

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 18-7189

September Term, 2018

1:18-cv-02319-UNA

Filed On: December 19, 2018 [1764960]

James Nelson,

Appellant

v.

State of Florida and Florida Department of
Corrections,

Appellees

ORDER

Because appellant has not paid the appellate docketing fee in this case, it is

ORDERED, on the court's own motion, that by January 18, 2019, appellant either pay the \$505 appellate docketing and filing fees to the Clerk of the District Court, see Fed. R. App. P. 3(e); 28 U.S.C. § 1917, or file a motion in district court for leave to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis, see Fed. R. App. P. 24(a), and submit to this court a completed Consent to Collection of Fees and Prisoner Trust Account Report. See Enclosures. In the event the district court denies leave to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis, appellant may renew that request in this court. See Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(5).

The Trust Account Report form must be given to the Trust Officer for each institution in which appellant has been confined during the six months preceding the filing of this action. The Trust Officer must complete and sign the Trust Account Report and return the Report and supporting documents to appellant, who must complete and sign the Motion for Leave to Proceed on Appeal in Forma Pauperis and Consent to Collection of Fees and submit all three documents to the Clerk's Office, U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit.

A prisoner who has previously filed three or more civil actions or appeals that were dismissed by a federal court as frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim is not eligible to proceed without prepayment of the full filing fee unless the prisoner is in imminent danger of serious physical injury. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). If the court denies this provision bars appellant from proceeding without prepayment, appellant is required to pay the full filing fee to this court or the case will be subject to dismissal for failure to prosecute. See D.C. Cir. Rule 38.

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 18-7189

September Term, 2018

A request for appointment of counsel does not relieve appellant of the obligation to file responses to any motion filed by appellee or to comply with any order issued by the court, including a briefing schedule. Failure by appellant to respond to a dispositive motion or comply with any order of the court, including this order, will result in dismissal of the case for lack of prosecution. See D.C. Cir. Rule 38.

The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this order to appellant by whatever means necessary to ensure receipt.

FOR THE COURT:
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Laura M. Chipley
Deputy Clerk

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 18-7189

September Term, 2019

1:18-cv-02319-UNA

Filed On: March 26, 2020 [1835489]

James Nelson,

Appellant

v.

State of Florida and Florida Department of
Corrections,

Appellees

Consolidated with 19-7004

ORDER

Upon consideration of appellant's March 23, 2020 motion for extension of time, it
is

ORDERED that the motion for extension of time be granted. Any petition for
rehearing and/or rehearing en banc is now due on or before May 26, 2020.

The Clerk is directed to send this order to appellant by whatever means
necessary to ensure receipt.

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Michael C. McGrail

Deputy Clerk

EXHIBIT 5

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 18-7189

September Term, 2019

1:18-cv-02319-UNA

Filed On: March 11, 2020

James Nelson,

Appellant

v.

State of Florida and Florida Department of
Corrections,

Appellees

Consolidated with 19-7004

BEFORE: Srinivasan, Chief Judge, Tatel, Circuit Judge, and Sentelle, Senior
Circuit Judge

ORDER

By orders filed October 11, 2019, and December 26, 2019, appellant was ordered to pay the \$505 appellate filing and docketing fee to the Clerk, U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, within 30 days, and he was advised that failure to pay the fee would result in dismissal of the case. To date, the required filing and docketing fee has not been received from appellant. Upon consideration of the foregoing, and the motions for reconsideration of the court's December 26, 2019 order, it is

ORDERED that the motions for reconsideration be denied and these consolidated appeals be dismissed. See D.C. Cir. Rule 38.

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Manuel J. Castro
Deputy Clerk

EXHIBIT H

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 18-7189

September Term, 2019

1:18-cv-02319-UNA

Filed On: July 7, 2020

James Nelson,

Appellant

v.

State of Florida and Florida Department of
Corrections,

Appellees

Consolidated with 19-7004

BEFORE: Srinivasan, Chief Judge, Tatel, Circuit Judge, and Sentelle, Senior
Circuit Judge

ORDER

Upon consideration of the motion filed May 26, 2020, and the supplements thereto, and the motion to extend time to file exhibits, it is

ORDERED that the May 26, 2020 motion be denied. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the motion to extend time to file exhibits be dismissed as moot.

The Clerk is directed to accept no further submissions from appellant in these closed cases.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Scott H. Atchue
Deputy Clerk

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT**

ELBERT PARR TUTTLE COURT OF APPEALS BUILDING
56 Forsyth Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

John Ley
Clerk of Court

For rules and forms visit
www.ca11.uscourts.gov

May 29, 2013

James Nelson
Taylor CI Main - Inmate Mail
8515 HAMPTON SPRINGS RD
PERRY, FL 32348-8787

Appeal Number: 10-11567-B
Case Style: James Nelson v. Paine Webber Corp., et al
District Court Docket No: 4:09-cv-00315-SPM-WCS

Enclosed is your "Notice of Appeal" to the Supreme Court of the United States, which is being returned to you. The procedure for filing a notice of appeal from a decision of a United States Court of Appeals was abolished by statute effective September 25, 1988.

Please note that a copy of this court's opinion, the judgment, and any order on rehearing should be attached as an appendix to any petition for writ of certiorari filed in the Supreme Court. See Supreme Court Rule 14.1(i).

NO FURTHER ACTION WILL BE TAKEN IN THIS APPEAL.

Sincerely,

JOHN LEY, Clerk of Court

Reply to: Melanie Gaddis, B
Phone #: (404) 335-6187

SPCT-5 NOA to SC rtnd to prose

"copy"

PROVIDED TO
Century C.I.
#09
APR 17 2020
FOR MAILING
RECEIVED BY

UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

JAMES NELSON, PLAINTIFF

✓

CASE NO# 19-1664-J
D.C. CASE NO# 18-7189

FLORIDA STATE PRISON, WEST
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION
STATE OF FLORIDA, ET AL.

RECEIVED
MAY 15 2020
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

INJUNCTION

THIS CAUSE CAME BECAUSE OF JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT
AND FRAUD UPON THE COURT FED. U.S.P. RULE (11)(A)(B)
(1)(2)(3)(4)(1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(6)

THE 42 USC STATUTE 1985(1)(2)(3) CONSPIRACY TO WILLFULLY
DISCRIMINATE AGAINST THE PLAINTIFF JAMES NELSON
THRU MALICE AND DECEIT AND DISHONESTY. THE
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION ET AL. AND STAFF
HAS REPEATED TREATED ME REAL BAD THERE JUSTIFICATION
REPORTEDLY WILL NOT DO THERE JOBS PROPERLY AS THE
FLORIDA ADMINISTRATIVE CODE CHAPTER 33 WAS INVIOLATE

#(2)

ADMINISTRATIVELY AT EACH INSTITUTION NELSON HAS
FATAL BREACHES AGAINST THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTION ET AL. WHO HAS DESTROYED FAMILY TIES
BLOCKING PHONE CALLS COMMUNICATIONS FOR 21 YEARS
IN VIOLATION OF CHAPTER 33-691.21(5) LEGISLATIVE LAW
EMPLOYMENT INTEREST, EXECUTIVE DISQUALITY, PERSONAL
NOTORIETY, CASE NOTORIETY, A FAMILY ENVIRONMENT.

MAIN INTEREST INSTITUTIONS THAT CENTRY C.I. PLAN ON
BRINGING CORONAVIRUS TO IT DOGM FOR PATIENTS FROM
OTHER INSTITUTIONS LIKE BLACK WATER. CENTRY C.I. IS OVER
CROWDED. CLASSIFICATION IS PUTTING MY LIFE IN IMMINENT
DANGER OF CATCHING THAT VIRUS. "THEY DON'T CARE FOR ME
OR ANY OTHER INMATE ENDANGERING THESE LIVES ALSO."
IN THERE CARE AND CUSTODY. (CLASSIFICATION IS THE MAIN
REASON'S THE COURT HAS INCARCERATED ME WITHOUT PROBABLE
CAUSE FLORIDA STATUTE 944.17.(5)(C) FOR 21 YEARS. THE

4(3)

COURT IN DUVAL COUNTY NEVER GAVE JACKSONVILLE SHERIFF
OFFICE PROBABLE CAUSE TO ARREST ME JAMES NELSON IN 1988.

CONSPIRACY BETWEEN THE JACKSONVILLE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT
AND THE STATE OF FLORIDA ET AL WHO ILLEGALLY SANCTIONED

THE PLAINTIFF JAMES NELSON IN ACCORDANCE TO FLORIDA STATUTE
944-279(1), FAILING TO ALLOW NELSON A CHANCE TO COLLECTIVELY

ATTACK HIS ILLEGAL HABITUAL 55 YEAR SENTENCE THE COURT
USED 1989 INJUNCTION STATUTES 19/20/11/12 FLORIDA STATUTE 775-087

AND HABITUAL OFFENDER STATUTE 775-084. THE SANCTIONS FOR 21
YEARS LIVED BECAUSE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA CASE NO 8500-152

HABITUAL OFFENDER IS A GUARANTEED RIGHT TO RELIEF AND DO NOT
LIE FOR THE STATE OF FLORIDA ET AL TO MALICIOUSLY ISSUE SANCTIONS

FLA STATUTE 9-410 STATING NELSON FAIL TO STATE CLAIMS OR
HAS FILED FRIVOLOUS OR MALICIOUS CLAIMS TO BATT ME FOR

21 YEAR ACCESS TO THE COURT. FLORIDA CONSTITUTION GUARANTEE
ARTICLE (1) SEC. (13) RIGHT TO RELIEF.

HCY

FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS ETC CLASSIFICATION

OFFICER, VIOLATED 42 USC STATUTE 1985 (1)(2)(3), VIOLATED

FLORIDA STATUTE 1099.23 FAISLEY FILES NOT EVER HAVING

PROBABLE CAUSE FROM THE JACKSONVILLE SHERIFF DEPARTMENT

OF THE STATE OR COUNTY ETC DUAL COUNTY COURTS FOR

THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY HARRY SHIRT STEIN TO CONSPIRE WITH

HONORABLE JUDGE DAY AND RECUSED JUDGE HONORABLE JUDGE

BRAD STETSON WHO WAS RECUSED APRIL 27, 2001 BEFORE

HE CONSPIRED TO DISCRIMINATE TO SENTENCE ME MAY 22, 2001,

COMMITTING PERJURY UPON THE COURT, SENTENCING ME AS A HABITUAL

OFFENDER TO 55 YEARS COUNT (I) COUNT (II) 3 YEAR COUNT (III) 30 YEAR

THE PROSECUTOR NELSON HAS NEVER BEEN TO PRISON. HE'S NOT A

HABITUAL OFFENDER IT'S HIS FIRST TIME ILLEGALLY SENTENCE

FOR 21 YEARS MOST OF HIS YOUTHFUL YEARS. THE RACIAL PROFILING

OR HATE GROUPS IN THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

HAS USE ILLEGAL WITHHOLDING ME FOR CORPORATE GAIN FOR STOCK

#(5)

THE DAILY MISTREATMENT IS DEHUMANIZING THAT MANY
COURTS OVER LOOKS INTENTIONALLY CRUEL UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT
INHUMAN CONDITION, CONTAMINATED WATER, LACK OF
MEDICAL CARE, FOOD, MALNUTRITION, LACK OF PROTEIN
AND VITAMINES, DENIAL OF LEGAL ACCESS TO KEEP
FILE ACCESSIBLE VIOLATIONS OF 33-501-301.302(1)(A)(B)(C)(D)(E)(F)

(3), 33-501-302(1)(A)(B)(C)(D)(E)(F)(G)(H)(I)(J)(K)(L)(M)(N)(O)(P)(Q)

(R)(T)(U)(V)(W)(X)(Y)(Z)(1)(2)(3)(4), 18 USC. STATUTE 1512(A)(1)(A)(B)(6)

(2)(A)(B)(1)(ii)(iii)(iv), (2)(3)(A)(B)(1)(1)(C)(6)(1)(2)(A)(B)(C)

(B)(1)(2)(A)(B)(C)(3)(1)(2)(d)(1)(2)(3)(4)(E)(1)(2)(B)(1)(2)(H)(I)(J)(K),

RETRIBUTION IN LITIGATION TO DISCRIMINATE CONSPIRE TO HAVE

CIVIL ACTION IN 201 DISMISSED WITHOUT ANY RELIEF FOR 21 YEARS

11(16) - THE PROSECUTION IGNORING CHAPTER 33-208-002(1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(6)

(7)(8), MANIPULATIVE DEVICES 15 USC. STATUTE 78E, 780, 78Y,

15 USC. STATUTE 80-A, 80-A1 ILLEGALLY WARE HOUSING NELSON IN PRISON

USING UNETHICAL FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION ASSESSMENT

466

33-601.310(L) NOT DROP CUSTODY GRADE WITHOUT SENTENCING

ORDERS 33-601.210(1)(2) OR UNIFORM COMMITMENT PAPERS. FOR 21 YEARS

CLASSIFICATION REFUSED TO CONTACT THE COURT CONCERNING NELSON

HABERS CORPUS SHOW TO THEM FROM THE SUPREME COURT OR POSITION.

IN CASE NO. SC00-1585, THEY REFUSE TO TAKE ANY TIME OFF MY 55 YEAR

SENTENCE AFTER 21 YEARS (HIGHLY PREJUDICE ^{I AM} THAT HAVE FOR

MURDER THEY USED THE WORD TO BLIND THE COURT TO USE THAT

FOR A REASON TO DISCRIMINATE AND RACIALLY PROFILE ME

A HABITUAL OFFENDER ILLEGALLY WHILE HOUSING ME IN OLD PRISON

MOSTY PRISON MOLDY WITH FUNGUS BIRTH UNHEALTHY CONDITIONS

LAUGHING AT ME, MAKING IT DIFFICULT FOR ME TO REPRESENT

MY SELF. THEN MANIPULATING JUDGES WHO SHOULD BE DISQUALIFIED

OR ARRESTED WITH CONSPIRE TO VIOLATE FEDERAL LAWS COMMITTING

FEDERAL FED. CIV. P. RULE 60(B)(3), 15 USC. STATUTE 7924 THE INVESTMENT

COMPANY ACT OF 1980 CAUSING EXTRAORDINARY EXPENSES 28 USC STAT. 192

#(7)

FLUENT UPON THE COURT ADMINISTRATIVELY PER CIV. RULE 91(A)(B)

(1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(6)(B)(6) VIOLATING 42 USC. STATUTE 1985(1)(2)(3)

CONSPIRACY TO DISCRIMINATE AND DEPRIVE THE PLAINTIFF NELSON

TO REPRESENTATION BY APPOINTING COUNSEL TO MISREPRESENT

THE PLAINTIFF NELSON IN DUCE BY THE STATE OF FLORIDA JUDGES

WHO INDUCE OTHER JUDGES TO ILLEGALLY BRUTALLY SANCTION

NELSON AS A UNETHICAL MISCONDUCT OF JUSTICE IN VIOLATION

OF THE ~~ANTI~~ ANTITRUST CIVIL PROCESS ACT (15 USC. STATUTE 1311-1314

APPENDIX D.C. STATUTE 1738 RESOLVING 201 MANDATE DO TO ILLEGAL

SANCTIONS, AND VIOLATION OF FLORIDA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE V

SECTION (4)(6)(3), AND ARTICLE (1) SEC. (13) FATHALLY PLACING THE

DUVAL COUNTY COURT JUDGES LACK OF JURISDICTION TO ILLEGALLY SENTENCE

NELSON BRUTALITY AFTER HIS REQUEST APRIL 27, 2011 TO 55 YEARS

SENTENCE MUST BE VOIDED AS A MISCONDUCT OF JUSTICE IN HABEAS

CORPUS MISCONDUCT OF JUSTICE SECTION (4)(A), (4)(d), (4)(c), (4)(e) D.C. COURT

OR APPEAL RULES. WHERE FEDERAL COURTS ON ITS OWN MOTION

#187

RECALL MANIPULATES IN 201 CIVIL ACTIONS OF JAMES NELSON ILLEGAL
DISMISSALS. INVOLVING ALSO HIS SUPERCEDES BOND THAT PAYS FOR
ANY CIVIL ACTION COURT COST FEES DELAYS INTEREST ETC
DAMAGES AT \$850,000 DOLLARS PER SIX MINUTES RECALLING
ORDERS TO REVISIT FRAND UPON THE COURT FED. CIV. P. RULE 60 (A) (B) (C) (2)
(3) (4) (6) (1) (2) (3). PROP. 4. APPEALS A RIGHT TAKEN. REVISITING
THE FACTS OF THE MERITS OF AN EARLIER DECISION DENYING NELSONS
HABEAS CORPUS FROM SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA. IN RE CASE NO #
SC00-1585, ^{IN RE!} LT. CASE NO H98-14227 (F., CASE NO# SC14-1254, SC13-1502
SC09-1774, SC08-1439, SC07-280, SC13-134, SC10-1228, HARVARD V.
SINGH/ATNY 732 So. 2d. 1020 (FLA. 1999). THE ILLEGAL SANCTIONS DENYING
NELSON ACCESS TO THE DUVAL COUNTY COURT VIOLATED FLORIDA STATUTE
944.278 (2) NELSON'S RIGHT TO COLLATERALLY ATTACK HIS 55 YEAR
ILLEGAL SENTENCE FOR 21 YEARS OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA AND
FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS HATEFUL ABUSE OF JUDICIAL
PROCESS ENTIRELY FLOWING US DISTRICT COURTS OPINION ON KEY PROCESS

#91

POINTS OF LAW DEMONSTRATING THE PROMPTNESS OF THIS
COURT TO CORRECT EACH ERROR. APPELLATE V. BATES BANK
OF MINNAPOLIS, 377 So. 2d 1150 (1980). 20 (MAY V. (MAYSTO
465 F.3d 479, 484 (11TH CIR. 2006). FED. RULE 11 (C) PROVIDES FOR SANCTIONS
CONCERNING MISREPRESENTATION MADE IN PAPERS FILED WITH
THE COURT UNDER RULE 11 (6) 2d. 480 SEE 5TH CIR. 1981 WRIT.
+ AUTHOR R. MILLER FEDERAL PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE §1335 (3d Ed. 200
WILKINSON VIOLATIONS OF 47 USC. STATUTE 402 (A) (B) (6) (1) (2), AND RULE 41 (6)
AND RULE 41-1 MISCONDUCT, 28 USC. STAT. 2104 (A) (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) VIOLATIONS
OF 18 USC. STATUTE 2701 UNLAWFUL ACCESS TO STATE COMMUNICATION (A) (C) (2)
(6) (6) (1) (A) (B) (2) (C). DEFENDANTS REFUSED TO DISCLOSE MAINTENANCE - CORPORATE
RECORDS OF FEDERAL. AND HAS DESTROYED 10 BOXES OF FED. EVIDENCE OF NELSON'S
28 USC. STATUTE 2712 (A) (1) (2) (6) (2) (3) (4) (5) (C) (d) (E) (1) (2) (3), TO RESTRAIN
ANY AND ALL DEFENDANTS IN CONSPIRACY TO DEPRIVE NELSON RIGHTS
UNDER A COLOR OF LAW."

#(10)

THE SANCTIONS AND STAY PROP. 18 OF PROSECUTION

IN CASE NO# 4:09-CV-315-SPM/WCS, CASE NO# 4:08-CV-132 WS

CASE NO# 3:00-CV-339-J-32 TEM DOCA#(16)(17). RULE 24(B)(3)

PROP. AND CASE NO# 4:10-CV-09398 DOCA#(13). THE PLAINTIFF

NELSON PRAYS THIS COURT REVIEW PROSECUTOR RECOMMENDATIONS

AND REPORTS AND SANCTIONS, AND JUDICIAL STRIKES AS AN ABUSE

FOR 21 YEARS AM REVIEW ADMINISTRATIVELY ALL GRIEVANCE

OF THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS. ABUSE OF JUDICIAL

PROCESS AND DENYING DETERMINATION OF THESE MATTERS.

NELSON OBJECTS TO RECOMMENDATION AND PRAYS FOR RELIEF.

THAT 30 INMATES WITH CORONARY VIRUS-19. IF IT

GET IN HERE RECENTLY WITH PEOPLE LIVING ON TOP OF

EACH OTHER IT WILL KILL A LOT OF PEOPLE. THE COURT

HAS SENTENCE ME A FIRST TIME FALSE IMPRISON PLAINTIF

WITH 201 CASES TO A DEATH SENTENCE. I AM NOT A

HABITUAL OFFENDER. AND MY ILLEGAL SENTENCE IS 55 YEARS

#011

THE DISMISSAL OF MY CASES IS ABUSE OF JUDICIAL
PROCESS AND EACH CONSPIRING JUDGE DISMISSAL SHOULD
BE VOIDED FED. CIV. P. R. ~~121~~ AND A FED. R. CIV. P. 60(b)
DE novo DETERMINATION OF ALL 201 CASES AND REPORTS
AND RECOMMENDATIONS IS FRAUD UPON THE COURT
AND FABRICATION. EACH INDIVIDUAL ACTION MUST BE
RULING IN FAVOR OF THE PLAINTIFF NELSON. AND
SANCTIONS MUST BE ISSUED AGAINST THE DEFENDANT
THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS ETHIC CENTER
CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION STAFF, WARDEN, CLASSIFICATION
AND WHO EVER IS CONSPIRING TO DESTROY ALL 16 BOXES
OF MY LEGAL BOXES OF FEDERAL EVIDENCE OF MY FILE. A
PROTECTED ORDER MUST ISSUE AGAINST THE DEFENDANT
AND EMERGENCY RELEASE MUST BE ISSUED IMMEDIATELY
AND ALL CASES SHOULD HAVE A FINAL DECISION IN FAVOR
OF THE PLAINTIFF JAMES NELSON IN GOOD FAITH FOR FRAUD UPON
THE COURT. 28 USC. STATUTE 636(b)(1)(C)

ALU

AS A FIRST TIME DEFENDER UNDER 1998 STATUTES
THE COURT HAS ADMITTED TO THE EXPOST FACTO VIOLATION
BUT STILL DID NOT CORRECT THIS ERROR ON NOVEMBER 18, 2001
WHEN THEY VACATED MY 98-14227276 SENTENCE. I WAS NEVER
RESENTENCED SO WHY AM I IN PRISON. AT WHAT IS NOVEMBER
18, 2001 SENTENCING ORDER. THATS COURT MUST ORDER THE
CENTRY CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION TO PRODUCE THE
PROBABLE CAUSE PAPERS IN MY UNIFORM COMMITMENT
PAPERS AND A NOVEMBER 18, 2001 SENTENCING ORDER
AND JUDGEMENT. OF THE VERY SENTENCING ERRORS
THAT SHOULD HAVE ~~BEEN~~ BEEN VACATED. AND ORDER
THE COURT TO VACATE IN HOLDING ORDER & THE RESUES
JUDGE BRAD STEWSON ISSUE AFTER HIS PREJUDICE RECUSAL
TO MALICIOUSLY PROSECUTE THE PLAINTIFF NELSON IN
DIRECT CONTEMPT. IN VIOLATION OF F.R.D. COURT RULE 3.840 (E)

#18)

WITNESS CLASSIFICATION THREATS THAT I MUST
STILL DO 55 YEARS WITH A ~~W~~ UNPROFESSIONAL
ATTITUDE AFTER NELSON HAS SERVED 21 YEARS ONLY
FOR MY AM PARTIAL REPORT, AND NUCLEAR COUNTY
MAULOUS PROSECUTION. KEY 34, KEY 67.

INJUNCTION KEY 189 RESTRAINING ORDER
CANNOT RESTRICT OR FRUSTRATE IN ANY WAY
AN APPEAL TAKEN FROM A STATE COURT, ILLEGAL
SANCTION THAT BART NELSON FROM ACCESS TO THE
COURT. CONSTITUTIONAL LAW KEY 305 (2) INJUNCTION
KEY 189. WITH LIMITING CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT
OF ACCESS TO COURTS ESSENTIAL DUE PROCESS
STATE GUARDS MUST FIRST BE PROVIDED. AND
RESTRAINING ORDER AGAINST THE DEFENDANT.
AMEND (5) (14, OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

11/14

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. BANKRUPTCY CASE NO#
1:18-cv-02319-UNA., AND CASE NO# 18-7189, IS DEALING
WITH 28 USC STATUTE 1407 MULTIJURISDICTION CONSOLIDATED
CASE. FED. CIV. P. RULE 34. NELSON AS PRO SE ATTORNEY HAS BEEN
DEPRIVED OF ACCESS TO THE COURT AND THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTIONS ARE HARASSING ME TO DESTROY MY LEGAL RISK
SAYING THEY WANT SEIZE MY 16 BOX. MANIPULATIVE DEVICES
TO STOP THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT FROM RENDERING
A FINAL DECISION AGAINST THE CORPORATION AND GOVERNMENT
AGENCIES E.T. AL AND ALL DEFENDANTS E.T. AL. FRANKFURT SANCTIONS
EQ BROWDER V. HIG. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS OF 111 434 US 257, 263 N.7C
(1998). FED. DIST. OF COLUMBIA. BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE RULE 9014)
(C) SANCTIONS SUBDIVISION (B)(1), SUBDIVISION (B) # RULE 7004
RULE 7004 SUBDIVISION (B), (B), (B), (A) AND (B) SANCTIONS MAY
BE AWARDED (B)(2)(3) IMMEDIATE SANCTIONS (C)(1) INABILITY
TO DISCOVER 445 US. 421 OF CORPORATIONS FILES SUBPOENA AS EVIDENCE

H(15)

AND STATE OF FLORIDA FRAUDULENT SANCTIONS TO REST THE
PLAINTIFF JAMES NELSON FROM ACCESS TO THE COURT TO EVER
GO HOME OR BE RELEASE FROM HIS 21 YEAR SERVED ON 1957 YEAR
ILLEGAL FALSE IMPRISONMENT SENTENCE FLORIDA STATUTE 787.01
FLORIDA STATUTE 787.02, FLORIDA STATUTE 810.13. IN VIOLATION
OF FLORIDA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE (1) SECTION (13), AND 47 USC.
STATUTE 402 (A)(B)(6)(1)(2), 47 USC. STATUTE 325, 47 USC. STATUTE 312
THE BUSINESS APPLICATION OF THESE DEFENDANTS WITH THE
SECURITY EXCHANGE COMMISSION MUST BE REVOKED BY ANY
AFFECTED AGGRIEVED PARTY IN CIVIL ACTIONS, AND BY ANY PERSON
WHO'S AGGRIEVED BY HIS ILLEGAL WASTE HAUSING FALSE IMPRISONMENT
AND PUNISHMENT SANCTIONS IN 201 CIVIL ACTIONS OR WHO HAS A
INTEREST IN CORPORATE DISCLOSURE WHO ADVERSELY AFFECTED
LIKE THE INJURED PARTY WHO LOST EVERYTHING BY THE DEFENDANTS
UNETHICAL MISCONDUCT BULLYING MANIPULATIVE DEVICES OF
OF DISHONEST DECEIT HARASSMENTS OF RETALIATION IN CITIGATION,

#016

18 USC. STATUTE 1512. THE DETERMINATION MADE BY THE S.E.C.
WHO'S A DEFENDANT VIOLATE SANCTIONS, BY THESE DECISIONS
TO DISMISS NELSON'S CIVIL ACTIONS 47 USC. STATUTE 717 (A)(3)
47 USC. STATUTE 618 (A)(3), SEE CONTINGENCIES APPEAL STATUTE
1737, 1738 RECALL MINUTE PROMPTNESS GROUND'S. SEC. RULE 10-6,
10-6-(5) MANIPULATIVE DEVICES 15 USC. STATUTE 78E, 780, 78Y
15 USC. STATUTE 80A, 80A1, 15 USC. STATUTE 7924. NOTICE OF
APPEAL WAS GIVEN. THE FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS ET AL
AND STATE OF FLORIDA ET AL INFLUENTIAL POWERS TO SHUT DOWN
ALL CORRESPONDENCES BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME
COURT CONSPIRING WITH THE DISTRICT COURT'S MAGISTRATES
AND APPEALS COURT IN THE UNITED STATE COURT OF APPEALS
11TH CIRCUIT IN ATLANTA GEORGIA. AND IN THE UNITED
STATES COURT OF APPEALS OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA. IS GRABING
FOR SANCTIONS IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDURE RULE 7011(c)(5)
REPEATING OF FORMER EQUITY RULE 69 Fed. CIV.P.

4171

11 USC. STATUTE 1101(12)(2)(A)(B)(B), 11 USC. STATUTE 322

QUALIFICATION OF JUSTICE (A)(B)(1)(2)(A)(B), THE STATE OF FLORIDA

SANCTIONS APRIL 12, 2015 SANCTIONS BY CONSPIRING JUDGE

JACK SELTZER. "SCHEM TO DEFRAUD THE U.S. SUPREME COURT

BY INDUCING OTHER JUDGES AND MAGISTRATES TO RECOMMEND

REPORTS TO STRIKE NELSON CIVIL ACTIONS BY THE SAME

DEFENDANT THE DUAL COUNTY COURT, AND STATE OF FLORIDA, ETC.

AND DISTRICT ATTORNEY HENRY SHOT STAIN, JACKSONVILLE

SHERIFFS OFFICE, RANNIE RUSSEL CLERK OF THE COURT FOR

DUAL COUNTY FOURTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT WHO NEW NELSON

HAD A HABEAS CORPUS FROM THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA. IN RE

CASE NO. 13-0007-1295 SEPTEMBER 28, 2000, TRANSMITTED TO THAT

COURT FROM SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA. AUGUST 1, 2000. FLORIDA

CONSTITUTION ARTICLE (1) SECTION (13) GUARANTEED RIGHT TO

RELIEF, SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA. RUBBER STAMP SANCTIONS

IS CONSPIRACY TO RUBBER STAMP FEDERAL LAW SUITS.

#18

JOHN SCHEMER CONSPIRED THE PLAN WITH THE FLORIDA.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS ET AL. IN REFUSING TO SANCTION

THE PLAINTIFF NELSON PROSECUTING IN ACCORDANCE TO FLORIDA

STATUTE 944.279(1). VIOLATING NELSON'S RIGHT TO COLLECTIVELY

ATTACK HIS ILLEGAL CONFINEMENT WHILE HE'S ENTITLED TO

EMERGENCY RELEASE 21 YEARS AGO. IN ACCORDANCE TO

FLORIDA CONSTITUTION ARTICLE (1) SECTION (13), FLORIDA STATUTE

944.17 'SUEME OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS VIOLATING

FLORIDA STATUTE 944.17(5)(C) PROBABLE CAUSE "THERE IS NO

PROBABLE CAUSE IN NELSON'S 88-4227CF FOR HIS ARREST AT ALL

NELSON "RIGHTFULLY CLAIMS HIS INNOCENCE AND RESPECT-

FULLY ASK- THIS HONORABLE COURT TO SANCTION THESE DECEIT

FUL DEFENDANTS THAT HAS CAUSE HIM 21 YEARS OF

IRREPARABLE DAMAGE COMMITTING FRAUD UPON THE

COURT FED. CIV. P. RULE (1)(A)(B)(1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(B)(6), FED. CIV.

P. RULE (B)(6)(C) FED. CIV. P. RULE (B)(4).

#(19)

JACK SCHWARTZ STATES THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA.
IN RE CASE NO. 00-1595 WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS "OF
SEPTEMBER 28, 2000, TRANSMITTED TO THE FOURTH JUDICIAL
CIRCUIT CLERK OF THE COURT RONNIE FUSSELL ON AUGUST 1,
2000 WAS BY HIM ALIASE FILED "ON SOME UNDISCLOSED
DATE BUT WHICH WAS NOT ACKNOWLEDGED IN THE FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT, CRIMINAL DIVISION. ORDER ON DEFENDANT'S
NOTICE OF INQUIRY AND BATTING DEFENDANT FROM FURTHER
PRO SE FILING'S APRIL 10, 2015 DELIBERATELY SUFFERING AGAINST
NELSON IN MOTION #2) THAT HE STATES WITH THE EXCEPTION OF
OF THE HABEAS CORPUS PETITION FOR WITH THE DELIBERATELY
DISHONESTLY STATES THE DEFENDANT JAMES NELSON IN HIS
CASE NO. 98-14227 CR / SCT. (IN RE CASE NO. 00-1595) HAS PROVIDED
NO RELEVANT FILING DATE TO ASSIST THIS COURT IN
DETERMINING THE STATUS - NONE OF THE MENTIONED
MOTION ARE OUTSTANDING ON FEBRUARY 2, 2015. HE LIVED HERE

#(20)

JACK SUMNER ORDERED THE COURT TO ENTER A NON FINAL,
NON APPEALABLE ORDER DISMISSING DEFENDANT NELSON'S
MOTION TO RECALL MANDATE, LETTER OF MARQUE AND
REPRISAL AND HIS MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE WITH PREJUDICE
EX (B). HONORABLE BRAD STETSON WAS DISQUALIFIED
APRIL 26, 27, 2001 EXHIBIT A AT 40. INDIRECT CONTEMPT
FLORIDA RULE OF COURT RULE 3.840 (E) DON'T ALLOW HONORABLE
BRAD STETSON TO REASSIGN HIS SELF ON MY 88-142276
CASE AFTER NELSON FILE IN THE COURT A MOTION SHOWING
CONFLICT OF INTEREST. HE RULE IN MY ACCIDENT CASES
DISMISSING CIVIL CLAIMS OF ALL STATE TWICE WRONGFULLY
THESE INJURIES AND CASES ARE AGAINST HIM IN
HIS PREJUDICE STILL PENDING THIS COURT REVIEW AND
RULING AGAINST THE INSURANCE COMPANY FOR ALL THESE
YEARS. WARRANTS AND INJUNCTIONS NOT RULING ON AT
EXECUTED SHOWS THE INDUCE MAGISTRATE'S PREJUDICE