

December 23, 2021

Jonathan D. Selbin  
Partner  
jselbin@lchb.com

**VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS AND ELECTRONIC FILING**

Scott S. Harris  
Clerk of the Court  
Supreme Court of the United States  
One First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20543

***RE: No. 21-385, Drasc, Inc., et al. v. Navistar International Corporation, et al.***

Dear Mr. Harris:

I write on behalf of the Class Representatives, among the respondents in the above-captioned case, in response to your letter dated October 27, 2021, requesting a response to the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari. As noted in the Petition, “Class Representatives presented arguments in the court of appeals on a singular issue of whether the class notice at issue adequately described the opt-out procedure to the unnamed class members.” Pet. at iii. The Petition, however, does not raise the adequacy of class notice as part of the Questions Presented, nor does it make any argument about that issue. The Petition raises only issues argued below by respondents Navistar International Corporation and Navistar, Inc. Because the only issue on which Class Representatives took a position below is not raised in the Petition, Class Representatives take no position on whether the writ of certiorari should be granted, and will not be filing a separate response to the Petition.

Sincerely,



Jonathan D. Selbin  
Counsel for Respondent Class Representatives

cc: Counsel of Record

2336458.1