

No.

21-15359

RECEIVED
MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

AUG 03 2021

COVER PAGE

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE

MICHAEL ALEXANDER RIVERA PETITIONER
(Your Name) ETAL

VS.

UNKNOWN — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

9TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

MASTER MICHAEL ALEXANDER RIVERA
(Your Name)

3000 CECIL AVENUE
(Address)

DELANO, California 93216
(City, State, Zip Code)

JUSTICE DEAN L AVILES@DSOUSA.ORG
MR. L. INFERIOR COURT@DSOUSA.ORG
DEAN L AVILES@DSOUSA.ORG
(Phone Number)

1 OF 3

RECEIVED

AUG 24 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

"HOW SHALL STARS DECIDE,
BINDING PRECEDENT, BE INVOKED IN
LOWER COURTS WITH PLenary JURIS-
DICTION, ADMIRALTY, EQUITY, AND COM-
MERCE?"

II. HOW DOES ALL MATTER OF LAW
CONCERNING UNITED STATES CON-
STITUTION JUSTIFIABLY EXECUTED AS
FRIVOLOUS AND NOT. WHEN OR-
DERING PRECEDENT IS NEVER A
SMALL MATTER?

III. HOW WILL THIS UNITED ST-
ATES SUPREME COURT OF AMERICA
RESOLVE THE CASE THROUGH EN-
TRY OF NEGOTIATED CONSENT
JUDGEMENT?

IV. WHEN IS LEGISLATION ACTION
DENIABLE?

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☒ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

1. OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF APPEALS
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT
POST OFFICE BOX 193939
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94119-3939
415-355-8000

2. DSDUSA ORGANIZATION
1101 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N/W
6TH FLOOR
WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
20004
JUSTICE.DEAN.POWERS@DSDUSA.
ORG
MR.L.V.INFERIORCOURT@DSDUSA.
ORG
DEAN.LAVILES@DSDUSA.ORG

3. CDCR
SECRETARY / DIRECTOR
BPH

P.O. BOX 4036
SACRAMENTO, California 95812

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	<u>II</u>
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	<u>III</u>
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	<u>IV</u>
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	<u>V</u>
CONCLUSION.....	<u>VI</u>
TABLES OF AUTHORITIES CITED.....	<u>VII</u>

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

DUE TO COCR'S OBSTRUCTION OF
U.S. MAIL THERE IS NO APPENDIX
UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY
OF THE LAWS OF THE DISTRICT
OF COLUMBIA

Mal R.A.R., SPECIAL MASTER USA

ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☒ reported at NINTH CIRCUIT-21-15755; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☒ reported at NORTHERN DIST B-20-CV-19279; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court
appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

II.
JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts:**

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was JULY 13, 2021.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

IS U.S.C. § 166(1) THE COURT MUST INDE-
-PENDENTLY DETERMINE THAT ENTRY OF J-
-UDGEMENT IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST BEFORE
GRANTING GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT
OF COLUMBIA'S DEMAND

☐ For cases from **state courts:**

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

III

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

THE UNKNOWN DEFENDANTS AND
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORREC-
TIONS AND REHABILITATION HAVE RE-
FUSED TO HONOR AND VIOLATE
THE FOLLOWING

1ST, 4TH, 5TH, 11TH, 14TH
AMENDMENTS BY DENYING THE
PLAINTIFFS ACCESS TO COURTS
ON DATES (8-26-20); (10-1-20); (3-29-
21); (6-28-21) DEPRIVING ALL
MANDELLA UN MANDATES AND
TREATYS

STARE DECISIS - IS DECISION
MADE BY THE HIGHEST LAW
OF THE LAND

LEGISLATIVE ACTION SET
PRECEDENCE

COMMERCIAL ENTITIES USE
FICTITIOUS CAPITALIZED ENS
LEGIS TO DO BUSINESS IN
COMMERCE SO3(L)(E13) NON
PROFIT SHALL ACCEPT EVERY-
THING PURSUANT TO UNIFORM
COMMERCIAL CODE § 3-410(a)

IV

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

ON BEHALF OF THE UNKNOWN
DEFENDANTS CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION
HAVE FAILED TO EXHIBIT EVIDENCE
OF A DEFECT OF TENDER FROM
NOTICE AND DEMAND FOR PAYMENT
AND FAILURE TO EXHIBIT EVIDE-
-NCE OF A DEFECT IS STIPULATED
THERE IS NO DEFECT THAT THE
TENDER IS AS GOOD AS GOLD. IN
FACT IT IS AS GOOD AS GOLD.
HJR-192(1933)

V

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

A STIPULATED AGREEMENT
NEEDS TO BE ORDERED THROUGH
THE HIGHEST COURT OF THE LAND.

ALL CONSTITUTIONAL PRECEDENCE
SHALL BE RESPECTED BY ALL
LOWER COURTS AND ENFORCED
UPON NON-JUDICIAL ENTITIES
SIMPLY THINKING THEIR "BETTER
THAN THE PROGRAM" IS NOT
TOLERATED. ONLY THRU THIS
COURTS EXTRAORDINARY POWER
FROM LEARNED WOMEN AND MEN
EXERCISING WISDOM UPON ALL
SUBJECTS IS NECESSARY; AS
A MATTER OF ALL LAW TO
HOLD SOCIETY TOGETHER WITH
CHECKS AND BALANCES; NECESSARY
TO PRESERVE ALPHA TYPES DOMIN-
-ENCE IN NATURE. FAILURE TO
CHECK ROGUE SPECIES ALLOWS
RECKLESSNESS AND LAWLESSNESS
TO BECOME A SOCIETY IN ITSELF.

VI CONCLUSION

"A LION MUST EAT A SHEEP"
"WITH EXTREME PREJUDICE"

VII.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES (KISOR V. WILKIE) 139 S. CT 2400 PAGE NUMBER
 (KIMBLE V. MARVEL ENTERTAINMENT) 112 576
 U.S. 135 CT, 2401; 192 L.S.D. 20, 463, 471
 (2015); (Caroline Products CO. V. MAHCNEY)
 294 F. 902; 1923 U.S. DIST. LEXIS 1182;
 (INTERSTATE COMMERCE CON. V. UNITED ST-
 STATES) 224 U.S. 474 (EXXON CORP. V. GULF
 LINES INC) 500 U.S. 603; (NATIONAL MUT. INS.
 CO. V. FIDE WATER TRANSFER CO. 1337 U.S.
 582 (HS.B.C BANK USA. NA EX REL ACE SECS.
 CORP. HOME EQUITY V. GOUDA. 12016 N.J. SUPER UN-
 PUB. LEXIS 3029; (UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ETAL
 PLAINTIFFS, V: CVS, ETAL) 2019 U.S. DIST. LEXIS 387
 - 17 (SHOMO V. CITY OF NEW YORK) 579 F.3d 176 (2d Cir 2009)
 STATUTES AND RULES FOR-192 (1983) FORTY-FIRST CONG
 -RESS SESSION III CH. 61, 62 (1871) UNITED
 STATES SENATE 94 TH CONGRESS 2ND SESSION
 REPORT NO 94-755, APRIL 26 TH (1976), 18 USC
 13, 42 U.S.C. § 12101-5 U.S.C. § 805, 31 U.S.C. § 327, 18
 U.S.C. § 1461) TITLE 8 REGISTERED SECURITIES
 INTERNAL REVENUE CODE § 6325 (a)(2) F.R.C.P. § RULE
 55 (a)(b)(2) F.R.C.P. SUPP AMC RULE § 64 (a)(b), U.N.I PA-
 -RTICIPATION ACT OF 1945 EXECUTING ORDER 11498
 CONTINUITY OF GOVERNMENT C.O.G N.S.C. S410/1
 UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION; AMERICAN DIPLO-
 -MATIC CODES (1778-1884)

OTHER (CHILMAN V. MONTGOMERY COUNTY) 199 U.S. 266
 BENEFICIUM - GOSSEN DARMUM ACTIONUM, MANDELA
 RIGHTS, U.S. CONSTITUTION, COMMERCIAL LAW
 UGC 3-410 (a) ACCEPTANCE