

20-8391

No.

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

JUN 16 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Oliver Price

— PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

Ohio Supreme Court

— RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

Ohio Supreme Court

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Oliver Price

(Your Name)

2500 S. AVON-BEIDEN Rd.

(Address)

GRAFTON, OHIO 44044

(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Does it matter I petitioned Oliver Price Pro Se? This Court Denied Mrs. Gondeck Cert. on 6-11-62. This Court Denied Mrs. Gondeck rehearing 10-8-62. Did this same Court not give Mrs. Gondeck the relief she sought via petition for certiorari by granting rehearing and cert. 10-18-65? Should this petition by Oliver Price a Pro Se litigant as was Mrs. Gondeck be afforded the same liberal construction?? 382 U.S. 25, 26, -27.

Is it axiomatically recognized that a Court (trial court) lacking jurisdiction of any subject matter, because no complaint was first filed cannot render a conviction? Is All Void? State v. Sharp 2009 Ohio 1854.

Whether this Court should issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus in the interest of justice and grant the petitioner Oliver Price the relief he seeks, his freedom from a void conviction, because no Court ever had a first filed complaint?

Did this Court say that the merits are to be reviewed? Schever v. Rhodes 416 U.S. 232.

Is petitioner's soul federal remedy Habeas Corpus?

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	DISMISSAL OF OHIO SUP. COURT
	CASE NO. 2021-0473 FILED 6-8-2021
APPENDIX B	
APPENDIX C	
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

U. S. v. ADESIDA 129 F.3 rd	846
CYLINDER LEASING v. VERBON 631 N.E.2 ^d	179
STATE v. GREEN OHIO 548 N.E.2 ^d	334
STATE v. SHARP 2009 OHIO	1854
STATE v. BROCK 675 N.E.2 ^d	18

STATUTES AND RULES

OHIO CRIM R. 3
 OHIO REVISED CODE 2725.01 - 28 = Persons Entitled to
 Writ of Habeas Corpus.

OTHER

U. S. C. A. Const. ART. 3, § 2, C17

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at CASE NO. 2021-0473; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the OHIO SUPREME COURT court appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at CASE NO. 2021-0473; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 6-8-2021.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

5TH AMENDMENT OF U.S. CONSTITUTION.

6TH AMENDMENT OF U.S. CONSTITUTION.

14TH AMENDMENT OF U.S. CONSTITUTION.

OHIO REVISED CODE O.R.C. 2725.01-28

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

It was January 1984.(Petitioner) was arrested and taken to trial for Agg. Murder, and Agg. Robbery. It was a jury that found this (Petitioner) Oliver Price guilty of a lesser included offense of murder, and not Agg. Murder, and not guilty of Agg. Robbery. Jury also found (Petitioner) guilty of other Agg. Robberies. Judge Fredrick Colman long ago deceased imposed 18 years Gun Spects to be done prior to starting consecutive sentences.

After reading Ohio's rules of Court, and getting the full gist of formal charges, (Petitioner) had no formal charges via first filed complaint to give Trial Court and kind of Subject Matter Jurisdiction. (Petitioner) had no clue in 1984 that not only was Ohio Rules of Court being totally disregarded, violated because Petitioner did not know!! Petitioner now adds certain amendments of U.S. Const. were violated. To begin 5th, 6th, 14th.

Petitioner concludes with, since 1984 Petitioner has been unlawfully illegally deprived of his freedom per 5th, 6th, 14th, Amendments of the U.S. Const.all because no complaint was ever filed. To this day, no complaint exist against Oliver Price the Petitioner. That's the Statement!!

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Reason Being, it is now warranted, **EXCEPTIONALLY AFTER 37 YEARS** of being illegally, unlawfully incarcerated. Petitioner gets no relief from Ohio Supreme Court. Ohio Supreme Court did not render a sound judicial proceeding when it dismissed Petitioner's petition for writ of Habeas Corpus, when Ohio Supreme Court knew on face record trial court lacked **SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION** WHEN NO COMPLAINT exists on file. Ohio Supreme Court conflicts with every court there is, **State, Federal, World wide**. No subject matter jurisdiction equals no conviction.

ESPECIALLY THIS United States Supreme Court.

United States Supreme Court R. 10 (b).

An injustice has occurred, and it must be corrected, as others have been.

Petitioner seeks certiorari be granted, only after the Court gives liberal review of merits.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Oliver Price

Date: 6-15-2021