

No. 21-1151

IN The

Supreme Court Of The United States

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

DEC 30 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Petition For A writ of Certiorari

Arthur O. Armstrong

Petitioner

Vs.

City of Philadelphia,
School District of Philadelphia,

Respondents.

Petition For A Writ of Certiorari

On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals
For the Third Circuit

Arthur O. Armstrong, Pro se.
8113 Pleasant Hill Road
Elm City, NC 27822
252-236-7912

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether Respondent School District of Philadelphia, on August 25, 1992, discharged appellant Arthur O. Armstrong, without just cause, without a hearing, without due process of law in violation of Article B-VIII -grievance Procedure of the Collective Bargaining Agreement without due process of law in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.

2. Whether Respondent School District of Philadelphia, on November 18, 1994, transgressed the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, when respondent deprived appellant of property , when appellee deprived appellant of reinstatement of his teaching position without due process of law in violation of the collective bargaining agreement and the Constitution of the United States. ,

Proceedings and Related Cases

All parties appear in the caption of the case are on the cover page

RELATED CASES

Armstrong v. City of Philadelphia, Pa, et al, No. 2-99-cv-00825-PSD. United States District Court For The Eastern District of Pennsylvania, On November 19, 2021, appellant seeks leave to appeal a district court order entered on September 28, 1999.

Armstrong v. City of Philadelphia, Pa, et al, No. 21-8050. United States Court of Appeals For the Third Circuit. Judgment entered on December 10, 2021.

There is no .parent or publicly held company owning 10% or more of corporate stock.____

TABLE OF CONTENT

Questions Presented	i
Disclosure Statement	ii
Table of content	ii
Opinion below	1
Jurisdiction statement	1
Constitutional and statutory Provision Involved	1
Statement of the case	2
Reasons fo9r granting the certiorari	4
Conclusion	5

Index to Appendix

Appendix A: On December 10, 2021, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit decided my case

Appendix B: On September 28, 1999, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania decided my case.

Appendix C: Constitutional and statutory provision involved in the case set out with appropriate citation.

For the case from federal court, the Opinion from the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit appears at Appendix A to the petition and is unpublished

For the Opinion of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania appears at Appendix B and is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

From the federal court, the date on which the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit decided my case was December 10, 2021.

Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved

Constitutional provision

Fourteenth Amendment

Statutory provision

28 U.S.C.S. 1254(1)

28 U.S.C.S. 1291

28 U.S.C.S. 1746

42 U.S.C.S. 1983

Set out verbatim the constitutional and statutory provisions involved in this case is at Appendix C.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On August 25, 1992, in Philadelphia County, PA, appellee School District of Philadelphia failed to conform to the requirements of the federal constitution and laws of the United States when appellee acted with reckless indifference and wanton disregards for the truth or falsity and the rights of appellant and others when appellee, without probable cause, acted with, including, but not limited to: arbitrariness, capriciousness, malice, fraud, falsity, harassment, racial discrimination, racial conspiracy, trickery, distortion, deceit, racketeering, extortion, misrepresentation, jealousy and conspiracy when respondent discharged appellant from his teaching position without due process of law in violation of Article B-VIII, Grievance Procedure of the collective bargaining agreement, without a hearing, without due process of law in violation of the Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States.

And then on November 18, 1994, respondent did it again, when respondent breached the September 12, 1994 written contractual agreement, acted with false reports, when appellee acted with active connivance

in the making of the was afforded Article B-VIII – Grievance Procedure false reports and other conduct amounting to official discrimination clearly sufficient to constitute denial of rights protected. by the Equal Protection Clause to deprive appellant of his property when appellee denied Plaintiff Reinstatement to his teaching position without due process of law when respondent breached Article B-III, Grievance Procedure, in violation of the collective bargaining agreement and the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.

That the conduct complained of was engaged in under color of state law and that such conduct subject the appellant of the deprivation of rights, privileges and amenities secured by the federal constitution and laws of the United States while engaged in the conduct complained of.

As a direct and proximately result of the respondents, petitioner suffered continuing injuries including but not limited to: humiliation, mental distress, psychic injury, injury to his reputation, and mental anguish. I pray for judgment in the sum of \$125,000,000.00.

THEREFORE, Petitioner prays for judgment in the sum of \$125,000,000.00 under 42 U.S.C.S. 1983 Civil Right Act as follows:

Compensatory and Punitive damages under 42 U.S.C.S 1983 – Civil Rights Act.

Intangible Harm

Attorney's fees under 42 U.S.C.S. 1988 Attorney Awards Act or as a component of punitive damages.

Costs and Expenses of this action and such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this the 15th day of April, 2021

Respectfully submitted

Arthur O. Armstrong, Petitioner
8113 Pleasant Hill Road
Elm City, North Carolina 27822
252-236-7912

Appellant demands a jury trial on all issues raised by the pleading in this action

DEMAND JURY TRIAL

April 15, 2021

Arthur O. Armstrong Petitioner

VERIFICATION

I, Arthur O. Armstrong, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the Petitioner in the foregoing matter and that the allegations set forth in the Petition are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief except for those allegations set forth on information and belief and as to those allegations he believes them to be true.

April 15, 2021

Arthur O. Armstrong, Petitioner.
8113 Pleasant Hill Road
Elm City, NC 27822

AFFIDAVIT OF ARTHUR O. ARMSTRONG

I swear under penalty of perjury under United States law that the within and foregoing statements set forth in the verification are true and correct (28 U.S.C.S. 1746).

April 15, 2021



Arthur O. Armstrong, Petitioner

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

The petition should be granted because the United States Court of Appeals For the Third Circuit decided an important question of federal law, that has not been, but should be settled by this Court, or has decided an important federal question in a way that conflicts with relevant decision of this Court.

CONCLUSION

Because of the conduct of the respondents, the writ of certiorari should be granted..

April 15, 2021



Arthur O. Armstrong, Petitioner