


21-5506
No. 21-40484 ORIGINAL



IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

MICHAEL G. PETERS PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

STATE OF TEXAS — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES FIFTH CIRCUIT
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

MICHAEL G. PETERS
(Your Name)

1200 FM 655
(Address)

ROSHARON, TEXAS 75833
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Does the State of Texas have the right to steal my mail?
 2. Is it legal for the State of Texas to imprison State Whistleblowers to silence their freedom of speech?
 3. Is it legal for the State of Texas to intentional wrongfully imprison me and conspire against me while imprisoned to stop me exposing their Racketeering and Collusion Crimes with the State's billion dollar Corporations funding state politicians?
-

LIST OF PARTIES

☐ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

1. *The State of Texas*
2. *Dinah Hoffman (Mailroom Supv. Stringfellow Unit)*
3. *Bobby Lumpkin (Director, Texas Dept. Crim. Justice)*

RELATED CASES

USCA5 #19-20717, 20-40180, 20-20588, 3:21-CV-130

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3, 4
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	5-22
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	23-25
CONCLUSION.....	26

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>U.S. Southern District (Houston Div.)</i>
APPENDIX B	<i>U.S. Fifth Circuit Court</i>
APPENDIX C	
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

USCA5#19-20717	1, 11
20-8406	3
12-08-09259-	6
Sosfr v. McGinnis, 442 F.2d 178 (2nd Cir. 1971)	12
Scherbaty v. Oswald, 341 F. Supp. 571, 571	
(S.D.N.Y. 1972)	

STATUTES AND RULES

First Amendment	1
Fifth Amendment	18
Fourth Fourteenth	18

OTHER

Jr./House Lawyer's Handbook 2012	12
----------------------------------	----

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was August 11, 2021.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

The First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments guarantee prisoner the right to use the court system. Those rights are violated when the courts conspire to cover-up corporate crimes to protect and hide political racketeering for their fundings by said cover-up, thwarting my ability to expose them by mail thefts, false imprisonment, denial of witness subpoenas, discovery, evidence submittals which prove their cover-up and collusion crimes. My protected rights to "equal protections" of the law and due process have been completely deprived from me. I am entitled to freedom of speech and to redress from my government. It is my duty as an American citizen to

q. 3.

expose crime when I see it. I am a vic-
tim of corporate crimes and so is my
son. I have a right to "protect my family."

That includes from the fabrication of his
medical records to deprive him of a
father. Evidence proves my Actual Inno-
cence and the Constitution should pro-
tect me. The Fourteenth Amendment
means the government cannot discriminate
against me, even if I am protecting my
son from corporate crimes. I am not
responsible for unlawful state protections
to corporations for political fundings. I'm
a victim of it.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The First Amendment protects a prisoners right to send and receive letters. I wrote the Harris County Public Library located at 5749 South Loop East Houston, Texas 77033 asking for Houston, Texas Website Design Companies wherein I could upload the evidence of my Actual Innocence on my own website to make easy for courts, lawyers, Innocent Project, Gov. Depts etc. etc. wherein I could obtain help. Seen in USCA5# 19-717 the State of Texas has been covering up the States witnesses crimes who made the Corporations of Baylor and Texas Childrens liable to multi-million dollar civil actions. Because these Corporations were funding Gov.

Rick Perry for United States President in
2012 a statewide cover-up ensued to silence
me exposing Gov. Perry's collusion crimes with
them, hence the reason I've been silenced by
"intentional wrongful imprisonment" and
denied all my Constitutional rights to
defense, evidence submittals, defense witnesses,
discovery etc. etc. State prison officials have
been aiding the State silence me, hence they
stole all ^{eleven} twelve (12) of the Website
See (Exhibits No. 1-6)
Companies letters. I'd planned to pay them
with my "stimulus check", which I've
never received either. . . . All these
Website Design company addresses have been
provided to the Fifth Circuit Court.

However Judge Gregg Costa of the Fifth Circuit Court is in on this cover-up. He too is from Houston, Texas and took a bribe from these Corporations, who have been paying off everyone who will help them cover-up these crimes and liabilities due to a scandal, stock holders and bad publicity. The theft of their correspondences is one (1) of hundreds of 'predicate acts' committed by the state and its Agents in the furtherance of this cover-up. These related cases are ones that involve 'mail theft', but as many as 30-40 civil actions are pending. In this court is Case No. 20-8406 wherein the evidence of these Corporate crimes was

being sent to the Harris County District
Attorneys Office; Kim Ogg. Evidence proves
that even though they were sent Circl. Mail,
they never made it to the District Attorney's
Office or Michael Unit Prison Mailroom
super. told them as well as "Media Corresp-
ondences." Recently I resent this evidence.

Kim Ogg called Ken Paxton, the Texas Attorney
General who on March 26 and 31, 2021 called
me here at the Strangefellow Prison's Law
Library in a specifically scheduled phone call
asking me to drop the Racketeering com-
plaint. When I refused, they sub-ct my
Prison. Not that innocent people went it.

However it's just one more "link" proving
these states involvement in their cover-up.
Outside prison Z cannot remember a time
when Z wrote a letter and didn't receive
a reply, but here "nobody" ever replies.

Prison officials must be able to show that
their regulations are actually "necessary and
essential" to achieving prison security etc. but
these correspondences are "stolen". Z cannot
afford to send every letter by certified mail.
It would cost me hundred of dollars every
week. Hence the exhibits prove Z "requested"
this listing, received it and would have
written them as Z always do. Witnesses

I'll know what Zin doing. I tell them until
they sick of hearing me. I live in a "Dorm"
with many others, they know I send these
correspondences. My history attest that, this
is all Zin been doing for the past seven (7)
years daily. This evidence is "critical" to proving
the state crimes and cover-up for them.

See exhibit Case No. USCA5 #15-20717 Origin-
al filings, for evidence. This evidence has
been covered-up by the "State of Texas" for
the past nine (9) years, starting at my Ann-
ulment, Case No. 12-08-09259 and through
Supreme Court Case No. USCA5 #15-20717 and
I'll get civil actions since "2012" when Gov.

Perry ran for president. A paper trail spans
the past nine (9) years covering-up the state
witnesses crimes, who is employed and insured
at Baylor College of Medicine, but practicing
at the Texas Children's Hospital wherein she
and 2 Co-Conspired and "fabricated" the Texas
Children's Hospital's "medical records" to use
as physical evidence, wherein it was presented
to District Court Judge & Jennifer Robinson in
~~2012~~ 2012 to steal Child custody from me
unlawfully. These crimes set-off a State-
wide cover-up for political funding for
protections. ~~as~~ Many abuses of the Strongfellow

Milgram have been committed and are

sustained by a continued cover-up by Gov. Greg Abbott and Ken Paxton, Kim Ogg, Ryan Patrick, Sheila Jackson Lee and all Texas Republican judges, Greg Costa, David Hittner, Nancy Adler, Tracy Gilbert, Lisa Michalk etc. etc. The evidence "rests" in every court in Texas, and is ruled by every court in Texas. Nobody stops them, so nothing happens to stop the cover-up.

Relief

Relief is sought by thirty-five million dollars (\$35,000,000.00) for the continued and sustained theft of my correspondence, seeking relief, from false imprisonment, victimization, abuses, neglect, physical, mental and emotional

damage and harm incurred. I am forced to take
anti-depressants to help me live with the stress
and actual harm and damages the State has
intentionally been causing for the past nine(9)
years. They care nothing about the damage they
cause others, only caring about their cover-ups
and political careers. The evidence is in the
"history" of events spanning the past nine(9)
years; "all" of which have been directly assoc-
iated with this "single cover-up", even though
the evidence is "irrefutable". The theft of these
correspondences directly impacted my ability
to expose the State's continued criminal/
cover-up.

The theft of mail is a First Amendment
violation, both the lower courts would have
known this. They continue to quote the three-
strike provision, Judge Nancy Atlas imposed.
Judge Atlas is a justice in the U.S. Southern
District Court in Houston Division wherein ~~they~~
these billion dollar corporations have great
relationships with the local politicians and state.
The Houston judges are at the heart of this
cover-up along with state politicians. Funded by
these billion dollar corporations this cover-up
has been a great success in Texas. However
their citing the Prison Litigation Reform Act
"three-strike" is ~~erroneous~~ erroneous.

and they were made aware of this over and over again, but ignore it to aid the State's cover-ups to protect the Republican Party and its funding corporations. The Ninth Circuit made it clear in the Prison Litigation Reform Act (P.L.R.A.) that First Amendment claims "do not apply" to the "three-strike" provision, stating: ("Deprivations of First Amendment rights entitles a "prisoner" to judicial relief wholly aside from any physical, mental or emotional injury incurred. Section 14.6.1; yet the lower courts do not want to read this section because of their ~~involvement~~ involvement. Sterling federal mail is illegal, but it's also a First

Amendment right. The prison may not punish me for my Political Beliefs or Freedom of Speech, which are First Amendment rights. See *Sostre v. McGinnis*, 442 F.2d 178 (2d Cir. 1971); *Scherbaty v. Oswald*, 341 F. Supp. 571 (S.D.N.Y. 1972). In the Jailhouse Lawyers Handbook 2010 (page 43) states ("A terrible but common consequence of prisoner activism is harassment by prison officials. Officials have been known to block the preparation of filing of lawsuits, refuse to mail legal papers, take away legal research materials, and deny access to law books, all in an attempt to stop the public and courts from learning about prisoner issues and complaints.")

This case is yet another "predicate act", hence their motive must be assessed to understand why this cover-up exist as well as its ramifications. The State never had any Evidentiary Hearing at any trial, because the evidence irrefutably prove the State Racketeering crimes. The only reason Tim in prison was because I had no constitutional right to defend myself. "No" evidence existed, the unaware jury was kept in the dark; ("Duped"). The Lower courts all fear an Evidentiary Hearing because they know it will expose the evidence Tim been submitting for nine (9) years. Hence in ~~assessing~~ assessing this predicate act, one must

access all the facts to make an informed judgement. These other mail cases are similar in nature and all for the singular purpose of "the cover-up". One must also ask how a completely innocent man ends up with (35) years in prison? Even though these letters were not certified does not mean that they were not all stolen. Discovery will prove: (1) The Harris County Public Library gave me the Listings, ^{See Exhibit No. 7} witnesses will prove I mailed them all. History will prove the same as I have written everyone seeking help. The exhibits prove these Listings and in fact are the Listings! Hence since I wanted the website exposure, for

sought for years. In in prison for alleged
"Assault by Video" video, for uploading the

evidence of said corporate crimes, the same
evidence Ken Cogg refuses to prosecute and

the same evidence Ken Paxton won't make
drop, which was the purpose of his phone

call. The same evidence that I have been

continually exposing for the past nine (9)

years and the same the Staff of Texas and

the Republican friend have kept covering-up

for the past nine (9) years. The simple ques-

tion is why? The only logical reason for such

a massive cover-up operation, is because it

exposes the Texas Republican Party's backsliding
19.

By the preponderance the evidence that been submitted over and over again the States cover up is proven. The uploading of this evidence on YouTube's website exposing these Corporations and Gov. Rick Perry's discretionary appointed man Dr. Irvin Zethler to Director of the Texas Medical Board to cover up for his fund raiser at Baylor and Texas Children Hospital equates to the same thing as my wanting to upload this "same evidence" to as my designated Website of my own design and that is why they were "forgotten" and possibly why I still have not received my "Stimulus Check," as it was the means in which I told these companies I was to pay them.

The past nine (9) years will show that not one
(1) Evidentiary Hearing was ever held, no discovery
was ever allowed and I was denied my defense,
defense witnesses, evidence sub m. H2k etc. etc.
All because of the State's Racketeering cover-ups
I am entitled to "freedom of speech", and due
process of the law. District Attorney Kim
Ogg denying me that due process. As if I
cannot expose their crimes fabricating my
son's medical records, then I cannot obtain
my son.

Prayer

I pray that justice be done, the facts and
all evidence considered and the cover-up
be exposed. Hundreds of letters have
B. 21.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

It is against the law to run Racketeering operations out of state courts, covering-up for Corporate crimes and liabilities for political fundings. It is also against the law to conspire to have a State Whistle blower intentionally wrongfully imprisoned for exposing those crimes. Under Supreme Court Rule No. 10; Imperative Public Importance this case take precedence as the Texas Government shut-down and stopped acting like the government of the people, for the people, when it undertook its own "political agenda" to silence my exposing their political candidate; Gov Rick Perry for covering-up Corporate crimes and liabilities for bribes and political fundings. The Texas State Republican Party conspired through a Chain

Conspiracy of its judicially elected state judges to cover-up corporate crimes for fundings and silence my blowing the whistle on them by the deprivation of all constitutional rights to present a defense against them and their false allegations. All 30-40 civil actions I have filed have been predicate acts stemming from the States cover-ups and retaliations. The Lower Courts both ignored the Ninth Circuit decision that First Amendment rights were exempt from the three-strike provision, hence two(2) federal courts have disagreed on what the Prison Litigation Reform Act (P.L.R.A.) states. It is therefore up to the United States Supreme Court to make a final judgement.

The largest problem surrounding all these related cases, is the fact that "no judgements" were ever made concerning the true issues ~~of~~ relating to these cover-ups, therefore it continues to grow in magnitude and right violations as I continue to press the truth forward. The Corporate crimes,³ cover-ups must be addressed along with the evidence withheld for the past nine (9) years, before all the related civil actions can be redressed since all are related to the same cover-ups, for the same purpose.


In conclusion the laws should be equal for everyone and no political agendas should deprive a citizen of the United State equal protections of the laws or due process to redress government grievances, when it covers-up for Corporate crimes. Recklessness and collusion and false imprisonment is illegal.

CONCLUSION

The First Amendment should not be violated.

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: August 18, 2021