

No.

21-294

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

AUG 25 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Rukminesh Mehta

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

Maggie Kuhl vs.

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Rukminesh Mehta

(Your Name)

13341 Woodland Park Road

(Address)

Suite 429

(City, State, Zip Code)

Herndon, VA 20171

RECEIVED

AUG 27 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

(Phone Number) (202) 250-1931

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Why is FISC involved in a Child Custody Case in the First Place?
2. Why did FISC Remove from Public View an Order Signed by Mrs. Gina Groh, Chief United States District Judge of the United States District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia, an Order Signed in January of 2020?
3. That Order is Needed for a Child Negligence, Change of Custody Hearing in California, specifically Stated to FISC Court, the Reason the Order was Required, why Did FISC Reject the Request?

### LIST OF PARTIES

[ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[ ] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Rita Gandhi aka Rita Gandy aka Rita P. Gandhi

### RELATED CASES

Family Court Case No.: 11-D-322 Atul Mehta v. Rita Gandhi  
Civil Action No.: 13-D-783 Atul Mehta v. Rita P. Gandy  
Civil Action No.: 08-D-279 Atul Mehta v. Rita Gandhi

1:20-cv-00331-AJT-MSN Mehta v. Morrissey et al  
1:20-cv-00342-LO-TCB Mehta v. Morrissey et al  
1:20-cv-00397-RDA-MSN Mehta v. Morrissey  
1:20-cv-00398-RDA-MSN Mehta v. Austin  
1:20-cv-00490-RDA-TCB Mehta v. United States of America  
1:20-cv-00507-LO-IDD Mehta v. United States of America  
1:21-cv-00129-RDA-IDD Mehta v. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
3:19-cv-00177-GMG-RWT Mehta v. San Mateo, CA et al  
3:20-cv-00131-GMG-RWT Mehta v. Kishl

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit
APPENDIX B: District Court for the Northern District of West Virginia
APPENDIX C: Order by Federal Judge Mrs. Gina Groh (Unsigned Version)
APPENDIX D: Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration
APPENDIX E: Docket Report (from West Virginia)
APPENDIX F: FISC, Motions and Documents sent to FISC

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

### PAGE NUMBER

Nixon v. Warner Communications, Inc., 435 U.S. 589 (1978) . . . . .	Passim
--	--------

In, the Supreme Court "it is clear that the Courts of this Country  
Recognize a General Right to Inspect and Copy Public Records..."

STATUTES AND RULES

U.S. Const. art III, § 2, cl. 2	30
U.S. Const. amend. I	passim
50 U.S.C. § 1801 et seq.	passim
50 U.S.C. § 1803-1805	17
FISC Rule 62	15
FISC Rule 4	28
FISC Rule 6(b)	28
OTHER	

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is Attached.

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, ☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is Attached.

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_ ; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, ☐ is  
unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix  
to the petition and is

☐ reported \_\_\_\_\_ at ; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, ☐ is  
unpublished.

The opinion of \_\_\_\_\_ the  
court \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is appears  
at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ ; or,

☐ reported at  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or, ☐ is  
unpublished.

1.  
**JURISDICTION**

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was

May 25, 2021

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of  
Appeals on the following date: , and a ~~copy of the order denying~~ rehearing appears  
at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ .

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted  
to and including (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No.  
— A —.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date:  
\_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing  
appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and  
including (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in  
Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

**CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

The First Amendment provides that "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances."

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. In October of 2019, while Working as a School Psychologist in Stafford County, Virginia, I Filed a Case in the Northern District Court of West Virginia, Martinsburg Division
2. In January of 2020, An Order was Issued by Chief Justice Mrs. Gina Broh, the Order was Public. In part, the Order;
  - 2.A. Filing of Abuse of Discretionary Power (Against Maggie Kuhl, GAL)
  - 2.B. Removed Maggie Kuhl as guardian-ad-litem
  - 2.C. Removed Any and ALL Orders in Relation to Maggie Kuhl
  - 2.D. Removed Family Court Judge, Sean Maynard from Wayne County, West Virginia
  - 2.E. Re-assigned the Case to Berkeley County, West Virginia
  - 2.F. Re-Funded ALL Fees Paid to Maggie Kuhl, totaling \$40,000.
3. Around February of 2020, FISC became Involved in my Case, Removed the Order from Public View and ALL Copies of the Order were either Removed or Destroyed,
4. Then, On February 10, 2020, After FISC Had the Order Removed, Maggie Kuhl Re-joined the Case as GAL.



## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1.) To Protect a Disabled, Minor child from Being Abused.

2.) To Discourage the Attorney General of West Virginia, Patrick <sup>(Morrisey)</sup> Morrissey, from Interfering in Future Child Abuse, Child Custody Cases.

(In 2014, Patrick Morrissey, the Attorney General of West Virginia, Interjected Himself into the Case.

I Filed a Complaint with the West Virginia Board of Accounting (WVBOA) against Franklin Austin, CPA, who After Numerous Requests, Still Refused to provide me, his Client, with a Copy of My Own Tax Returns, which Franklin Austin, CPA, from Austin & Associates A.C. had Prepared Himself.

Based Upon Correspondence (FAX) from the Attorney General of West Virginia, Patrick Morrissey to the WVBOA, My Complaint was Dismissed.

3.) To Remind FISC that they Should NOT be Involved in a Child Negligence, Child Custody Case.

CONCLUSION

Please have FISC Restore the Order Back to Public View,  
With a Copy Sent to me. Petitioner respectfully asks this Court to Correct

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

ISC's Jurisdictional Errors.

Respectfully submitted,

Rukminesh Mehta

Date: August 25, 2021

No. \_\_\_\_\_

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

\_\_\_\_\_  
— PETITIONER (Your Name)

VS.

\_\_\_\_\_  
— RESPONDENT(S)

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Rukminesh Mehta  
August 25, 2021

, do swear or declare that on this date,

, as required by Supreme Court Rule

29 I have

served the enclosed MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* and  
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI on each party to the above proceeding or that  
party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an

envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.


The names and addresses of those served are as follows: J. Robert Russell

1445 Stewartstown Road, Suite 200

Morgantown, WV 26505

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 25, 2021

Rohit K. Mishra 

(Signature)