

06/01/21
MO

No. USCA6 19-584

21-179

IN THE
UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

Nehemiah Rolle, Jr.,
Petitioner,


v.

Norman St. George
Respondent.

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari
to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Nehemiah Rolle, Jr., Petitioner
Acting as his own lawyer
909 3rd Avenue 6096
New York, New York 10150
646-387-1630



I. REASONS FOR GRANTING WRIT

1. Does a state court judicial officer like Respondent Norman St. George have the right to malicious criminally slander and libel and malicious defame the Petitioner with false slanderous and defamatory utterances repeatedly to the top state NAACP official over the phone over a weekend in order to destroy the Petitioner's reputation within the NAACP just because the Petitioner made a legal written complaint of concern of what he saw in the state court which the Respondent was supposed to be supervising? And does that Respondent have "absolute immunity" or even judicial immunity from Petitioner's lawsuit of equity to redress deprivations of any of his rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States in an action at law and in a suit of equity, brought via *Title 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 etc...* action where the Petitioner is seeking to obtain a declaratory judgment by a jury trial against the Respondent and then Petitioner seeks to enforce the judgment or show that according the particular circumstances presented such declaratory relief was not available, See, *Hoover v. Tucker CA4/1 Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District Division One State of California quoting from pages 8 and 9*; and so does the Respondent have so-called "absolute immunity" or even judicial immunity?

Petitioner says no the Respondent does not have "absolute immunity" or even judicial immunity against equity lawsuit brought via a *Title 42 U.S.C. Section 1983* seeking to obtain a declaratory judgment by a jury trial against the Respondent for

repeated acts of criminal defamation and slanderous malice utterances and hate speech against Petitioner where Respondent engages in an ongoing retaliation campaign secretly to destroy the Petitioner's public reputation and character and by these criminal acts ?

2. Is state a judicial officer who has engages in knowingly and intentional such slanderous and criminally defamatory and perjurious acts against the Petitioner are frivolous just because the Federal judge Ann M. Donnelly does not like that the Petitioner's Federal equity lawsuit seeking a declaratory judgment on his claims against the who is also a state judicial officer no answer for the Respondent was allowed to be made by judge Donnelly; and is Federal judge Donnelly right on her fictitious assumption the Plaintiff-Appellant's claims regarding criminal acts of defamation and slander by the Defendant-Appellee Plaintiff-Appellant that the *Federal Courts Improvement Act 1996* which amends *Title 42 USC Section 1983* gives the Respondent so-called "so-called absolute immunity" or judicial immunity from equity lawsuits and *Title 42 USC Section 1983* Federal Complaints seeking declaratory judgment and gives Respondent no "absolute immunity" from the criminal acts that Petitioner cites as claims in his Federal Complaint are which is backed-up with eyewitnesses notarized affidavits against the Respondent?

Petitioner says no to 2nd question presented; that Federal judge Ann M. Donnelly is wrong in her Memorandum and Order and Decision on both points that Petitioner's equity lawsuit brought against the Respondent via *Title 42 USC Section*

1983 seeking a declaratory judgment on Petitioner's claims against the Respondent is barred by statute. Further, Federal judge Ann M. Donnelly is wrong that the *Federal Courthouse Improvement Act 1996* which amended the *Title 42 USC Section 1983* bars across the board in all circumstances Petitioner's *Title 42 USC Section 1983* equity lawsuit seeking a jury trial for a Declaratory judgment on the Petitioner's claims against the Respondent and if Declaratory relief/judgment is unavailable then Petitioner would seek injunctive relief against the Respondent; P says Federal judge Donnelly is wrong and does not even address or acknowledge the fact that the Petitioner is also bringing a law of equity against the Respondent and I the Petitioner says I must prevail on those grounds outright and this case must be sent back down for a jury trial by the United States Supreme Court. Petitioner's next, legal reason why the Circuit Court's false and fraudulent and perjurious and defamatory **Summary Order** against the Petitioner and Federal judge Ann M. Donnelly's **false and Fraudulent Memorandum and Order and Decision and Judgment** must be vacated and reversed by the United States Supreme Court on the legal merits is because the Circuit does even address or review the pertinent legal issues and facts with correct findings of fact and conclusions of law and not fantasy and the Circuit Court alleged claim of quote "liberal construction" is total falsehood and criminal fraud simply because the Circuit does not want to deal with the legal issue that I the Petitioner am bring an action at law and in a suit of equity, brought via *Title 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 etc...* action where the Petitioner is seeking to obtain a declaratory judgment by a jury trial against the

Respondent for certain criminal acts aforementioned done against me, the Petitioner while off the bench over a weekend and then Petitioner seeks to enforce the judgment and the Circuit Court and Federal judge Ann M. Donnelly knows that the Petitioner's Federal Complaint is an equity lawsuit brought in accordance with *Title 42 U.S.C. Sections 1983, 1985, and 1988 of The Civil Rights Act* specifically the provision seeking equitable relief and declaratory judgment and the Respondent has no alleged absolute immunity or judicial immunity from *Title 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 Civil Rights* equity lawsuit where the Petitioner is seeking a declaratory judgment and not seeking any monetary relief whatsoever; see, *Hoover v. Tucker CA4/1 Court of Appeals, Fourth Appellate District Division One State of California* quoting "In 1996, Congress Amended section 1983 and added the following with respect to judicial immunity: "[I]n any action brought against a judicial officer for an act or omission taken in such officer's judicial capacity, injunctive relief shall not be granted unless a declaratory decree was violated or declaratory relief was unavailable." As we read this provision, it limits the power the court recognized in *Pulliam* to instances where either the conduct to be enjoined was the subject of an earlier declaratory relief judgment or such earlier relief was not available to the litigants. Thus, by its terms, the 1996 amendment effectively requires litigants who wish to use section 1983 to enjoin the conduct of a judicial officer to either first obtain a declaratory judgment and then enforce the judgment or show that under the particular circumstances presented such declaratory relief was not available. In the absence of those circumstances, judicial officers are

immune even from pros
ive injunctive relief available un
Pulliam. (See *Roth v.*

King (2006) 449 F.3d 1272, 1286-1287.); (See Docket No. 1 Plaintiff-Appellant's

Federal Complaint page 3 footnote 1citing *Mitchum vs. Foster* 92 S.Ct. 2151, 2161)

(Also see *Hoover v. Tucker CA4/1 Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District Division One State of California quoting from pages 8 and 9*). Further, the Circuit Court unlawfully and unjustly and fraudulently ignores this major legal and factual issue in the Petitioner's appeal depriving and denying me, the Petitioner full due process and equal protection of laws of the United States under color of state and federal pursuant to the *1st, 4th, 5th, and 14th Amendments to the United States Constitution* and pursuant to *Title 42 USC Section 1983 and 1985 and 1988 of the Civil Rights Act* under color of state and abrogating their oath of office and their obligation to the United States Constitution which is tantamount to treason and is a reversible error requiring the Circuit Court's Summary Order to be vacated remanded back to the Federal District Court for a jury trial because no honest judicial review occurred at the Circuit Court level and no true due process occurred at the Federal District Court level and the Respondent was not allowed to answer and was unlawfully and unjustly and fraudulently protected by certain lower Court judges from answering the Petitioner's truthful and to sworn claims cited in his Federal Civil Rights Equity lawsuit seeking a declaratory judgment neither there was there any findings of fact and conclusions of law render and absolutely no discovery done verify the Petitioner's verify claims against the Respondent.

3. Similarly Federal judge Ann M. Donnelly a judicial officer who has engaged in numerous white supremacy acts against the Petitioner a Black and citizen of the United States regarding his Federal Civil Rights actions and equity lawsuits and Federal Complaints seeking only a declaratory judgment for years thereby denying and depriving the Petitioner of his US Constitutional and Civil Rights along with denying and depriving and other Black Americans so similarly situated of their US Constitutional Rights and Civil Rights in whose cases have come before or been assigned to them specially and specifically Federal judge Donnelly in the case of the Petitioner who over the last 04 years unlawfully and unjustly and fraudulently obstructed justice and stole from the Petitioner which I the Petitioner can and will prove at a jury trial with a preponderance of documentary evidence. And so, is Federal judge Ann M. Donnelly and right in this situation and case that the Respondent has so-called "absolute immunity" or even judicial immunity from Petitioner's lawsuit of equity to redress deprivations of any of his rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States in a action at law and in a suit of equity, brought via *Title 42 U.S.C. Section 1983 etc...*; an action where he Petitioner is seeking to obtain a declaratory judgment by a jury trial against the Respondent and then Petitioner seeks to enforce that declaratory judgment or show that according to the particular circumstances presented such declaratory relief was not available; See, *Hoover v. Tucker CA4/1 Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District Division One State of California quoting from pages 8 and 9*; and so, does Respondent have so-called

“absolute immunity” or judicial immunity from lawsuit equity where Petitioner is seeking permissible declaratory judgment? Furthermore, the Circuit Court again abrogates appellate legal responsibility and duty and ignored the aforementioned legal issue cited by me, the Petitioner for appellate review which is another reversible error requiring the vacating of the Circuit Court’s Summary Order. Further, this aforementioned legal issue of criminally violating and depriving Black American citizens United States Constitutional Rights and Civil Rights by white state Court judges in civil actions thru criminal acts is the most important legal issue facing Black American citizens such as myself in civil cases and some federal civil cases where more times than often white state judges and commits criminal acts against them as litigants in the process of adjudicating their civil actions and by routinely criminally obstructing justice and depriving them of full due process such as Court hearings and mostly jury trials and then hiding behind this false façade of absolute judicial immunity or judicial immunity even from their criminal acts. Furthermore, is the Respondent above law and are state Court judges above the law exempt for their criminal acts? Will other honest judges police themselves or will they bury their heads in the sand?

Petitioner says no to the 3rd question presented that the Respondent does not have “absolute immunity” or even judicial immunity against equity lawsuit brought via a *Title 42 U.S.C. Section 1983* seeking to obtain a declaratory judgment by a jury trial against the Defendant-Appellant; especially when the Respondent was acting outside of judicial capacity but unlawfully and unjustly mis-using his position and influence in the

Black community to falsely slander and criminally defame the Petitioner to top NAACP state officials without just cause; because I the Petitioner wrote him letter of complaint the Respondent re-acted with repeated acts of slander and defamation against the Petitioner over the weekend and was not acting in judicial capacity. Like, the Circuit Court and white Federal judge Donnelly does not address the fact the Petition's lawsuit of equity against the Respondent which is another grounds and legal basis reverse and vacate the Summary Order dismissing the judgment in its entirety; therefore the Plaintiff-Appellant's appeal should prevail on those grounds alone therefore, this case must be sent back for jury trial by the United States Supreme Court because the Circuit Court unlawfully and unjustly and fraudulently refused afford the Petitioner any appellate. Because the Respondent did the phone calling over the weekend when the state Court was closed and unlawfully and unjustly used his position while not acting in his judicial capacity to falsely slandered and criminally defame the Petitioner to top NAACP state officials (See Docket No. 1 Petitioner's Federal Complaint page 3 footnote 1 citing *Mitchum vs. Foster* 92 S.Ct. 2151, 2161).

4. Further, is Petitioner asking for monetary or compensatory damages from the Respondent Title 42 USC Section 1983 Civil Rights Action and lawsuit of equity Federal judge Donnelly repeatedly implies and says the Plaintiff-Appellant is? Petitioner says no to the 4th question presented for review. Furthermore, Federal judge Donnelly is a liar and commits criminal fraud upon Federal Court when she says or implies in the very latest of her false judgment and Memorandum and Order

unlawfully and unjustly missing Petitioner Federal Complaint that Petitioner was seeking damages from the Respondent; and that's simply not the truth. Petitioner does not cite monetary damages nowhere in his Federal Complaint against the Respondent; and Petitioner does not even use the word damages in Federal Complaint; so, Federal judge Donnelly is a liar and should be removed and impeached from the Federal Court bench for intentionally distorting and misrepresenting Petitioner's Federal Complaint against the Respondent. Petitioner's Federal Complaint is seeking a declaratory judgment on his claims against the Respondent after a jury trial of the Respondent and Petitioner is only seeking injunctive relief against the Respondent only if declaratory a judgment or declaratory relief is unavailable and so far, that remains the case for Black American citizens of the United States like myself in the Federal Court in the Second Circuit even though Federal statutory permits this avenue of redress. If the judges would police themselves there would be need for these legal remedies. Federal judge Donnelly argument that judges need so-called "absolute immunity" for their official acts in order to do their jobs; is another lie and fraud upon the Federal Court. For the last twenty years since former President Clinton signed the *Federal Court Improvement Act of 1996* amending the *1983 Civil Rights Act* giving federal and state judges some measure of immunity from monetary and punitive damages from *Title 42 Section 1983 Civil Rights Act* lawsuits the Courts have been in chaos and anarchy resulting in judges misusing and abusing their power and depriving citizens especially Black Americans of their US Constitutional and Civil Rights with impunity and allowing white supremacy

judges like Federal judge ~~in M. Donnelly and rogue judicial officers like the~~ Respondent to run unchecked from the bench of Federal and State Courts resulting in a broken Court system where there is no justice. The founders of this country broke away from England by staging the American Revolution against absolute rulers; this country and her Courts are founded on the legal principle and legal tradition that no man or woman is above the law including judges. For 125 years the original *Title 42 USC Section 1983 of Civil Rights* originally cited as the *Ku, Klux, Klan Act of 1871* prior to 1996 has served this country well to protect Black Americans from white supremacy state Court judges against judicial abuses from state officials wearing the badges of state power and judicial corruption by in ensuring social justice and civil rights for all; so for the claim that this Federal judge makes and other white state Court judges make that judges cannot do their jobs because they might be sued is a lie and a fraud and disaster for our court system; crimes by judges are actionable.

5. Did the Petitioner gives his consent pursuant to *73 Rule of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 73.1* to assignment of Magistrate Judge to conduct any proceeding in his Federal case *Nehemiah Rolle vs. Norman St. George 19-cv-0094?*

The Petitioner's answer to question 4 of issues presented for review is no; absolutely not I, the Plaintiff-Appellant would not consent to a white supremacy judicial officer like Magistrate judge Lois Bloom who has engaged in acts of white

supremacy against the Petitioner regarding his Federal Civil Rights Complaints and civil rights actions along with acts of criminal fraud, criminal obstruction of justice and perjury in those civil rights action including this civil action; there is no way Petitioner has or would consent to Magistrate judge Lois Bloom conducting any proceeding in this civil action or any other; in fact, Petitioner has complained to Federal judge Donnelly regarding her own misconduct in this case regarding the mis-handling of the Petitioners Federal Civil Rights Equity lawsuit and Federal judge Donnelly has ignored me, so far. Further, the Circuit Court has also legally the criminal acts of Federal judge Ann M. Donnelly against the Petitioner and certain handpicked U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit who are white supremacy judges have aided and abetted judge Donnelly in her racist criminal acts aforementioned against me, the Petitioner over the last 5 years at least which I documentary and factual evidence and proof which I am prepared to present in open Court to a jury.

5. Next, question is Federal judge Ann M. Donnelly judgment so-called injunction on Petitioner filing Federal complaint in the Eastern District of New York without her permission justified and legally binding?

The answer is no, no, no....; further, Federal judge Donnelly is another white supremacy agent acting for others white supremacy judges sitting in the Eastern District of New York who have criminally systematically blocked my access to the Federal Court in the Eastern District of New York and jury trial and court hearings and

jury trials for seeking ~~d~~ ratory judgment and jury trials ~~o~~ my claims seeking just compensatory damages for over a quarter century (25 years) no matter what the circumstances or case. Further, Federal judge Donnelly knows nothing about the legal history of court filings she attributes to me, Plaintiff-Appellant and has no, first hand know of many these Federal complaints she is citing. First, I have never appeared before Federal judge Ann M. Donnelly nor have afforded my US Constitutional Right to a Court hearing or jury trial before judge Donnelly. I have never seen judge Donnelly in open Court nor meet her in person in any capacity nor have I spoken to her over the phone; evil loves to hide in the dark. Further, judge Donnelly just came to Federal court as judge only few years ago and has no first knowledge of me, Petitioner nor my Federal complaints. Donnelly was presented to me by white deputy clerks in the Federal District of New York Central Islip Court as wolf in sheep clothing; but, has continued to unlawfully and unjustly and fraudulently and act as racist/supremacy and commit multiple criminal acts of against me, the Petitioner which begun with federal judge Denis R. Hurley and former magistrate judge E. Thomas and federal judge Joanna Seybert and magistrate judge Ann Y. Shields now magistrate Lois Bloom; these are the most racist white supremacy judges sitting in the Eastern District of New York regarding my Federal complaints and other Black Americans similarly situated. I, the Petitioner have unlawfully and unjustly bottled up with the most racist and unfair judges in the Eastern District of New York with virtually no full due process nor equal protection of the laws as a citizen of the United States whose family has been in United States for over 22 generations or least 173 years and counting. Many of these

judges aforementioned . Jewish ethnicity and I the Petitioner a traditional Black American am being treated by these same Jewish judges like Donnelly akin to how Nazi Germany treated their Jewish citizens in the 1930's and 1940's stripping them of their legal rights and using the Courts to steal from them and subjecting them to intense discrimination and racism without due process of law or their day in open Court or jury trial on their claims. Donnelly has just been a more re-fined white supremacy racist judge against me, the Petitioner and more artful; but, the results still remains the same and I can back-up what I am saying in open Court and with documentary proof. There are still some fair-minded and progressive Federal judges in the Eastern District of New York who follow the law and treat Black Americans like me with respect and justice; but, my Federal complaints have never been assigned to them and if they assigned to them they abruptly pulled away and placed back with the racist white supremacy group of judges on the Court. I am petitioning the United States Supreme Court to reverse judge Donnelly's unlawful and unjust and false and fraudulent injunction after a full adjudication and hearings on my appeal; Donnelly has stolen Hundreds of Thousands of dollars from me in the past 5 years thru the Federal Court in the Eastern District of New York and I can prove it with documentary evidence and facts. I, the Petitioner urgent this United States Supreme Court to reverse this unlawfully and unjust "Memorandum and Order and Decision and Judgment" and sent this matter back for discovery and jury trial on the relief cited in the Petitioner's Federal Complaint against the Respondent; no American is above the law and immune from

their crimes anything else could-be anarchy. Further, the Circuit Court has again ignored all of the aforementioned and abrogated their responsibility to review and adjudicate my appeal which is another reversal error requiring the United States to vacate this unlawfully and unjust and false and fraudulent "Memorandum and Order and Decision and Judgment". Furthermore, Circuit Court unlawfully and unjustly and fraudulently took no position on the injunction was that I the Petitioner did not appeal which is total falsehood and perjurious lie and criminal fraud on the of those three Circuit Court judges who made that ruling whereas the whole Second Circuit Court application to the whole Circuit buried their heads in the legal sand and choose not the review my petition en banc. Further, did I the Petitioner appeal white judge Ann M. Donnelly's injunction?

Answer, yes absolutely quote "Notice is hereby given that I Plaintiff Nehemiah Rolle, Jr., hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit from each and every part of the false and fraudulent "Memorandum and Order and Decision and Judgment" of federal judge Ann M. Donnelly dated February 05, 2019 and from each and every part of the false and fraudulent "Judgment" dated February 7, 2019 in this civil action entered by the Clerk's office on February 08, 2019". I request oral argument.

List of Parties

Nehemiah Rolle, Jr.,
Acting as his own lawyer
909 3rd Avenue 6096
New York, New York 10150
646-387-1630

Norman St. George
99 Main Street
Hempstead, New York 11550

Table of Contents

	Page
Reason for Granting the Writ.....	i
List of Parties.....	ii
Table of Authorities.....	xvii
Jurisdiction.....	1
Statement of the Case.....	1
Conclusion.....	17
 Appendices	
Appendix 1.....	
Petitioner's Federal Civil Rights Equity Lawsuit Seeking a Declaratory Judgment	
Appendix 2	
Petitioners Affidavits of Material Witnesses cited Civil Complaint and Appeal	
Appendix 3.....	
US Court of Appeals for the Second Denial for Panel Re-hearing or Re-hearing en banc and Summary Order	

Jurisdiction

This Court has jurisdiction of the petition to review the final judgment of United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit pursuant to *Title 28 USC Section 1254* “(1) By writ of certiorari granted upon the petition of any party to any civil or criminal case, before or after rendition of judgment or decree;...” The Second Circuit’s Summary Order was filed on January 06, 2021 and Petitioner’s Petition for Rehearing and Rehearing en banc was denied on December 30, 2020.

The district Court had subject matter jurisdiction for this civil action involves the **willful and intentional violations under color of state and federal law to unlawfully deprive Plaintiff of his First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, Thirteenth and Fourteenth of the United States Constitution.** And, involves *Article III Section 2, Clause 1, of the U.S. Constitution* which states that Judicial Power shall extend to all cases, in Law and Equity, arising under this Constitution, the Laws of the United States, treaties made, or which shall be under their Authority; *Article VI, Supreme Law of the Land;* the Constitution and laws of the United States, which shall be made in pursuance thereof. All treaties made, under the Authority of the United States shall be, bound by that. This action is brought in accordance with *Title 42 U.S.C. Sections 1983 and 1985 Of the Civil Rights Act.* This action is brought in accordance with *Title U.S.C. Sections 1983, 1985, and 1988 of the Civil Rights Act* specifically the provision seeking equitable relief and declaratory judgment. And, jurisdiction of the Court and civil actions arises under Article III Section 2, Clause 1, of the

U.S. Constitution which that Judicial Power shall extend to all cases, in Law and Equity, arising under this Constitution, the Laws of the United States, treaties made, or which shall be, under their Authority; Article VI, Supreme Law of the Land; the Constitution and laws of the United States, which shall be made in pursuance thereof. All treaties made, under the Authority of the United States shall be Supreme Law of the Land and the Judges in every state shall be bound by that. This action is brought in accordance with *Title U.S.C. Sections 1331 and 1342* and *Title 42 U.S.C. Sections 1983,1985, and 1988 of the Civil Rights Act.*

Statement of the Case

Petitioner Nehemiah Rolle, Jr., a Black American (referred to as a traditional Black-American) The Petitioner Nehemiah Rolle, Jr., brings this complaint against the Respondent who the was the Nassau County District Supervising Judge as a “lawsuit of equity to redress deprivations under color of state law for denying them their rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the United States Constitution and to interpose the federal courts between the states and the people, as guardians of the people’s federal rights, and to protect the people from unconstitutional actions under color of state law, whether that action is executive, legislative, or judicial.” (Docket No. 1. citing from See, Mitchum v. Foster 92 S.Ct. 2151, 2161).

The facts show in Petitioner Nehemiah Rolle’s verified Federal Civil Rights Complaint Petitioner brings this particular claim against the Respondent Norman

St. George because he willfully and intentionally and falsely engaged acts of slander and defamation and perjurious utterances and actions against the Petitioner that were false and was done by the Respondent to destroy Petitioner's reputation and to injury the Petitioner as NAACP member who made valid complaints that he witnessed to the Respondent Norman St. George of mis-use and abuse of power and criminal obstruction of justice and perjury and violations of the *United States Constitution and the Civil Rights Act Title 42 USC Section 1983 and 1985* under color of state against the Respondent's friend and associate Joseph Girardi a state official who engaged in the aforementioned acts against another NAACP member named Deborah Joseph in state proceedings; so the Defendant-Appellee unlawfully and unjustly re-acted by setting out for revenge against the Plaintiff. What the Respondent Norman St. George did after receiving a legal letter of complaint from me, Petitioner of what I witnessed in state court proceeding on behalf another NAACP member named Deborah Joseph was get on the phone over the weekend and called and contact the state conference president for the NAACP and vicious slander and defame me, the Petitioner slanderous and lies and utterances and criminally perjurious statements in order to destroy my reputation with the NAACP simply because I wrote letter of complaint to him about what I witnessed in the state that was not fair and just regarding another fellow NAACP member. Further, I was not the only NAACP member who witnessed these unlawful and unjust acts by this subordinate state judge and

associate and friend of the Respondent Norman St. George who complained about what they were seeing in the state proceeding. I have at least two sworn affidavits filed with the Federal Court from other witnessing NAACP members complaining about this state judge named Joseph Girardi complaining about some of the same I was witnessing in the state court proceeding that unlawful and unjust and not fair and violations of the NAACP member Deborah Joseph's United States Constitutional Rights and Civil Rights the target and subject of this state court Proceeding. This person NAACP member Deborah Joseph had neither criminal record nor history of arrest. (See Affidavits of NAACP Witnesses echoing the Petitioner Legal Complaint to Respondent if heeded or investigation by the Respondent the Case would not have occurred). Further, it was Respondent Norman St. George as supervising judge who abruptly assigned this state official Joseph Girardi to preside over the NAACP Deborah Joseph state court case. So, why the vicious slanderous and criminal defamation on me, the Petitioner by the Respondent to top NAACP state officials?

Next, if only the Respondent Norman St. George would have made some inquiries and did an investigation in response to letter of complaint to the Respondent Norman St. George instead of reacting by viciously lashing out over the phone over a weekend to top state NAACP officials with slanderous and false utterances and criminally perjurious statements against me, the Petitioner about

what I allegedly did in order to destroy my reputation with the top state ranking NAACP officials this would not be a case. I would like to point to the Court and direct the Court to take judicial notice that slander and defamation are criminal offenses and what Respondent Norman St. George did to me, the Petitioner was criminal because he made many phone calls to top NAACP over the weekend falsely slandering and defaming me, Petitioner with unsubstantiated lies and allegations and accusations all designed to totally destroy my reputation with the NAACP and I did suffer severe harm and repercussions as a of the Respondent's unlawful and unjust and criminal actions. This Federal Complaint must be sent back for a jury trial and further Court proceedings in the District Court; because the Respondent's has never answered the Petitioner's Federal Complaint pro or con or whether I the Petitioner am saying in my Federal Complaint is true or not true. The Federal Complaint that Ann M. Donnelly a re-fined white supremacy Federal judge who happens to be Jewish abruptly unlawfully and unjustly and criminally dismissed my Federal Complaint before the Respondent filed answer. I would like the Court to take judicial notice that the Respondent did request an extension of time from the Federal judge Ann M. Donnelly to answer the Respondent's Complaint but, he did not follow the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure nor the local court rules of the Eastern District of New York and request or get consent from the Petitioner nor did he inform me that he was making such an application which is another legal basis and grounds for reversing the Federal judge Ann M. Donnelly's

Memorandum and Order along with Magistrate judge Lois Bloom act of granting of the extension of time; but, she did note for record that the Respondent broke the law “did not seek plaintiff’s consent first.....” which is another legal basis and grounds for reversing the false and fraudulent judgment and Memorandum and Order in its entirety.

Next, legal technical grounds for an immediate reversal by this Court occurred when Magistrate judge Lois Bloom involved her in this case in violation of *Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 73 and 73.1*. I, the Petitioner did not consent to Lois Bloom’s involvement my because she also is white supremacy Magistrate judge who happens to Jewish and is extremely hostile and biased and prejudiced against me, the Petitioner Nehemiah Rolle a traditional Black American who Bloom’s hates and despises the Plaintiff-Appellant. Magistrate judge Bloom acted as a legal hatchet person to unlawfully and unjustly and fraudulently illegal means to dismantle the Petitioner Federal Civil Rights Equity law seeking a Declaratory judgment against the Respondent. And, I can prove these claims and assertions against Magistrate judge Lois Bloom who harbors animosity and has carried out racist acts thru her illegal rulings against me, the Petitioner a Black American and other similarly situated Black Americans who comes into her Court with a case or whose Federal case unfortunately gets assigned to her like mine did; the results is a legal lynching meaning an abrupt dismissal of your Federal Civil Complaint and

case with no jury trial. I the Petitioner can attest to this from experience because I been in Magistrate Lois Bloom's courtroom there is no due process or equal of the laws and no justice for Black Americans like me. Furthermore, Circuit Court unlawfully and unjustly and fraudulently took no position on the injunction, but saying I the Petitioner did not appeal the injunction Order which is total falsehood and perjurious lie and criminal fraud on the of those three Circuit Court judges who made that ruling whereas the whole Second Circuit Court application to the whole Circuit buried their heads in the legal sand and choose not the review my petition en banc.

Conclusion

Petitioner Nehemiah Rolle, Jr. requests and seeks jury trial for declaratory judgment against the Respondent and fair-minded Federal judge to conduct that jury trial against the Respondent. Furthermore, I am petitioning the United States Supreme Court to reverse judge Donnelly's unlawful and unjust and false and fraudulent injunction after a full adjudication and hearings on my appeal; Donnelly has stolen Hundreds of Thousands of dollars from me in the past 5 years thru the Federal Court in the Eastern District of New York and I can prove it with documentary evidence and facts. I, the Petitioner urges this United States Supreme Court to reverse this unlawfully and unjust "Memorandum and Order and Decision and Judgment" and sent this matter back for discovery and jury trial on the relief

cited in the Petitioner's Federal Complaint against the Respondent; no American is above the law and immune from their crimes anything else would-be anarchy..

Further, the Circuit Court has again ignored all of the aforementioned and abrogated their responsibility to review and adjudicate my appeal which is another reversal error requiring the United States to vacate this unlawfully and unjust and false and fraudulent "Memorandum and Order and Decision and Judgment".


Furthermore, Circuit Court unlawfully and unjustly and fraudulently took no position on the injunction was that I the Petitioner did not appeal which is total falsehood and perjurious lie and criminal fraud on the of those three Circuit Court judges who made that ruling whereas the whole Second Circuit Court application to the whole Circuit buried their heads in the legal sand and choose not the review my petition en banc. I, Petitioner did appeal the unlawful and unjust and fraudulently injunction and petition the United States Supreme Court to reverse and vacated it. I request oral argument.

Dated: August 04, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

By: Nehemiah Rolle Jr.
Nehemiah Rolle, Jr. Petitioner
Acting as his own lawyer
909 3rd Avenue 6096
New York, New York 10150
646-387-1630

Sworn to before me this 04
day of August, 2021


Notary Public

Miguel A. Hernandez
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01HE6398873
Qualified in Nassau County
Commission Expires 10/07/2023