

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Appendix-A. After filing case within the lower court and being dealt unfairly.

I immediately filed with the Third district court of appeal. On January 16, 2020 I received the decision from The 3-DCA, in which the ruling were followed by the lower court decision timely filed.

After sending all correspondence in my brief showing that the filing was within the statute of limitation.


The 3-DCA overlooked the recorded court documents. I then filed my case with the Supreme Court of Florida seeking justice. On March 2, 2021. After re-submitting my brief, it was then excepted and logged into the filing court system.

On April 5, 2021. I the Petitioner reached out to the clerk of court Miss Bernard, concerning the Respondent not filing an answer brief. On that evening I checked the filing portal. The Supreme Court of Florida had recorded Order- Brief None Filing Answer Brief. I waited patiently on the supreme court of Florida ruling. On April 21, 2021. I received an email decline to except jurisdiction.

After filing and submitting supporting documents, on behalf of my case in which I have been, undergoing since the day of the mediation. (April 7, 2017) And the day case were filed back in court April 1, 2019. And the respondent not filing an answer brief with the courts, and the court declined jurisdiction. I am aware that they can decline jurisdiction. "But" after receiving supporting documents from the petitioner, and nothing in writing from the Respondent is clearly unjustifiable. I am not an attorney, but I know right from wrong, and this is clearly wrong doing to anyone. I am like a parent that child has been injured. And I can't stop until he or she is better. I am now to the mercy of the supreme court of the United States. In God we will trust. I'm at your mercy now. I ask that you review the timeline on timely filing and all court documents.

Sincerely:

Falisha Bell



1 OF 10  
PGS

# Supreme Court of Florida

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 21, 2021

**CASE NO.: SC21-107**

Lower Tribunal No(s).:

3D20-885;

132019CA012043000001

FALISHA BELL

vs. SCOTT WAGNER &  
ASSOCIATES

---

Petitioner(s)

Respondent(s)

---


This cause having heretofore been submitted to the Court on jurisdictional briefs and portions of the record deemed necessary to reflect jurisdiction under Article V, Section 3(b), Florida Constitution, and the Court having determined that it should decline to accept jurisdiction, it is ordered that the petition for review is denied.

No motion for rehearing will be entertained by the Court. See Fla. R. App. P. 9.330(d)(2).


POLSTON, LABARGA, LAWSON, COURIEL, and GROSSHANS, JJ., concur.

A True Copy

Test:



John A. Tomasino  
Clerk, Supreme Court



db

Served:

BRIAN L. HARVELL  
FALISHA BELL  
HON. HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK  
HON. MERCEDES M. PRIETO, CLERK  
HON. PEDRO P. ECHARTE JR., JUDGE

**Third District Court of Appeal  
State of Florida**

Opinion filed December 16, 2020.  
Not final until disposition of timely filed motion for rehearing.

---

No. 3D20-0885  
Lower Tribunal No. 19-12043

---

**Falisha Bell,  
Appellant,**

vs.

**Scott Wagner & Associates,  
Appellee.**

An appeal from the Circuit Court for Miami-Dade County, Pedro P. Echarte, Jr., Judge.

Falisha Bell, in proper person.

Resnick & Louis, P.C., and Brian L. Harvell, and Robert J. Squire, for appellee.

Before SCALES, MILLER, and BOKOR, JJ.

PER CURIAM.

Affirmed. See § 95.11(4)(a), Fla. Stat. ("An action for professional malpractice, other than medical malpractice, whether founded on contract or tort; provided that the period of limitations shall run from the time the cause of action is discovered or should have been discovered with the exercise of due diligence" must be brought "[w]ithin two years.").


IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL  
OF FLORIDA  
THIRD DISTRICT  
JANUARY 22, 2021

FALISHA BELL,  
Appellant(s)/Petitioner(s),  
vs.  
SCOTT WAGNER & ASSOCIATES,  
Appellee(s)/Respondent(s),

CASE NO.: 3D20-0885  
L.T. NO.: 19-12043

Upon consideration, the appellant's pro se Motion of  
Reconsideration is hereby denied.

SCALES, MILLER and BOKOR, JJ., concur.



cc: Brian L. Harvell Robert J. Squire Falisha Bell

la

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL  
CIRCUIT IN AND FOR MIAMI-DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO: 2019-012043-CA-01

SECTION: CA09

JUDGE: Pedro P Echarte Jr.

**FALISHA BELL**

Plaintiff(s)

vs.

**Scott Wagner and Associates et al**

Defendant(s)

**FINAL JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE**

This matter came before the court on June 1, 2020, on Defendant's *Motion for Final Judgment of Dismissal*. The parties appeared by Zoom.

On February 4, 2020 Plaintiff was granted leave to file an amended complaint within ten (10) days of that date. Rather than filing an amended complaint, on February 6, 2020, Plaintiff filed an incomprehensible motion seeking leave to file an amended complaint. Because Plaintiff did not file any amended complaint, Defendant filed a motion for final order of dismissal on February 26, 2020. In response to the motion, and instead of filing an amended complaint, Plaintiff filed the same incomprehensible motion but captioned it "Amendment of Initial Complaint". Plaintiff's motions to amend the complaint were legally insufficient as no proposed complaint was ever attached or filed.

At hearings on both May 27, 2020 and May 28, 2020, Plaintiff was advised by the court that her filings did not constitute a complaint and, as such, Plaintiff was granted additional time to file an amended complaint.

# Supreme Court of Florida

MONDAY, APRIL 5, 2021

**CASE NO.: SC21-107**

Lower Tribunal No(s).:

3D20-885;

132019CA012043000001

FALISHA BELL

vs. SCOTT WAGNER &  
ASSOCIATES

---

Petitioner(s)

Respondent(s)

Counsel for respondent having not filed an answer brief, the above case has been submitted to the Court for consideration.

A copy of petitioner's brief may be found on this Court's website (<http://www.floridasupremecourt.org>) via the Docket Search page.

A True Copy

Test:




---


John A. Tomasino  
Clerk, Supreme Court

db

Served:

BRIAN L. HARVELL  
FALISHA BELL





# Supreme Court of Florida

Office of the Clerk  
500 South Duval Street  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1927

JOHN A. TOMASINO  
CLERK  
MARK CLAYTON  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK  
JULIA BREEDING  
STAFF ATTORNEY

PHONE NUMBER: (850) 488-0125  
[www.floridasupremecourt.org](http://www.floridasupremecourt.org)

May 19, 2021

RE: FALISHA BELL vs. SCOTT WAGNER & ASSOCIATES

CASE NUMBER: SC21-107

Lower Tribunal Case Number(s): 3D20-885;  
132019CA012043000001

The Florida Supreme Court has received the following document reflecting a filing date of 5/18/2021.

Letter

In response to the above letter please be advised that the United States Supreme Court is the appropriate Court to review a decision of this Court. Enclosed is a sample packet to assist you in filing your petition.

The Florida Supreme Court's case number must be utilized on all pleadings and correspondence filed in this cause.

lc

cc:

BRIAN L. HARVELL  
FALISHA BELL

APPX  
A

**Additional material  
from this filing is  
available in the  
Clerk's Office.**