

21-5249 ORIGINAL
No. _____

FILED
JUL 22 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Megan KYTE PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

United States - RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. Court of Appeals for Ninth
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

Circuit

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Megan KYTE
(Your Name)

1535 High St.
(Address)

Denver, CO 80218
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Was petitioner denied her 4th Amendment right to appeal pro se?
- 2) Was petitioner denied equal protection of the law and substantive due process?
- 3) Did a U.S. district court judge willfully deprive the petitioner of her right to a pro se appeal under Section 242 of Title 18?

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

Kyte v. Peterson No. 3:18-cv-00649
U.S. district court of Oregon
Judgment entered
October 29th 2020

Kyte v. Persson USCA # 20-35983
United States Court of Appeals
for the Ninth Circuit

State of Oregon v. Megan ELIZABETH Kyte
Oregon Court of Appeals No. A759572
Judgement date February 23rd 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Decision of US district court
of Oregon

APPENDIX B Opinion of US district

APPENDIX C Court of Oregon

APPENDIX D Appellate Judgement

Oregon court of Appeals

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

2 and 3

Pendergraft v. Network of
Neighbors Inc.

U.S. Supreme Court No. 18-7191

STATUTES AND RULES

14th Amendment - due process
clause

Title 18 - Section 242

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from **federal courts**: **DENIED** **REVIEW**

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix ____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[✓] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[✓] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**: **DENIED** **REVIEW**

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix ____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[✓] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix ____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. __A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was February 23rd.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix C. 2018

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. __A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

denied
14th Amendment right
to a process appeal.
in U.S. district court

denied
Substantive due process

three or four constitutional
claims involved in federal
habeas corpus

Numerous Oregon state
law violations for
direct appeal and habeas
corpus

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Petitioner has been denied a pro se habeas corpus appeal in U.S. district court of the district of Oregon. (Portland, Oregon)

Petitioner has been denied due process under the 14th Amendment. U.S. district court "appointed" a federal defender. Petitioner requested counsel or a document stating she wanted counsel.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Petitioner moves for the Supreme Court of the United States to restore her 14th Amendment right to due process by allowing a pro se habeas corpus in the U.S. district court or the U.S. Court of Appeals or the U.S. Supreme Court.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephen Bate

Date: June 21st 2021