

1 Name:

2 Address:

3 Newport Beach, CA 92658

4 Phone:

5 Fax:

6 In Pro Per

7 Supreme Court of the United States

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 Arthur Lopez

11 Plaintiff

12 State of California, v.
13 Superior Court of California,
14 California Department of Fair Employment & Housing
15 Robin Kish, Francisco Jimenez, et al. Defendant(s).

CASE NUMBER:

U.S. C.A.-9 No. 19-56270

Plaintiff, Arthur Lopez's
Motion Directing The Clerk
to File Petition as Requested January 4th 2021
Correspondence
(Enter document title in the space provided above)

16 Most Honorable United States Supreme
17 Court please accept Plaintiff, Arthur Lopez's
18 Motion Directing the Clerk to file the
19 attached Petition for an Abundance of
20 Good Cause principally as it was initially
21 filed October 26th, 2020 via U.S. Priority Mail
22 (Tracking No. 9505-5150-688-0300-9272-60) following the denial
23 of the Review/Reconsideration by the lower Court - U.S. Court of
24 Appeals, 9th Circuit of May 29th, 2020 (within 90 Days plus
25 the 60 Day Extension Granted Pursuant To this Court's 3/19/20 order). Moreover,
26 Mr. Adkins granted \$300. money order as filing fee to follow subsequent
27 to this Court's Order of Requiring said fee and denial of In Forma Pauperis
28

status Denial Reconsideration filings under Docket
No. 20-5229 + 20-5230. In fact, several
conversations by phone were held in October related
to this subject and also his guidance to not
submit a Rehearing Petition for these
two cases 20-5229 + 20-5230 along with
the \$300.- filing fee and \$200.- Petition for Rehearing
fee for each and instead to submit a
Reconsideration Request without any money
for both.

For all these reasons and more Plaintiff
directs the Clerk to file attached
Petition for Writ of Certiorari (see Dec 9th, 2020 Request attached)

Respectfully submitted,

Arthur Lopez

January 8th, 2021

ARTHUR LOPEZ

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

FEB 12 2020

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ARTHUR LOPEZ,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF
CALIFORNIA; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 19-56270

D.C. No. 8:19-cv-01143-VBF-MRW
U.S. District Court for Central
California, Santa Ana

ORDER

A review of the docket demonstrates that appellant has failed to respond to the December 5, 2019 order of this court.

Pursuant to Ninth Circuit Rule 42-1, this appeal is dismissed for failure to prosecute.

This order served on the district court shall, 21 days after the date of the order, act as the mandate of this court.

FOR THE COURT:

MOLLY C. DWYER
CLERK OF COURT

By: Cyntharee K. Powells
Deputy Clerk
Ninth Circuit Rule 27-7

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

MAY 29 2020

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ARTHUR LOPEZ,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA; et
al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 19-56270

D.C. No.

8:19-cv-01143-VBF-MRW
Central District of California,
Santa Ana

ORDER

Before: SILVERMAN, NGUYEN, and COLLINS, Circuit Judges.

The motion to reinstate the appeal (Docket Entry No. 10) is denied.

This case remains closed.

No further filings will be entertained.

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**