


21-5281

No

ORIGINAL

IN THE



Steven Burda * — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Dept. of Human Service — RESPONDENT(S)
UPDATED / PERFECTED
ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Supreme Court of Pennsylvania
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

Perfected PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Steven Burda
(Your Name)

(Your Name)

1171 Thrush Lane


(Address)

Audubon PA 19403

(City, State, Zip Code)

(215)720-5205

(Phone Number)



* July 2021 (perfected)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Did Supreme Court err by not recalculating expenses ?

yes

Did Supreme Court err by not allowing exhibits to be include ?

yes

Did the Supreme Court fail to accept the costs paid for alimony/child support ?

yes

Did Court err by using wrong figures ?

yes

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

PA = 162 CD 2018

PA = 96 MT 2020

See Dockets in PA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

✓ APPENDIX A

See enclosed

✓ APPENDIX B

✓ APPENDIX C

✓ APPENDIX D

✓ APPENDIX E

✓ APPENDIX F

These are
attached

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

See Record at both
384 MAL & 162 CD 2018.
2020

STATUTES AND RULES

See as wrote in
Brief at 162 CD 2018.

Orders are attached.

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at _____ *see enclosed*; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the C court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A _____.

 For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 12/29/2020.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix D.

see enclosed

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: 2/10/21, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.
see enclosed

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including Order (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___ A _____.
(COVID -19)

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

These are shown
in Brief & filing at

384 MAL 2020

and

162 CD 2018-

See docket.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

As filed in Brief

in case No. 384 MAL 2020

and 162 CD 2018.

Statement of case

is the same, as

PA Supreme Court

did not take the

case to hear it.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

There were various & material errors of fact, figures, laws, regulations and most exhibits were rejected or not permitted. Those documents are crucial to merits of case.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven Band
Date: 05/06/2021 (original)

Perfected July 2021

S. Band