

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

MAR 18 2021

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

JORGE ANTONIO PEREZ, AKA Steven
Mark Hamm,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

GAVIN NEWSOM, individual; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 20-55971

D.C. No. 2:20-cv-05580-GW-E
Central District of California,
Los Angeles

ORDER

Before: GRABER, R. NELSON, and HUNSAKER, Circuit Judges.

The district court denied appellant leave to proceed in forma pauperis because it found the action was frivolous. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). On September 22, 2020, this court ordered appellant to explain in writing why this appeal should not be dismissed as frivolous. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) (court shall dismiss case at any time, if court determines it is frivolous or malicious).

Upon a review of the record and the response to the court's September 22, 2020 order, we conclude this appeal is frivolous. We therefore deny appellant's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Docket Entry No. 4) and dismiss this appeal as frivolous, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).

All other pending motions are denied as moot.

DISMISSED.