455 GOLDEN GATE AVENUE, SUITE 11000 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-7004

> Public: (415) 510-4400 Telephone: (415) 510-3896 Facsimile: (415) 703-2552 E-Mail: Josh.Patashnik@doj.ca.gov

July 28, 2021

Hon. Scott S. Harris Clerk of the Court Supreme Court of the United States 1 First Street, NE Washington, DC 20543

RE: Cal. State Lands Comm'n v. Davis, No. 21-109

Dear Mr. Harris:

Under Rule 12.6, the State of California is a respondent in this case because it was a party in the court of appeals. This letter is to inform the Court that the State does not intend to file or join any petition for a writ of certiorari in this case, and does not intend to file any response to the California State Lands Commission's petition unless the Court requests that the State do so. If the Court were to grant certiorari, the State would intend to participate as a respondent in support of petitioner and would intend to file merits briefs in accordance with the timing described in Rule 25.1.

Sincerely,

/s/ Joshua Patashnik

JOSHUA PATASHNIK Deputy Solicitor General

For ROB BONTA Attorney General

CC (by email): Steven S. Rosenthal and Marc S. Cohen, counsel for petitioner

Warren W. Harris, Mark E. Dendinger, Jason B. Hutt, and Brittany M.

Pemberton, counsel for respondent Eugene Davis

_

¹ As authorized by California law, the Lands Commission is represented by private counsel. *See* Cal. Gov. Code §§ 11040(c)(1), (d); 11041(a); 11042. The State was separately named as a party in this litigation, *see* Pet. App. 7a, and is represented by the Attorney General.