposition is being misconstrued on purpose by James McGinnis to stop Plaintiff from Testifying against the Cops and Gratuities- Terrorism going on in the City of Highland Park and if the Police(former Chief Of Police Theodore Caldwell II doesn't get gratuities then he burns down the operating businesses in Highland Park as reported in 17-2170. Which causes a loss a foot traffic in an area where an FBI fugitive and child pedophile (Richard Steve Goldberg) can be lone with the daily foot traffic of children at Barbara Jordan elementary school. This is just the least that's going on in the City of Highland Park.(Burdeau v McDowell (256 U.S. 465 {1921}) When do private citizens become Government actors? (Bivens v. Six Unknown named agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics, 403, U.S. 388 (1971) This is a case where the City of Highland Park is about a 15-mile radius with Detroit surrounding it. In 2002 I was arrested for coming out of Kim Jong Un secret detention in which Plaintiff sobered up very quickly with the realization that Detroit and Highland Park abandoned buildings and homes are used for Torture sites and secret detentions. (United States v. Ruiz 536, U.S.(622) The state prosecutor, (in this case) the Judge duty is to disclose exculpatory evidence -(In this case: James McGinnis is concealing exculpatory evidence for the City of Highland Park concerning the financial loss and hardship of Plaintiff who has faced 16 years finding the Missing Most Wanted World Leaders and Most Wanted Terrorist when they go missing from the FBI. When Geroge W. Bush #43 Did the 7 State sponsors of Terrorism Speech: he enacted a search and seizure. (Coolidge v. New Hampshire (403 U.S. 443{1971} If the City of Highland Park had not alerted the FBI or the CIA of the location of Osama Bin Laden number 1- Gustavo Guerrero and Osama Bin Laden Number 2- Aaron Labarrie and Plaintiff and Movant knows the City of Highland Park sells stolen equipment(which in this case is Harboring the FBI Most Wanted in its abandoned "open fields or abandoned homes" for personal use. Whatever the City of Highland Parks produces after the Plaintiff Burnett and Movant already went the FBI before the City of Highland Park started their investigation - it should be considered the poison tree doctrine -because the City of Highland Park is co-conspirators; because they did not alert the proper authorities such as the Detroit FBI field office and the CIA. Once Plaintiff and Movant alerted the FBI - where Osama Bin Laden was located, The City of Highland Park Police used excessive force 2 days after I told the FBI where Hamza Bin Laden is with his phone number. Osama Bin Laden Number 1 was living with me for a year, while number 2- was in an abandoned Home "open-field" in the City of Highland Park. Egyptian-style torture was taken place with German Shepard dogs at Osama Bin Laden Number 2 abandoned home " open filed in the City of Highland Park. This is inevitable Discovery -simply stated if the evidence would be found regardless of unconstitutional police conduct; being Osama Bin Laden number 1 was in an open field of a Homeless shelter in New Jersey, and Osama Bin Laden number 2; was in the City of Highland Park in an open field in an abandoned home. (Nix v. Willaims,467 U.S. 431(1984) the City of Highland Park has violated my 13th amendment right to freedom and to be paid for the work I have done. The City of Highland Park is acting under the color of law, Merrick Garland et. al. is acting under the color of law while enslaving me, to find the Missing Most wanted World Leaders and Most wanted Terrorist when they go missing from the FBI. (Lugar v. Edmondson Oil Co. 457, U.S. 922(1982) The Supreme Court has stated that someone acts under the color of law when he or she acts in an official capacity. The Supreme Court should know I am not asking to be paid for the excessive force but for locating the Missing World Leaders and Most Wanted Terrorist over a 16 year period. In Spinelli v. United States .393 U.S. 410(1969) Aguilar v. Texas,378 U.S. 108(1964) The Aguilar Test was to make sure the informants information that is supplied it true and credible. If we look back to 17-2170 The Plaintiff Burnett "told the FBI and The Federal Court That Kim Jong UN "was eating raw infected rats" which turned out to be raw infected rats from China. The Plaintiff provided the FBI with the 3to 4 locations Kim Jong UN and his soldiers, family members were at eating Covid 19 rats and servicing the Black community by doing acrylic nails in a Nail Salon. In Spinelli the test is rather the Plaintiffs intelligence provided is sufficient that the magistrate knows they have something substantial than a casual rumor. Illinois v. Gates ,(462 U.S. 213 (1983) The Supreme Court abandoned the 2 prong test and replaced it with "The Totality of Circumstances" In Draper v. United States The Supreme Justices should consider (1)How the Plaintiff describes how she found out about the criminal activity? (2)when did the Plaintiff give substantial details to the FBI, City of Highland Park, Shawn Cabot, Merrick Garland et al. (and because some of City of Highland Park officers were implemented as cohorts -they want the court to think Burnett the Plaintiff is fantasizing. ? (3)When evidence from the Plaintiffs information has reliability, such as photos , phone numbers , addresses, physical bodies, (4) when the Plaintiff gives credible - and predicts criminal activity that is corroborated by the police (5) when the Plaintiff told the FBI , shawn Cabot , City of Highland Park that I worked in the Topless Entertainment Industry in the sex trade business - Robert W. Fischer , Tupac - and an Asian Scientist were my customers, then the men from Al-Qaaeda asked me to take care of the fathers, all in the Topless Bar.. Another sex worker Named Tamara Green aka Strawberry at my bar "All Stars" was killed by an assassination hit by the Former Mayor Kilpatrick - after Herman Keifer the Health department contact traced him for Syphilis- while he was having a party with "All Stars" strippers and cocaine brought by the dancers ordered by the Mayor . Who used them to transport the Cocaine. The information Burnett the Plaintiff provided is First Hand Knowledge of how I know the world Leaders and Most Wanted Terrorist.


REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

An otherwise-private person can become a government official if he or she acts at the behest of a government official. In Coolidge v. New Hampshire (403 U.S. 443 (1971)) The Supreme Court stated that the test is whether {the private person} in light of all the circumstances of the case, the private person can be regarded as having acted as an instrument of the state. According to Worrall when government officials join in on a private search or instruct a private individual to search, the private individual, can be viewed as a government actor within the meaning of the Fourth amendment. Just as when the FBI added Osama Bin Laden to the Most Wanted fugitive list, when Osama Bin Laden went missing from the FBI, a search was instructed by the FBI. A variation of this line of cases is one in which a government official does not actively participate in or order a search or seizure but instead merely provides information that leads to a private search or seizure. (People v. Boettner 80 Misc. 2d 3 (1974). This is a case under the Fourth and Fourteenth amendment where the City of Highland Park (Theoretically) sells stolen equipment, (in this case Most Wanted World Leader and Most Wanted Terrorist to the KGB) and the City of Highland Park keeps that Stolen Equipment in its abandoned homes, not in its curtilage, but the open fields of its garages and Plaintiff went in and found that stolen Equipment and turned it over to the FBI. Burnett the movant and Plaintiff did not commit a crime. Plaintiff is not asking the Supreme Court to decide a case that's been settled. But decided rather the City of Highland Park is violating the Plaintiff's constitutional rights when it comes to life, liberty, and freedom , The right to be paid for the work I've done for Detroit Field FBI filed office . In 2017 pre-covid 19 City of Highland Park should have paid Plaintiff, when Plaintiff filed Motion to Compel, reopen, and Reconsider, when Burnett the Movant filed "a paid for" (\$505.00) complaint in the motion to reopen , compel , reconsider ; regarding Kim Jong Un eating raw infected rats and servicing the Black community, in a nail salon. Walter v United States (447 U.S. 649 (1980) the court ruled that a wrongful search and seizure conducted by a private party does not violate the Fourth amendment against Plaintiff and does not deprive the government of the right to use evidence that it has acquired (from a third party) lawfully. (State v. Oldaker, 172 W. Va. 258 (W. Va. 1983) In my weekly nail appointment Kim Jong-UN (17-2170) Rule 32(a)-(8) (Rule 17(2)(c)) alike fiduciary can sue on behalf of an incompetent person or minor (Which should be the FBI- Detroit Field office). The City of Highland Park's attempt to sell Ballistic Missiles to Russia and China through the KKK who was deemed a Terrorist organization. The details were specified in (20-12413). Covid 19- has had a global effect with the zoonotic disease SARS-2 designed to torture the President of North Korea . The City of Highland Park would have the Court to believe that the original case 2:09-cv-14238 did not involve Domestic and International Terrorism when James McGinnis himself questioned me about Osama Bin Laden " the one from the caves of Afghanistan" City of Highland Park Attorney James McGinnis asked Plaintiff Veretta Burnett. What are Government officials? The FBI Chris Hess-7, David Harmon, Gwen Rosenthal are Government officials. whom the Plaintiff turned over Most Wanted World Leaders and Most Wanted Terrorist to the FBI whom the City of Highland Park had not turned over since 1986 involving Osama Bin Laden number 2 - Aaron Labarrie, and in 2014 the City of Highland Park had not turned over its Most Wanted World Leader - Kim Jong Un - Instead they allowed China to ship and feed Kim Jong Un infected Covid 19 rats while he serviced up to 100 customers daily doing acrylic nails. Rule 17(2)(c) The FBI need to file for custody of Kim Jong UN Under Rule 5() Rule 11(b) has been violated, the court may impose an appropriate sanction on any attorney, law firm, or party that violated the rule or is responsible for the violation. Absent exceptional circumstances, a law firm must be held jointly responsible for a violation committed by its partner, associate, or employee. (2) Motion for Sanctions. A motion for sanctions must be made separately from any other motion and must describe the specific conduct that allegedly violates Rule 11(b). The motion must be served under Rule 5, but it must not be filed or be presented to the court if the challenged paper, claim, defense, contention, or denial is withdrawn or appropriately corrected within 21 days after service or within another time the court sets. If warranted, the court may award to the prevailing party the reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, incurred for the motion. (3) On the Court's Initiative. On its own, the court may order an attorney, law firm, or party to show cause why conduct specifically described in the order has not violated Rule 11(b). City of Highland Park James McGinnis continues to misconstrue the Plaintiff's words "making real-life instances sound unbelievable" McGinnis's entire Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Appeal was based on a "lie". The entire case 2:09cv-14238 totally hinged on City of Highland Park Chief of Police committing fires, hanging out publicly with a known Most Wanted pedophile and Fugitive Richard Steve Goldberg who lived in my apartment building at 11 Farrand Park, in the City of Highland Park. Which is one of the fugitives Plaintiff Veretta Burnett turned over to the FBI whom the City of Highland Park was harboring and disguising him as a reporter. The North Koreans -Kim Jong Un allows anyone to walk into his nail salon to get their nails done. Hoffa v United States (385 U.S. 293 (1966) Hoffa had a conversation with a government informant inside Hoffa's private suite. Hoffa sought to have the informants -evidence testimony - excluded or suppressed on the grounds it was an illegal search. The Supreme Court disagreed, noting that the informant "was not a surreptitious eavesdropper" but a person who was in the suite by invitation, and every conversation which he heard was either directed to him or knowingly carried out in his presence. (This is a case of abandoned property California v. Greenwood "Garbage bags left on the side of the road or public streets are readily accessible to animals, children scavengers, snoops, and other members of the public. (So is Kim Jong Un secret Detention and job at the nail salon." The City of Highland Park has no claim to a person's external physical characteristics, in which World Leaders and their Physical Characteristics are knowingly exposed to the public and thus are outside of the Fourth Amendment of a private Search. This is a 1983 suit is for constitutional rights violations (Daniels v. Williams (474, U.S. 327 (1986) The City of Highland Park is showing culpability when they did not stop Kim Jong Un from eating Covid 19 Rats and doing acrylic nails in the City of Highland Park. (Rule 17(2)(c)(3) A like fiduciary can sue on behalf of an incompetent person. (Rasul v Bush (542, U.S. 466 (2004) The FBI neglected to step in pre- Covid 19 (42 U.S. C. Section 1- 1983 Liability of State Officials who refuse to stop illegal KKK activity. In which the KKK has set up and bought into Black Haircare and Black nail care, In which they have Kim Jong-UN, his family, and his soldiers eating Covid 19 rats so that Russia and China can buy Kim Jong Un Ballistic Missiles capability. In Which the City of Highland Park is punishing me, by misconstruing my words in the Deposition taken about Osama Bin Laden being in my curtilage (home) from a secret detention. An abandoned home that had been abandoned and now housed German Shepard -Egyptian style torture dogs. In Fact, James McGinnis reported that Kim Jong Un tried to fight me. That is true. I wanted to know "who was doing my nails because he (Danny) looked like Kim Jong Un but 150 pounds lighter and about 1 foot shorter without those heel shoes Kim Jong-UN wears in North Korea. Kim Jong Un brought about 20 females to fight me- however by my next appointment I went to Tim - Kim Jong Un Soldier to do my nails (because they are soldiers the acrylic nail don't last, you have to go back almost once a week) Tim told me that "he didn't want to eat rats any more " by 2019 Tim was sent to California. Tim also said he had 2 Suns eating rats. Then Sun Sun Chinese restaurant @ 230 W. McNichols (313-883-1168) The Supreme Justices should grant this Writ because the City of Highland Park is covering up Domestic and International Terrorism by claiming movant is Fantasizing to simply fraud that Court and Law under section 808 of the patriot act.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: September 01, 2021