## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

\_\_\_\_\_

No. 21-429

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, PETITIONER

v.

VICTOR MANUEL CASTRO-HUERTA

\_\_\_\_\_

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO DISPENSE WITH THE REQUIREMENT OF A JOINT APPENDIX

\_\_\_\_\_

Pursuant to Rule 26.8 of this Court, petitioner respectfully seeks leave to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix in this case. Counsel for respondent has authorized undersigned counsel to state that they concur in this motion.

The question presented in this case is whether a State has authority to prosecute non-Indians who commit crimes against Indians in Indian country. The appendix to the petition for a writ of certiorari includes all of the lower court opinions. The parties do not believe that any other portion of the record merits special attention that warrants the preparation and expense of a joint appendix. A separate joint appendix would therefore not materially assist in the Court's consideration of this case. For the foregoing reasons, the motion to dispense with the requirement of a joint appendix should be granted.

Respectfully submitted.

KANNON K. SHANMUGAM

<u>Counsel of Record</u>

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND,

WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

2001 K Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20006

(202) 223-7300

February 9, 2022