

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

No: 20-3590

Richard L. Gathercole

Appellant

v.

United States of America

Appellee

---

Appeal from U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska - Lincoln  
(4:20-cv-03047-RGK)

---

**ORDER**

The petition for rehearing en banc is denied. The petition for rehearing by the panel is also denied.

March 16, 2021

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court:  
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

---

/s/ Michael E. Gans

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT**

---

No: 20-3590

---

Richard L. Gathercole

Petitioner - Appellant

v.

United States of America

Respondent - Appellee

---

Appeal from U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska - Lincoln  
(4:20-cv-03047-RGK)

---

**JUDGMENT**

Before KELLY, ERICKSON, and GRASZ, Circuit Judges.

This appeal comes before the court on appellant's application for a certificate of appealability. The court has carefully reviewed the original file of the district court, and the application for a certificate of appealability is denied. The appeal is dismissed.

February 08, 2021

Order Entered at the Direction of the Court:  
Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Eighth Circuit.

---

/s/ Michael E. Gans

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

RICHARD L. GATHERCOLE,

Defendant.

**4:17CR3096**

**JUDGMENT**

IT IS ORDERED that judgment is entered dismissing the pending § 2255 motion and any amendments or supplements thereto with prejudice. No certificate of appealability has been or will be issued.

Dated this 30th day of November, 2020.

BY THE COURT:

*Richard G. Kopf*  
Richard G. Kopf  
Senior United States District Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

RICHARD L. GATHERCOLE,

Defendant.


4:17CR3096

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

When one weeds through the prolix and frivolous filings in this court and the Court of Appeals, Gathercole, sometimes known infamously and nationally as the “AK 47 bandit,” mainly asserts (filing 106; filing 107) that his counsel was ineffective and a “global” settlement that called for dismissal of a California case was not complied with by the government. Nonsense.

First, any fair reading of the record shows that his counsel was effective and did a terrific job for him (e.g., filing 78) particularly understanding that the case was indefensible. (E.g., filing 103.) Second, the government has represented that the California case has been dismissed and has submitted evidence to prove it. (Filing 125.) Any other claims are of the make-weight variety and are barred by the collateral attack waiver in the plea agreement.

Finally, a defendant cannot appeal an adverse ruling on a § 2255 motion unless he or she is granted a certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1); 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b)(1). The standards for certificates (1) where the district court reaches the merits or (2) where the district court rules on procedural grounds are set forth in *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484-485 (2000). I have applied the appropriate standard and determined that the defendant is not entitled to a certificate of appealability.



IT IS ORDERED that the motion for § 2255 relief (filing 106) and any supplements (e.g., filing 107) are dismissed with prejudice. A separate judgment will be issued. No certificate of appealability has been or will be issued.

Dated this 30th day of November, 2020.

BY THE COURT:

*Richard G. Kopf*  
Richard G. Kopf  
Senior United States District Judge