## In the Supreme Court of the United States



BRETT FERRIS,

Petitioner,

v.

CHRYSTAL SCISM, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS ADMINISTRATRIX OF THE ESTATE OF JOSHUA SCISM,

Respondent.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AND BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE NATIONAL POLICE ASSOCIATION IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER

ROBERT S. LAFFERRANDRE

COUNSEL OF RECORD

RANDALL J. WOOD

JEFFREY C. HENDRICKSON

JESSICA L. DARK

PIERCE COUCH HENDRICKSON

BAYSINGER & GREEN, L.L.P.

1109 NORTH FRANCIS AVENUE

OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 73106

(405) 235-1611

RLAFFERRANDRE@PIERCECOUCH.COM

JUNE 6, 2022

COUNSEL FOR AMICUS CURIAE

## MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF

Under Supreme Court Rule 37.2(b), the National Police Association ("NPA") respectfully moves for an Order from the Court granting it leave to file the accompanying brief as amicus curiae in support of the Petitioner. The consent of counsel for Petitioner, Brett Ferris, has been obtained via electronic mail dated May 24, 2022, but the consent of counsel for Respondent Chrystal Scism, individually and as Administratrix of the Estate of Joshua Scism, was withheld via electronic mail dated May 24, 2022, without stating a reason. Rule 37.2(a) requires that the consent of counsel be obtained at least 10 days before submission of an amicus curiae brief. Respecting this rule, NPA requested the consent of Petitioner and Respondents via electronic mail on May 24, 2022, respectively. These dates were in advance of the June 6, 2022 deadline to file amicus curiae briefs in support of Petitioner. By so requesting, NPA provided counsel for Petitioner and Respondent notice of this organization's interest in this case prior to the drafting of the amicus curiae brief.

The National Police Association is an Indiana nonprofit corporation founded to provide educational assistance to supporters of law enforcement and support to individual law enforcement officers and the agencies they serve. The NPA seeks to bring important issues in the law enforcement realm to the forefront of public discussion in order to facilitate remedies and broaden public awareness.

As part of its efforts to advocate for law enforcement the nation over, the NPA participates in the judicial process when and where legal issues important to the effective and compassionate administration of justice are implicated. The NPA has filed numerous *amicus curiae* briefs in state and federal courts nationwide pursuant to the above-stated goals.

In the *amicus brief* filed concurrently with this Motion, the NPA brings to the Court's attention well-settled scientific principles that support, at every level of judicial review, a clear and unequivocal application of the "totality of the circumstances" component of a use-of-force analysis. Scientific inquiry into the ability of law enforcement to make decisions in tense, rapid, and life-threatening circumstances reveals that officers cannot physiologically operate at full capacity in both their physical and mental processes.

This problem, which is inherent to the circumstances law enforcement officers face every day, is addressed by this Court's directive that district and circuit courts should consider the "totality of the circumstances" in reviewing a particular officer's use of force. When a circuit court breezes past that analvsis, it not only stands as an implicit rejection of this Court's precedent but also of settled science. Here, the Second Circuit's 7-page summary order does just that. These concerns. discussed at length accompanying *amicus curiae* brief, provide the reasons the Court should grant NPA's motion.

## Motion-3

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT S. LAFFERRANDRE

COUNSEL OF RECORD

RANDALL J. WOOD

JEFFREY C. HENDRICKSON

JESSICA L. DARK

PIERCE COUCH HENDRICKSON

BAYSINGER & GREEN, L.L.P.

1109 NORTH FRANCIS AVENUE

OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 73106

(405) 235-1611

RLAFFERRANDRE@PIERCECOUCH.COM

COUNSEL FOR AMICUS CURIAE
NATIONAL POLICE ASSOCIATION

JUNE 6, 2022