

06/01/2021

21-5111
No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
of America

JOENELL RICE — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

UNITED STATES — RESPONDENT

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

FILED

MAR 26 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

COURT of appeals Sixth Cir 100 E. Fifth Street Room 540 Cincinnati, OH
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE) 45202

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

JOENELL RICE #607-24-060
(Your Name)

ALLENWOOD USP PO BOX 3000
(Address)

WHITE DEER, PA. 17887
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

RECEIVED

MAY 18 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

UNITED STATES DESIGNATION OF RELEVANT DISTRICT COURT DOCUMENTS AND THE SIXTH CIR DISTRICT COURT OF THE NORTHERN ~~DISTRICT~~ OF OHIO, THE COURT OF APPEALS SIXTH CIR COURT WAS INVOLATION ~~FOR~~ ~~THE~~ ~~USE~~ ~~OF~~ THERE DISCRETION, POWER BY IMPOSING SUCH HARSH SENTENCE UPON ME THEY SENTENCE ME TO 15 YEARS, THEY NEVER HAD GROUNDS TO DO THIS, WHEN I FINELY GOT AND I BROUGHT THIS TO THE COURT OF APPEALS INSTEAD OF THEN HONORING REAL CASE LAW AND WHICH THE DISTRICT COURT DENIE ME RELIEF ~~THE~~ COURT OF APPEALS COURT ~~AND~~ ITS DISCRETION IN DETERMINING PROPERLY EXERCISED POST-SENTENCE LEGAL DEVELOPMENT THAT A EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCE WAS NOT, MR. JOEVELL RICES 22/55 REQUEST FOR TIME SERVED, A MIDDLE AGE RELEASE TO HIS FREEDOM BY HE GOT 6 YEARS, 6 MONTHS JAIL TIME IN, WHICH HIS GUIDELINES IS 30 TO 37 MONTHS WITH HIS 3 POINT RESPONSIBILITY, MENTAL DISORDER DOWNWAY DEPARTURE SENTENCE REDUCTION HE SERVED THIS SENTENCE 2 IN A HALF TIMES, MR. RICE UNLAWFULLY GOT CONVICTED FOR 15 YEARS, HE GOT ARRESTED FOR PURSE SNATCHING 3 TIMES, WHICH IS A FELONY 2 VIOLENT CRIME IN THE STATE OF OHIO BUT HE NEVER GOT CONVICTED FOR THIS HE BEAT THIS ALL 3 TIMES, HE GOT CONVICTED FOR UNARMED ATTEMPTED ROBBERY 3 TIMES, WHICH IS NOT A CRIME OF VIOLENCE IN THE STATE OF OHIO, BY MR. RICE DONT HAVE NO CRIMES OF VIOLENCE ON HIS RECORD THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEVER HAD GROUND TO COME IN DITE HIM FEDERAL SAME GUN CHARGE

LIST OF PARTIES

- [] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- [] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

Bousley V. UNITED STATES, 523 U.S. ~~560~~ 614, 618-619, 118 S. CT. 1604,
140 L. ED 2d

Johnson V. UNITED STATES, 596 U.S. 591 (2015)

Tapia V. UNITED STATES, 564 U.S. 319 (2011)

CLAY V. UNITED STATES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
all These are Retroactive with you guys	
UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT	
Johnson V. UNITED STATES, 576 U.S. 591 (2015)	
TAPIA V. UNITED STATES, 564 U.S. 319 (2011)	
CLAY V. UNITED STATES, 537 U.S. 522 (2003)	
BOUSLEY V. UNITED STATES 523 U.S. 614, 618-619, 118 S.Ct. 1604, 140 L.Ed 2d 828 (1998)	

STATUTES AND RULES

USS.G BY UNARMED ROBBERY IS NOT A CRIME OF VIOLENCE IN THE STATE OF OHIO SO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT CANNOT MAKE THIS VIOLENT FEDERAL BECAUSE THIS IS A VIOLATION OF MY FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS RIGHTS NUMEROUS TIMES SIR, IF YOU LOOK AT MY STATE STATUTE AND THE PENN REVISE CODE FROM LEXIS NEXIS FEDERAL LAW GROUP CASE CITATION THAT I GOT ATTACHED TO THIS THERE CLEARLY LETTING YOU GUYS KNOW THAT THIS IS NOT A CRIME OF VIOLENCE IN THE STATE OF OHIO, ALSO BY ME NOT HAVING A CRIME OF VIOLENCE ON MY CASE RECORD SIR THE FEDS NEVER EVEN HAD GROUNDS TO COME AND INDITE ME FEDERALLY FOR THIS SAME GUN CHARGE THAT I BEG IN THE STATE COURT ON TECHNOLOGY AND NOW LET ME EXPLAIN HOW AND WHICH THIS IS NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE BECAUSE I JUST DISCOVERED THIS FROM STUDYING LAW AT THIS JAIL ALLENWOOD USP I'M AT NOW IT SAYS IF YOU NEVER BEEN CONVICTED OF A VIOLENT CRIME OR DOMESTIC VIOLENCE CRIME IN THE PAST AND YOUR CHARGED WITH AND 922(G) GUN CHARGE THEN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT CAN NOT INDITE YOU FEDERALLY FOR THIS CASE IS CALLED CASTLEMAN V. UNITED STATES, 572 U.S. 157, 162, 134 S. CT. 1405, 188 L. ED. 2D 426 (2014), THIS IS FROM YOU GUYS THATS RETROACTIVE, WHICH APPLYS TO ME, GIVE ME DIRECT RELIEF SIR, WHICH WITH THAT SAID SIR THIS IS DOUBTLE JEOPARDY, WHICH MAKE THIS ELEGAL SENTENCE, I GOT LEGAL FEDERAL DOCUMENTS, A LEGAL LETTER ATTACH ON

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix ON to the petition and is

☒ reported at FEB 10 2021; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at They said that they will not review issues raised for the first time on appeal; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was FEB 10, 2021.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

BECAUSE I already BEAT THIS SAME GUN
CHARGE IN THE STATE COURT ON TECHNOLOGICALS
SIR, THE FEDS UNLAWFULLY ~~ARE~~ ~~CONVICTED~~ AND gave me
15 YEARS, I DONT HAVE A CRIME OF VIOLENCE ON
MY CASE RECORD, SO MY GUIDELINES IS 30 TO 37
MONTHS AFTER MY 3 POINT RESPONSIBILITY, DOWNWAY DEPARTURE SENTENCE
REDUCTION FOR MY MENTAL HEALTH DISORDERS, MY MENTAL DISORDERS, I
IVE BEEN DOWN FOR 6 YEARS, 6 MONTHS SINCE JAN 8 2015, WHICH
THIS GIVES ME AN IMMEDIATE RELEASE TO MY FREEDOM SIR WITH NO
PROBATION, WHICH THE DISTRICT COURT, JUDGE DONALD NUGENT WAS
IN VIOLATION FOR MY FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS RIGHTS
NUMEROUS TIMES, 2017. THE 3 PANEL JUDGES NAME COLE,
CHIEF JUDGE, GUY AND GILMAN, CIRCUIT JUDGES, THEY COULD OF GAVE
ME RELIEF THEN BUT THEY DIDNT WHICH THEY WAS IN VIOLATION OF MY
FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS RIGHTS NUMEROUS TIMES BY UNLAWFULLY
DOING THIS, THEN I JUST FINELY GOT, GROUNDS TO
REFILE, SO THEY GRANTED ME leave TO file and
22/55 motion, THEN THEY SHOT ME DOWN FEB 10 2021
WITH NEW, MORE LIES THIS TIMES BUT THE TAPIA CASE
GIVES ME DIRECT RELIEF ~~AND~~ MY CASE FROM
YOU GUYS BECAUSE THIS IS A SUPREME COURT CASE
THATS RETROACTIVE WITH YOU GUYS, WHICH MAKE THIS APPLIES
DIRECTLY TO ME, ALSO THE JOHNSON LAW APPLYS TO ME
SIR, WHICH I DONT EVEN NEED THE JOHNSON LAW ANYWAY BY ME
NOT ~~HAVING~~ A CRIME OF VIOLENCE ON MY RECORD WITH
FAX, CASE LAW TO CONFIRM THIS

06/01/2021

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

NOW Please file This AS A SuppleMentary Motion With my CERTiorari Motion Under Newly DiscovEred Evidence, also file This ^{suppleMentary} 95 91 Complaint ON The 90 DAY INVEStigation siDE BECAUSE I WANT Federal INJUNCTIONS PLACed all ON These Pacific People That I JUST NAME IN my suppleMentary motion THANKyou, HAVE a Bless DAY, I left The Rest of The motion The SAME like you guys SAid

06/01/2021

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Because

BECAUSE → MY UNITED STATES FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT
DUE PROCESS RIGHTS HAS BEEN BEYOND VIOLATED BY
THE SIXTH CIR. NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT, BY THE SIXTH CIR
COURT OF APPEALS, THERE IN VIOLATION FOR VIOLATING
MY FIFTH AMENDMENT RIGHT NUMEROUS TIMES AND
ALSO MY SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT NUMEROUS TIMES
AND THERE IN VIOLATION FOR DISCRIMINATION, ABUSE OF
THEIR POWER AUTHORITY, FOR COURT CORRUPTION, NOW LET
ME EXPLAIN HOW WITH CORRECT DATES AND TIMES
THAT CONFIRMS THIS MOMENT OF TRUTH, THIS IS,
A LEGAL SENTENCE. AND

06/01/2021

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

JOENEIL RICE

Date: MARCH 26, 2021