

April 26, 2022

Clerk of Court
Supreme Court of the United States
Office of the Clerk
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543-0001

Re: *Garnet Turner, et al. v. Allstate Insurance Co.*, No. 21-1310

Dear Clerk:

I am writing on behalf of Respondent, Allstate Insurance Company ("Allstate"), pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 30.4, to request a thirty (30) day extension of time to file a response in opposition to the petition for a writ of certiorari filed by Garnet Turner, Vernon Bentley, James Cartrette, William Huff, Richard Scholl, Kathy Shepherd, Ted Spiewak, and Herbert Vidales ("Turner Petition").

The aforementioned Turner Petition arises from a consolidated appeal previously pending before the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, *Klaas v. Allstate Insurance Co.*, No. 20-14104. On March 28, 2022, the Turner Petitioners and counsel for John Klaas sent copies of their respective petitions for writ of certiorari to counsel for Allstate via electronic mail, and thereafter served Allstate by mail.

On March 31, 2022, the Supreme Court docketed the Turner Petition. Allstate's response to the Turner Petition is currently due on May 2, 2022. To date, the petition for Klaas has not been docketed. On April 20, 2022, counsel for Allstate, Uchenna Ekuma-Nkama, contacted the Office of the Clerk to determine the status of the Klaas petition, including whether a consolidated briefing schedule would be issued once the petition was docketed, and was advised that the Klaas petition was returned to counsel for Klaas for correction. Allstate was further advised to proceed with responding to the Turner Petition, and to note the status of the Klaas petition in its opposition. Accordingly, the undersigned respectfully requests that the Court grant Allstate's request for an extension, through June 1, 2022, to file its response in opposition to the Turner Petition.

Sincerely,



Stephen J. O'Brien¹

cc: Uchenna Ekuma-Nkama
W. Lewis Garrison
Jeanie S. Sleadd
Christopher B. Hood
Robert J. Pearl



¹ The undersigned is not currently a member of the Bar of this Court and acknowledges that the brief in opposition must be filed by a Bar member.