

No. 20-7994

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

In re Lee Robert Scott - PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF FLORIDA

- RESPONDENTS

DEC 10 2020


OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

ON PETITION FOR A ACTUAL INNOCENCE
§2254 WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS TO
Rule 20.4

ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF FLORIDA

PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS §2254

LEE ROBERT SCOTT
APALACHEE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
35 EAST UNIT DRIVE
SNEAD, FL 33460



QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Why does this Honorable Court Allow Their District Courts the Authority to Cover-up Vicious AND Malicious Prosecution Cases in the Lower State Courts when vindictive Illegal Sentencing is Clearly on the Face of the Record, And The Lower Courts Blatant Disregard To Their own Rules, Laws, Court Decisions To Correct The Illegal Sentencing Cannot Be Enforced?
2. When a citizen of the United State with a Honorable Discharge from the United States Navy Informs the Federal Authorities He Has been Railroaded in a State Court For a Crime they know He did NOT commit, and He Has Federal Alibi witnesses To Prove His Innocence, Why is Their Not A Specific Procedure to produce Federally protected Employees To Stop The corruption AND cover-up By Any Court or Any State Public Employee?
3. Why is A Third Party in a Federal Drug Trafficking Case Blocked By A Federal District Court From Obtaining Any Contact with Federal Employees Who Are His Alibi Witnesses (Federal Prosecutor, F.B.I. Agents, DEA, Agents), To Prove ACTUAL Innocence of The Third Party in A State Proceeding?

This Honorable Court Must Correct The Corruption AND Cover-up in Their lower District Courts. The only answer is To Remove All Judges With A Law License From The State their A Federal Judge IN. Which means if you Have A Law License From Florida you CANNOT Be A Federal Judge in THAT State!

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:
-

RELATED CASES

NONE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4-5-6
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	8
CONCLUSION.....	9
QUESTION(S) PRESENTED.	7

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	(Altered, Edited, Rewrote, New Disc) SENTENCING TRANSCRIPT	13 pages
APPENDIX B	STATES INFORMATION ON Subsequent Felony Case # 89-71250, 2 pages (Leaving Scene of A Crime) which occurred (6) Six Hours Earlier with my property - Keys To Condo, And Boat, wearing my glasses, No gloves.)	
APPENDIX C	Florida's Rule 3,800a Motion To Correct Sentence Filed IN COURT ON 12/19/19 No Response.	19 pages
APPENDIX D	Amended Motion To Correct Illegal Sentence Filed IN COURT ON 2/5/21 No Response	4 pages
APPENDIX E		
APPENDIX F		

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF ~~CERTIORARI~~ *Habeas Corpus*

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of ~~certiorari~~ *Habeas Corpus Release AND Vacate* issue to ~~review~~ the judgment below.

*Their Are No OPINIONS IN MY CASE, BECAUSE
IM IN A SLAVE STATE THE STATE OF FLORIDA,*
OPINIONS BELOW

Per Curium Affirmed Is Their only Response,

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☒ reported at *4TH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL PALM BEACH*; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from state courts: *My Illegal Sentence was objected To At sentencing on April 2, 1992, However Unfortunately NO Illegal Sentence Issue WAS RAISED ON Direct Appeal. 92-1123 Sentence Final on (April 2, 1992) Day it was Given!*
The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § ~~1254(1)~~ ²²⁵⁴. *AND pursuant to THE Florida Constitution Article 1 SECT 9. Due Process Article V Section 3, (9) writs of Habeas Corpus*

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

The United States Constitution 14TH Amendment
5TH Amendment
6TH Amendment
8TH Amendment

The Florida State Constitution
Article V Section 2(a) No Cause shall be Dismissed
Article V Section 1. Supreme Court Has Vested Power
Article V Section 3. Supreme Court
Article I Section 2. Basic Rights
Article I Section 9. Due Process
Article I Section 21. Access To Courts
Article I Section 24 Access To Public Records.

Fla Statute § 775.084 "Habitual Offender Statute"

Prior To JAN 30TH 1989 The Courts Have Already Established That The "Law-in-Effect" was a Fundamental Principle of LAW AT SENTENCING see: Richardson v. STATE, 472 So2d 1278 (FLA 1ST DCA 1985) STATES THAT: THE Fundamental principle of Ex Post Facto Jurisprudence THAT A COURT ENTERTAINING A EX POST FACTO CLAIM MUST focus upon "LAW-IN-EFFECT" AT THE TIME OF THE 472 So2d 1280 offense for which the person is being punished. "NOT THE LAW-IN-EFFECT ON THE DATE OF SENTENCING". UNITED STATES EX REL FORMAN v. MCCA, 709 F2d 852 (3rd Cir 1983). see also METTY v. STATE, 469 So2d 946, 10 FLW 1338 (FLA 5TH DCA 1985) AND MILLEN v. STATE, 468 So2d 1018, 10 FLW 989 (FLA 4TH DCA 1985) Re-sentencing within guidelines in effect.

LAW-IN-EFFECT is NOT IGNORED BY THE SUPREME COURT OF FLORIDA see: CARPENTER v. STATE, June 29 2017 Fla Lexis 1433 STATES: A Defendant's sentence is controlled by the Law-in-Effect at the time he committed his offense.

NOW YOU MAKE THEM ENFORCE IT IN MY CASE!

There is over 1000 Cases in Florida Law Books THAT Specifically Show, STATE, PRESENT, Display MY sentence is ILLEGAL ON THE FACE OF THE RECORD see: SENTENCING TRANSCRIPT Appendix A.

Please Understand These ARE "Altered, Omitted, Deleted, AND Falsified" Re-wrote AND Re-TRANSCRIBED Transcripts. THAT'S How They Do IT IN FLORIDA!

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Hi My Case is Tremendous (Viciously AND maliciously prosecuted) For a crime They Clearly Knew I did NOT Commit. Then on Top of That They Vindictively Illegally Sentenced me To LAWS in-EFFECT AT Sentencing on April 2 1992. Citing A CASE THAT JUST CAME OUT in THE Florida LAW Weekly on Mar 29 1992. My Illegal Sentence WAS objected To in open Court on THE Record THAT EXIST on April 2 1992. How Ever No Sentencing Issue "Illegal or Objected To" was NOT raised on Direct Appeal. "By Order of THE Judge + STATE Prosecutor"!

THE Appellant Court Refuses To Correct it! THE Lower Court Refuses To Correct it! THE Supreme Court of Florida Refuses To Correct it or Have THEM Correct it! THE Federal Southern District Covers it ALL UP. AND will NOT Allow you To Appeal it either. THEN DOC Steps in AND Locks you up AND Takes your Legal work To Stop you From meeting Any Deadlines in Court. ITS ALL ONE CROOKED Illegal Enterprise

Back To My Case! I'm Completely INNOCENT of The Crime and Charges They Railroaded me on in THE Lower Court of Broward County on March 3-6 1992. They Instructed THE Fake Victims of what To Say AND Testify To AT my Fake Trial. I was NOT in Broward County, Florida on JAN 30th 3rd Feb 1 1989. I WAS in Palm Beach County in Boynton Beach, off Military Trail, AND Hypoluxo Road. A Friend of mine Robert Anthony Glenn, WAS Arrested For Drug Trafficking in 1988 by THE FBI AND DEA. He Bonded OUT! He HAD RICO AND SENTENCING NEXT! ON Jan 29 1989 I was Called By THE Federal Court House AND Told To Report To Father Nature Nursery on JAN 30th 1989 AT 8:00 AM in THE morning To run THE Business For Robert Anthony Glenn (BOBBY). Go To Palm Beach and Get THE Keys From Him To open it up. He will be in Federal Court AND Seeing His ATTORNEYS AT THE Time you Are THEIR. THE FBI AND THE DEA AGENTS will know you Have permission To Be THEIR. Bring your I.D.'S To Prove your Identity. I Brought my Drivers License, Pass Port, Captains License. THE FBI AND DEA AGENTS Called in AND Verified with THE Prosecutor I was Allowed To Run THE Business AND Be on THE Property.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE (CONTINUED)

THIS MAKES ME A THIRD PARTY IN A FEDERAL DRUG TRAFFICKING CASE. ALL OF MY ALIBI WITNESSES ARE FEDERALLY PROTECTED BY LAW. THE FEDERAL PROSECUTOR, THE 15 OR MORE FBI AGENTS AND DEA AGENTS WHO WERE WITH ME THE WHOLE TIME I WAS IN BOYNTON BEACH RUNNING THE BUSINESS (FATHER NATURE NURSERY). THE 25 GUATEMALAN WORKERS WERE "DEPORTED". THE NURSERY AND ITS CONTENTS WERE SOLD IN AUCTION, THE PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE GOT OVER (6) SIX MILLION DOLLARS FOR IT.

I WAS RAILOADED BY THE STATE AND THE JUDGE AND THE STATE TOLD MY JURY: "BOBBY GLENN IS A LIEING CONVICTED DRUG TRAFFICKER WHO JUST GOT OUT OF FEDERAL PRISON! DON'T YOU BELIEVE A WORD HE SAID! I'M TELLING YOU THIS BECAUSE I CONTACTED THE PROSECUTOR AND HE SAID HE NEVER HEARD OF LEE SCOTT. THE JUDGE SAID: PROSECUTOR I THINK YOU WENT TOO FAR! LET ME STRAIGHTEN THIS FOR YOU! LADIES AND GENTLEMEN LET ME PUT IT LIKE THIS: DON'T YOU THINK THAT THE FBI AND THE DEA WOULD BE HERE IN THIS COURT ROOM IF THEY WERE MR SCOTT ALIBI WITNESSES. WELL DO YOU SEE ANY OF THEM LOOK AROUND I DON'T!

THIS IS HOW YOU GET RAILOADED! I SCREAMED AT MY ATTORNEY AND FIRED HIM FOR LETTING THEM DO THIS AND SAY THESE THINGS IN MY TRIAL. HE SAID I'LL WIN IT ALL ON APPEAL, GIVE ME SOME MORE MONEY!

WHEN HE SHOWED UP AT SENTENCING I SNAPPED AND SCREAMED AT HIM AND THE JUDGE: "TELLING HIM HE'S NOT MY ATTORNEY I FIRED HIM AT TRIAL AND FILED MY OWN NOTICE OF APPEAL. YOU CANNOT SENTENCE ME! I WANT MY ALIBI WITNESSES". THE PROSECUTOR AND THE FBI AND DEA AGENTS WHO WERE WITH ME ON (JAN 30 1989) THIS IS PURE BULL SHIT — I SAID.

I THEN READ OFF MY P.S.I. STATEMENTS TO THE COURT. FIRST I SAID: "THE GUY YOU TOLD TO LIE ON ME STATED RIGHT HERE IN MY P.S.I. 'SHOOT HIM I ONLY WANTED HIM TO TURN STATE WITNESS BECAUSE THE GUY WHO DID THIS TO ME IS HIDING OUT.'"

STATEMENT OF CASE (CONT)

I said His Wife Said AND I Read it off! They made me say THATS my Jewelry or They would Take my Husbands Liquor License.

17 Cops Took The Stand in my Trial Along with Police Expert Witnesses. A Pawn Shop owner The Confidential Informants Girlfriend AND MORE,

MR. WOGOMUTH GOT on THE Stand AND Told THE Jury SHE Had A Copy of THE Crime Stoppers Reenactment Tape AT Home. THE STATE, COPS, SHERIFFS Department, CHANNEL 7 ATTORNEYS AND CRIME STOPPERS "LOST THEIR COPIES" FOR my Trial. NOBODY Had A Copy of THE TAPE. How Come?

BECAUSE IT WAS A Black male AND A LATIN male who Robbed THE WOGOMUTHS on JAN 30TH 1989. All THE police Reports Say THE SAME THING. NO WHITE male "my Age" was EVER Seen Near THEIR House or IN their Neighborhood.

I'm WHITE AND Always Have been.

THEY Beat me To Near Death on June 5 1991 in THE main Jail BECAUSE THE Sheriff NICK NAVARRO Told THE LATIN Reps who Beat me, THAT: I was disguised As A LATIN Male Doing Home Invasion Robberies with A NIGGER. THATS why I was Beaten on THE 45 Day of my Illegal Detainment By B.S.O.

AND WHEN THEY Found out I was Almost Dead THE Back dated AND Forged All THE Paper WORK. Complete FRAUD! AND Hid me in ANOTHER JAIL For MONTHS. I Slipped INTO A COMA For Almost 2 months.

My Injuries were Permanent = (6) Eye operations kicked Blind. AND A whole Lot more. Broken Bones, Teeth kicked out, ect... ect..!

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1. Actual Innocence Must Have a precedent in the Criminal Justice System. No State or Federal Judge, Prosecutor, or Employee Should Have the Ability To Block, Stop, Interfere with A citizen of the United States Trying To Obtain His "Alibi Witnesses", Who Are or Were Federal Employees of The F.B.I. or DEA or The Federal Prosecutors Office in This Country, To Prove This citizens Actual Innocence in A State Court when This Same Citizen States on The Record He was Railroaded, and IF you are going To Railroad Me you Better Give me The Death Penalty; So I can Expose you and your Railroad Tactics To The Whole World.
2. Vicious and Malicious Prosecution Must Be Stopped No Matter What State it Occurred in. The Days of Covering-up For Crooked Cops Has To End. I Spent over 30 years in Prison For a Crime I did Not Commit. Because The State Prosecutors office Does Not want you To SuiTe The Sheriffs Department. They Prosecute you For a Crime They Know you Did Not Commit IT. LAUGH at you Have you Vindictively Sentenced; Then Tell you To Appeal IT. Then They Falsify, Back date, FRAUD The Entire Record For your Appeal And Laugh Even Louder. They Also Control The Appellant Court System By Using The Phone To Tell Them What To do or Not Do.
3. I want Released AND Prosecution of Everyone; especially The Employees who used Their position of Employment To Cover-up, aide, or Aide the Cover-uppers.

CONCLUSION

Please Give Me Lee R. Scott 261-41-6055 A Certified Federal Attorney
To Obtain Contact with The Federal Prosecutor who Had me Run The
Business (Father Nature Nursery) For Robert Anthony Glenn ON JAN 30, 31, Fed
1989.
The petition for a writ of ^{Habeas Corpus} ~~certiorari~~ should be granted, if there is one drop of integrity
Left in our Justice System.

Respectfully submitted,

Lee R. Scott 261-41-6055

Date: 4/19/21