

March 2, 2022

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Scott S. Harris
Clerk of the Court
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543-0001
sharris@supremecourt.gov

Re: *Postmates, LLC, fka Postmates, Inc. v. Wendy Santana*
Case No. 21-420


Dear Clerk Harris:

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 30.4, Respondent Wendy Santana (“Respondent”) respectfully moves for an extension of time for filing her response to Petitioner Postmates, LLC, fka Postmates, Inc.’s (“Petitioner”) Petition for Writ of Certiorari (“Petition”) by sixty (60) days for the reason stated below. The response is currently due on March 14, 2022.

The parties in this case have reached a class action settlement which would resolve all claims in this case, which has been filed in San Francisco Superior Court. See Postmates Classification Cases, Case No. CJC-20-005068 (San Francisco Superior Court). The settlement was preliminarily approved by the Court on August 12, 2021. The final approval hearing was originally scheduled for January 5, 2022 but was continued to March 11, 2022. Assuming that the Court grants final approval on March 11, 2022, this case will be moot.

Accordingly, in the interest of judicial economy, counsel for Respondent respectfully submit that her response deadline should be extended until May 13, 2022.

PARRIS LAW FIRM



Kitty K. Szeto

Counsel for Respondent Wendy Santana

cc: Theane D. Evangelis (Counsel of Record for Petitioner)

PERSONAL INJURY | ENVIRONMENTAL | EMPLOYMENT

Attorneys – R. Rex Parris | Alexander R. Wheeler | Jason P. Fowler | Kitty K. Szeto | Khail A. Parris
Patricia K. Oliver | Susan S. Baker | John D. Wu | Ryan K. Kahl | John M. Bickford | Jonathan W. Douglass | Eric N. Wilson
Nader S. Sabawi | Rutger R. Parris | Ryan A. Crist | Lusine V. Coppock | Christopher L. Casillas | Rene E. Ucros
Artur Aziev | Joseph C. Ramli | Mark G. Shihady | Misak Chanchikyan | Daniel P. Park
Of Counsel – Robert A. Parris | Bruce L. Schechter | Daniel Eli