

21-5008

No. 21-1647

ORIGINAL

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED  
JUN 25 2021  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Brittany Crowshart — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

VS.

ANNE MAGILL COLLINS — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United State Court of Appeals For Tenth Circuit  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Brittany Crowshart  
(Your Name)

9111 East Colorado Ave Unit # 607  
(Address) Aurora CO, 80017

G  
(City, State, Zip Code)

RECEIVED  
JUL - 2 2021  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

720-650-9279  
(Phone Number)

### QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

That The United States Appeals Court Failed  
To Hear Oral Argument Pursuant To  
Fed. R. Civ. P. R. 34.1 About The Violations  
Of The Forth Amendment OF A Nurse  
ANNE Magill Collins Violated (HIPAA)  
On Petitioner Brittany Crownhart, Saint  
Marys Discharge Paper Work, the Fourth  
Amendment Was Violated To Her  
Health Insurance Portability Account-  
ability Act Of (1996) Of Stat. 1936 (42  
U.S.C. Sarchett V. Blue Shield Of  
California (1987) Saint Mary Nurse  
Violated Brittany Crownhart Forth -  
Amendment <sup>Right</sup> To (HIPAA) See Statute 1996

## LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

*Krownhart v. Strive Case No. 20-5557*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>United States District Court F. 2d</i>
APPENDIX B	<i>United States Court of Appeals Tenth Circuit F. 3d</i>
APPENDIX C	
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix F<sup>3d</sup> to the petition and is

☒ reported at MORITZ, BALDICK, FID; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix F<sup>2d</sup> to the petition and is

☒ reported at LEWIS T. BABCOCK; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

### PAGE NUMBER

Crownhart v. Anne Magill Collins (10th Cir. 2021) i.  
Crownhart v. Strive Mesa Developmental Services 2  
Crownhart v. McDonald's Corporation 3  
Salazar v. McDonald's Corporation (9th Cir. 2019)

### STATUTES AND RULES

42 U.S.C. 1936 (1966)

### OTHER

## JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 6/3/2021.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: June 10, 2021, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix f.3d.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

**CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

42 U.S.C. § 1986 Violation of Fourth  
Amendment HIPAA (1996)



## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

ON December, 15, 2020 Nurse Anne Magill Collins Violated medical malpractice on Patient Brittany Crownhart And stated in discharge paper work, that Brittany Crownhart ABUSES Children. Nurse Anne Magill Collins Violated (HIPAA) when Patient Brittany Crownhart Didn't Sign or consent to Anne Magill Collins to view her chart At Saint Marys Hospital in Grand Jet CO, Brittany Crownhart Filed A Class Action Law Suit in United States District Court. The Court Dismissed her case in December OF 2020. Brittany Crownhart Filed Appeal Appeals Dismissed her case on June 3, 2021. And Now Brings Action to The United States Supreme Court to be heard.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Appellant Brittany Crownhart Filed A Lawsuit Against ANNE MCGILL COLLINS For Medical Malpractice For Violating (HIPAA) On Dec. 23, 2020 Nurse ANNE MCGILL COLLINS Stated Private Information in Brittany Crownhart(s) Chart At Saint Marys Hospital She Abuses Children. That Violated Brittany Crownhart(s) Fourth Amendment Right. Brittany Crownhart Sued The P.A Nurse ANNE MCGILL COLLINS For Medical Malpractice The United States Court of Appeals Dismissed Her Case. The Circuit Court Violated The due process clause to Dismiss A Case Regarding (HIPAA) Act of 42 U.S.C. § 1986 And Relief Request Monetary Damages. The Supreme Court Should Grant Such Relief Due to Appellates Court Reason To Dismiss Judicial Due process *Whitney v. New Mexico* (1997) The U.S. Appeal used Bad Law is Laws to Dismiss Relief Which Violates The Fourth Amendment of (HIPAA).

### CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Br: + + AN > C/O H 202

Date: 6/25/2021