

March 4, 2022

*Via Electronic Filing*

Danny Bickel  
Clerk of the Court  
Supreme Court of the United States  
One First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20543

Re: No. 21-1100, *3M Company, et al. v. George Amador*


Dear Mr. Bickel:

I write to enter my appearance for the respondent in this case and request an unopposed extension of the time to file a response to the petition for certiorari. The response is currently due on March 11, 2022. I request a 30-day extension, to and including Monday, April 11, 2022. The petitioner consents to this request.

I will have principal responsibility for preparing the response. I request this extension because my colleagues and I have other pressing deadlines that, absent an extension, will prevent us from devoting adequate time to this brief. My firm was also just retained to handle this case and will need time to come up to speed on this matter. Our upcoming deadlines include, among others: a reply brief due on March 7 in *Henderson v. Source for Public Data*, No. 21-1678, in the Fourth Circuit; a hearing on March 7 in *Gonidakis v. Ohio Redistricting Commission*, No. 22-0773, in the Southern District of Ohio; an opposition to a motion to stay due on March 8 in *Rosiak v. Awan*, No. 22-cv-4, in the D.C. Court of Appeals; an amicus brief due on March 9 in *Viking River Cruises v. Moriana*, No. 20-1573, in this Court; and an opening brief due on March 15 in *Clarkson v. Alaska Airlines*, No. 21-35473, in the Ninth Circuit. A 30-day extension is necessary to enable us to balance these other responsibilities with our obligations in this case.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



Deepak Gupta

cc: Counsel of Record