

No. **21-5090**

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED
APR 29 2021
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Jason Alan Smith

(Your Name)

PETITIONER

ORIGINAL

vs.

CITY OF BREMERTON

RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE) Circuit

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Jason Smith

(Your Name)

P.O. Box 3036

(Address)

Valdosta, Georgia,

(City, State, Zip Code)

(360) 359-2553

(Phone Number)

[31604]

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- ① Do I have a right to petition the government for a redress of grievances?
- ② Do I maintain the rights outlined by the several amendments attributing to the enumerated, so-called "bill of rights," including, but not limited to, 1st and 4th amendments to the Constitution of the United States of America?
- ③ Am I protected from malicious retribution and malicious prosecution when I assert or try to exercise my rights?
- ④ What assumption of accountability can I ascertain from government officials who have conspired to cover-up the problems that they created by violating my rights, the immediate supervisor and complicit conspirator perjured himself blatantly while handling my initial complaint over the original Black Friday encounter.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Order No. 20-35696 D.C. No. 6:20-cv-00792-MK
APPENDIX B	Order No. 20-35703 D.C. No. 3:19-cv-06199-BHS
APPENDIX C	Order Dismissing Complaint without Prejudice Case No. C19-6200 BHS
APPENDIX D	Order Dismissing Complaint without Prejudice and Revoking in forma pauperis Status Case No. C19-5479 BHS
APPENDIX E	Judgement in a Civil Case case No. 3:19-CV-06199-BHS
APPENDIX F	Order / case No. 3:19-cv-06187-RBL

Appendix G - **Final Notice**
Case No. 50653001 BAT

Appendix H - copy of my birth certificate

Note - other than appendix G **FINAL NOTICE**
(haven't been served any response from
defendant's counsel)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Torres v. Madrid
California v. Hodari
1605 Countess of Rutland's Case

STATUTES AND RULES

- ① 42 U.S.C. § 1983 claim of deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and [Federal (laws)]
- ② 1st Amendment violation for retaliation. I was retaliated on the occurrence of executing a video recording for my safety. I was, at the time already a subject of what amounts to malicious prosecution. The officer was trying to plant drugs on me, and based on a personal recognition of the Xanax pills was quickly able to gauge his personal mannerisms, speech, and LARGE PUPILS that he was on the pills. He was also not wearing gloves and his clear plastic tube would probably have had fingerprints (but he was not handling the vial of pills like evidence) he tried to hand them to me. I told my girlfriend
 < RECORD THIS

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

✓] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Unfortunately I have a list, all of the preceding respondents on or for or in or with (or however the official arcane legalese may be proscribed) to indicate what I have alleged to be named and previously described in their assumed roles and possible (I insist they are) **RELATED CASES** liable for damages

and in the nature of the 'color of law' precedent and the affordable violations of concerted and prolonged orchestrations to violate my rights, conspired to do so and/or ignored my complaints, accepting a supervisors perjured complaint response and action. If anyone had looked into that first complaint they would have seen through the mishandled complaint. Because I pursued action to preserve my rights and file complaints, I believe that certain defendants were varyingly responsible in dealing with my business car and cover-

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix AB to the petition and is

☒ reported at court of appeals; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix BCDEF to the petition and is

☒ reported at district court; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

I find it very disconcerting that this issue has taken so long to resolve. Added to my intense frustration with what I have perceived and experienced thus far as government officials who seem more interested in doing nothing but want to dismiss my complaints and allegations without looking into them, I have to figure either A) No one is even looking at any of my allegations because they are continuously dismissed as frivolous (I GUARANTEE IT IS NOT, AND I HAVE VIDEO EVIDENCE AND A WITNESS), or B) the lower courts have established and shown disregard for the Constitution of the United States of America, bias against individual rights and liberties, and refusal to provide professional legal assistance or to facilitate in forma pauperis procedures when my income from being disabled is all I currently have because these constitutionally, morally, professionally bad actors somehow find morally and ethically bankrupt court officials to aid and abet

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The fact that I have to, here again, state my case is emotionally traumatizing me more than anyone previously could have realized. Being victimized by 'public officials' (police officers), when I was an innocent bystander would be bad enough by itself. The first, other detail, to recognize first, please, is the FACT that less than FIVE years prior to this BLACK FRIDAY event at Autozone in Bremerton I had been wrongfully and unlawfully charged and arrested and placed in the Kitsap county jail. After 6½ months of affirming my innocence the case was dismissed, there was no witness and no evidence for a crime I never committed. Over two years after filing tort claims on the county I accepted a settlement offer. Most of that two years was ^{spent} homeless because spending that much time in jail (FOR NOTHING) traumatized me and destabilized my housing and my day to day life. Then, see this complaint here now, starts with the BLACK FRIDAY interaction with an officer who detained me unlawfully and then attempted to plant drugs on me (which he was on). Luckily, I was not alone at the time, and my girlfriend risked her safety to record on video me rights being violated. Excessive Force. False charges. Nobody has done anything to help me. I am disabled.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The reasons to grant my petition is that the lower courts decisions appear the opposite of what the written and case law seems to propose.


Throughout several rounds of correspondences over what has developed into many different lawsuits concerning these problems that were filed in District court. Even though I have been barely subsisting on my Social Security Disability payments for income, the lower courts have routinely dismissed my requests for waiver of filing fees and also for the assistance of a court appointed attorney to assist me with legal and procedural questions. I also tried to get an injunction to cover legal fees. I think it was simply ignored. Between not being well versed in 'legalese' and struggling with extreme poverty (because my business, UBER was tied up in a car the police started vandalizing by defacing my registration tabs and removing a brake caliper bolt from the brakes).

Previously, the video documentation that I have attempted to make available to the court was submitted as evidence and also a hyperlink to an online storage account was made available. Also, I submitted (as condemnable evidence he perjured himself) the result of my original encounter and subsequent complaint. The fact that he would do something like that, to cover something up, indicates a conscious cover-up.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,
"WITHOUT PREJUDICE"



Date: April 29, 2021