

21-5417
No. 20-8007 **ORIGINAL**

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



Alfred E. Caraffa — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

State of Arizona et al — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Tempe(AZ) Municipal Court in Tempe Arizona
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
Alfred E. Caraffa T602433

In Actual Custody

(Your Name)

LBCJ

3250 W. Lower Buckeye Rd

(Address)

Phoenix AZ 85009 USA

(City, State, Zip Code)

In Actual Custody
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- 1) Address the Arizona District Court's Refusal to trial in case no. 2:19-cv-05492-PHX-MTL-(ESW) when In Appendix B pg. 2 of 8 pt. III It states Plaintiff has stated false arrest, false imprisonment and illegal search and seizure claims.
- 2) Address the County of Maricopa Sheriff's office denials to file this case to the Arizona Civil courts
- 3) Address the unlawful confinement(3) days in Oct. 2019 in this Jail. (L-B-C-J) on T23A - Pod cell 8
- 4) Address the constitutional violation(s) of this Civil action-
- 5) Address the Refusal to file this case now three times to civil courts.
- 6) Address the District Courts (Arizona) Refusal of Seventh Amendment rights to A civil trial (See Appendix A Pages 1 and 2)
- 7) Address the USC of legal term "NON-jural entity" USC in this case to dismissal of Defendant(s) under official capacity filed in/ON Oct. 24th 2019.
- 8) Address the misleading statement "cannot succeed as a matter of Law" and how AN Judge uses Rule 12 "How to present defenses" to DISMISS AN Defendant in civil action. Providing A defense is for the Defendant(s) not the Judge.

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

2) Detention officer B3853 at Lower Buckeye County Jail.
3) County of Maricopa
4) City of Tempe Arizona
5) Tempe Police Department Tempe Arizona
6) Maricopa County Sheriff's Office
7) United States of America
8) Tempe Police officer Guajardo
9) Tempe Public historical Library
10) Judge M.T. Libundi
11) Judge S. Willett

RELATED CASES

1) 2:19-cv-05492-PHX-MTL-(ESW)
Alfred E. Coraffa v. Tempe AZ. Police Dept.
(See Appendix A (page 1 and pg. 2))
(See Appendix A (page 1 and pg. 2))
2) U.S. Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals case No. 20-16151
3) U.S. Supreme Court case No. 20-6205 Alfred E. Coraffa v.
United States
4) Youngstown Sheet and tube Co. v. Sawyer 343 U.S. (1952)
(55 pages) See pg. (31) and (32) and (33)
5) Ex parte Fisk, 113 U.S. 713 (1885) 7 pgs (Enclosed)
6) 110 U.S. 651 (1884) (1 pg. (Included))

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Orders of the court 2 of 2 pgs. 2020 WL 5423087 and Dkt Entry 4-0821-15482
APPENDIX B	Order of the court Case No CV:19-05492-PHX-MTL (ESW) 2020 WL 919168
APPENDIX C	
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	Exhibit of Evidence to support claim. (7) pages total FRCP Rule 3
APPENDIX F	Exhibits of Evidence to support claim. 0X0XX#1 (5 pages) Notice of civil action 0X0XX#2 (1 page) Notice of claim form RKDS1 (1 page) DSMS1 and DSMS2 (2 pgs.) Notice of claim(s) DSMS3 (1 pg) Inmate Legal Request (7/26/21) DMSM0022 (1 pg) Inmate legal Request (Aug. 2nd 2021) 72 S.Ct. 863 - (55 pgs)

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at 2020 WL 5423087; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at 2020 WL 919168; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix to the petition and is

[] reported at Refused to be filed; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the Tempe Municipal Arizona court appears at Appendix to the petition and is

reported at Case No. 19-038379-2; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was April 15th 2020.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was Nov. 15th 2019. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- 1) U.S.C.A. Const. Art III Section 2 clause 1
Section 2 clause 2
- 2) U.S.C.A. Const. Art. IV section two
- 3) U.S.C.A. Const. Amend I - Petition clause
- 4) U.S.C.A. Const. Amend IV - illegal Search and Seizures
- 5) U.S.C.A. Const. Amend. V Due Process of Law
- 6) Arizona Constitution ARS Const. Art. two
Section 17; Just compensation for private
property taken
- 7) Arizona Constitution ARS Const. Article two
Section 17; public use as Judicial question -
- 8) U.S. Constitution Amend. VII. civil trials

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Denials of U. S. Constitutional Laws to
A civil trial by federal employees of the United
States.

The Petitioner seek the original \$450,000,000.00
million dollars against the city of tempe Arizona.
Compensation under ARS CONST. Article 2 Section 17;
For my MLB Trading card with historical value and
Caraffa family Value.

Also Interest from the date of the district court's
order at 11.6% percent (12/16/2019) - In which 9/16/21
IS seven payments of Interest at 11.6%

Maricopa County Sheriff's office has Denied to
file this civil action three times. And has Denied
the Petitioner to claim her property or the
compensation due under Arizona Constitutional
Laws. THERE FOR E I SEEK \$350,000,000.00 million
dollars Against the County of Maricopa. And for the
illegal court hearings in Maricopa County Superior
Court on Dec. 13th 2019 and Dec. 17th 2019.

Against Hon-Judge Michael T. Liburdi I seek under
Individual (Action) capacity 1,000,000.00 dollars In
Relief and Against Hon. Judge E. S. Willitt I seek
\$1,000,000.00 dollars under Individual capacity.
See Ex parte FISK 113 U.S. 713 (1885) 7 pages Included.

also see 110 U.S. 651 (1884) quoted by
Chancellor Kent, (Included page)

also see 123 U.S. 443 (1887) ON Immunity
of an unconstitutional act by an official of
State or Federal government.

IN Ex parte, 123 U.S. 443 (1887) *183 -
"except that which arises out of honor and
good faith of the state itself."


good faith of the state itself
the city of Tempe AZ has Not Acted in good faith
Nor honorable. Neither has the State of Arizona
AND the District Court of Arizona.

CONCLUSION Therefore I Also seek

\$ 150⁰⁰ dollars A month for
120 months from officer

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: August 8th 2021

Guajardo of the
Tempe, AZ. Police Dept.
where ever he may
work.

they as A whole has intentionally found ways
To violate the Federal and State Constitutions
while hiding under the Authority of A Federal
Judge and using misleading statement to their
Immunities. The state has Not Acted honorable
Nor in good FAITH, In this entire case.