

21-1330

No. _____

In The

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

MAR 31 2022

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Supreme Court of The United States

Petition For Writ Of Certiorari

Arthur O. Armstrong,

Petitioner,

Vs.

State of Georgia,
Conyers Police Department,

Respondents.

Petition For Writ Of Certiorari

On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari
To The United States Court Of Appeals For The Eleventh Circuit

Arthur O. Armstrong, Pro se
8113 Pleasant Hill Road
Elm City, NC 27822
252-236-7912

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

Whether respondent on July 5, 2002, transgressed the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States when respondent acted with racial profiling - stopped, detained, assaulted kidnapped and harassed petitioner, made an entry, without a warrant, onto private areas of personal premise of petitioner, searched and seized his property and invaded his privacy, in violation of the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, then acted with active connivance in the making of the DWLR, left turn violation, No driver's license false reports and other conduct amounting to official discrimination clearly sufficient to constitute denial of rights protected by the Equal Protection Clause to deprive respondent of liberty and property without due process of law in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment.

Whether then on September 21, 2010, Respondent did it again in Greenville County, VA. when Respondent Greenville County, VA sheriff Edward stopped, detained, assaulted, harassed and kidnapped appellant, made an entry without a warrant, onto private areas of personal premise of Petitioner; searched and seized his property and invaded his privacy, then acted with active connivance in the making of the FTA, DWLR, warrant for arrest false reports and other conduct amounting to official discrimination clearly sufficient to constitute denial of rights protected by the Equal Protection Clause and seized and impounded his vehicle without due process of law violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States.

PROCEEDINGS AND RELATED CASES

All the parties appear in the caption of the case are on the cover page

RELATED CASES

Armstrong v. State of Georgia, et al; No. 1:02-CV-02629 -RWS. United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division, On March 15, 2022, Appellant seeks leave to appeal a district court's Order entered March 4, 2004.

Armstrong v. State of Georgia, et al, No. 17-90003-D United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit decided appellant's case on March 23, 2022.

There is no parent or publicly held company owning 10 % or more of the corporate stock.

TABLE OF CONTENT

Questions Presented.....	i
Disclosure statement.....	ii
Table of Content.....	ii
Opinion below	1
Jurisdiction Statements	1
Constitutional and statutory provision involved ...	1
Statements of the case.....	2
Reasons for granting the certiorari.....	6
Conclusion	6

INDEX TO APPENDIX

APPENDIX A: On March 23, 2022 United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit decided my case.

APPENDIX B: On March 4, 2004, United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia decided my case.

APPENDIX C: Constitutional and statutory provisions involved in the case set out with appropriate citations.

For the case from federal court, the opinion from the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit appears at Appendix A to the petition and is unpublished.

For the case from the federal court, the opinion from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia appears at Appendix B to the petition and is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

From federal Court: The date on which the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit **decided** my case was March 23, 2022.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS

Constitutional Provisions

Fourth Amendment

Fourteenth Amendment

Statutory Provisions

28 U.S.C.S 1254 (1)

28 U.S.C.S. 1291

28 U.S.C.S. 1746

42 U.S.C.S. 1983

Set out verbatim the constitutional and statutory provisions involved in this case at Appendix C.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Petitioner resides at 8113 Pleasant Hill Road Elm City, NC 27822.

The conduct complained of was engaged in under color of State law and that such conduct subject Appellant of the deprivation of rights, privileges and amenities secured by the federal constitution and laws of the United States while engaged in the conduct complained of.

On July 5, 2002, in Rockdale County, Georgia, appellee acted with racial profiling when appellee failed to conform to the requirements of the federal constitution and laws of the United States when appellee acted with reckless indifference and wanton disregards for the truth or falsity and the rights of appellant and others when appellee, **without probable cause**, stopped, detained, assaulted, kidnapped and harassed the appellant, then made an entry, **without a warrant**, onto private areas of personal premise of appellant, searched and seized his property and invaded his privacy in violation of the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States; then acted with, including but not limited to: arbitrariness, capriciousness, malice, fraud, trickery, deception, distortion, falsity, misrepresentation, intimidation, highway robbery, misrepresentation, RICO, extortion, defamation, gross negligence, racketeering, kidnapping, pattern of racketeering activities and conspiracy, then acted with active connivance in the making of the left turn, no driver's license, speeding, and DWLR, **false reports** and other conduct amounting to official discrimination clearly sufficient to constitute denial of rights protected by the Equal Protection Clause to deprive appellant of liberty or property without due process of law when appellee

detained and tortured appellant for more than four hours when appellee took appellant's driver license and deem them fictitious and contacted North Carolina Department of Transportation; called appellant's daughter, Courtney and Monica and told them to come with a licensed driver in that appellant is about to spend the week-end in Conyers City jail on moving the car therefrom, after we leave, when appellee acted with defamation and derogatory statements, when appellee acted with active connivance in the making of the DWLR **false reports** and other conduct amounting to official discrimination clearly sufficient to constitute denial of rights protected by the Equal Protection Clause to deprive appellant of liberty and property without due process of law in violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States.

Then on January 31, 2006, Respondent acted with racial profiling when Respondent stopped, detained, assaulted and kidnapped Appellant. Made an entry, without a warrant, onto private areas of personal premise of Appellant. Searched and seized his property and invaded his privacy in violation of the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States. Then acted with active connivance in the making of the warrant for arrest, FTA IN Conyers Municipal Court, DWLR **false reports** and other conduct amounting to official discrimination clearly /sufficient to constitute denial of rights protected by the Equal Protection Clause to deprive Appellant of liberty and property without due process of law in violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States.

Then on September 21, 2010, Respondent did it again. Respondent did it again when Respondent Sheriff Edward acted with racial profiling when appellee stopped, detained, kidnapped and assaulted Appellant. Made an entry without a warrant onto private areas of personal premise of Appellant. Searched and seized his property and invaded his privacy in violation of the Fourth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States. Then acted with active connivance in the making of the DWLR, FTA and Warrant for Arrest false reports and other conduct amounting to official discrimination clearly sufficient to constitute denial of rights protected by the Equal Protection Clause to deprive Appellant of liberty and property without due process of law when Respondent seized Appellant's vehicle when Respondent towed his car away and impounded it in violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States.

As a direct and proximate result of the respondent's conduct, petitioner suffered continuing injuries including but not limited to: humiliation, mental distress, psychic injury, injury to his reputation, and mental anguish. I pray for judgment in the sum of \$125,000,000.00.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays for judgment in the sum of \$125,000,000.00 under 42 U.S.C.S. 1983 Civil Right Act as follows:

1. Compensatory and Punitive damages under 42 U.S.C.S 1983 – Civil Rights Act.
2. Intangible Harm

4. **Costs and Expenses of this action and such other and further relief**
as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this the 25th day of May, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

.. /s/Arthur O. Armstrong, Petitioner
 . Arthur O. Armstrong, Petitioner
 . 8113 Pleasant Hill Road
 . Elm City, North Carolina 27822
 . 252-236-7912

Appellant demands a jury trial on all issues raised by the pleading in this action

DEMAND JURY TRIAL

May 25, 2021

/s/Arthur O. Armstrong, Appellant

VERIFICATION

I, Arthur O. Armstrong, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the Petitioner in the foregoing matter and that the allegations set forth in the Petition are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief except for those allegations set forth on information and belief and as to those allegations he believes them to be true.

May 25, 2021

/s/ Arthur O. Armstrong, Petitioner
8113 Pleasant Hill Road
Elm City, NC 27822

AFFIDAVIT OF ARTHUR O. ARMSTRONG

I swear under penalty of perjury under United States law that the within and foregoing statements set forth in the verification are true and correct (28 U.S.C.S.1746.).

May 25, 2021

/s/Arthur O. Armstrong, Petitioner

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The Petition should be granted because the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit has decided an important question of federal law, that has not been, but should be settled by this Court, or has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with relevant decision of this Court.

CONCLUSION

Because of the conduct of the appellee, the writ of certiorari should be granted.

May 25, 2021

/s/Arthur O. Armstrong, Appellant