

No. 20-872

**In The
Supreme Court of the United States**

SHANE DAVIS,

Petitioner,

vs.

**MIKE CARROLL,
WILEEN R. WEAVER,
and PAULINE RILEY,**

Respondents,

APPENDIX

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Appendix A:	Excerpt from Deposition of Wileen R. Weaver (Nov. 3, 2017)	App. 1
-------------	--	--------

APPENDIX A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
CASE NO. 8:16-CV-998-MSS-SPF

SHANE DAVIS, on Behalf of *
J.D.D., a Minor Child, *
Plaintiff, *
vs. *
MIKE CARROLL, *
WILEEN R. WEAVER, *
PAULINE RILEY, *
Defendants. *
*

Excerpts of Wileen R. Weaver
Deposition Transcript
November 3, 2017

* * *

[MR. STANZIALE]: What I'm saying is: If you did not notice the screening in the file, do you believe you had the authorization to say, "Hey, this kid didn't get the initial health care screening, let's get it done"?

[MS. WEAVER]: I probably would have asked for it.

(Dkt. 87-2 at 26-27)

* * *

[MR. STANZIALE]: But what I'm asking is -- and you know, once again, the question is: Do you believe that you have the authority, if you found that the screening was not in the DCF file, to authorize one?

[MS. WEAVER]: I don't authorize. I request from my supervisor for, but I don't authorize anything.

(Dkt. 87-2 at 27)

* * *

[MR. STANZIALE]: It's your testimony you didn't have the authority to authorize it?
MR. STRANZL: Object to form.

[MS. WEAVER]: No. I would have made my supervisor aware and that would have gone from there. But I can't order it, you know what I mean?

(Dkt. 87-2 at 45)