

20-7922

ORIGINAL

No. \_\_\_\_\_

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED

AUG 21 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Edward S. West - El — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

Jose Trigo  
Robert Kynoch vs.  
Lori Huskisson  
Red Bruce — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. District \_\_\_\_\_ Southern District of Florida

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Edward S. West - El

(Your Name)

c/o 17701 NW 32 Ave

(Address)

Miami Gardens, FL 33056

(City, State, Zip Code)

786 277 6525

786 487-8050

(Phone Number)

## **QUESTIONS PRESENTED**

1. Why was the Petitioner denied the Right to Travel and had to pay a fine?
2. In *Earle v McVeigh*, 91 US 503, 23 L Ed 398, it says “ Every person is entitled to an opportunity to be heard in a court of law upon every question involving his rights or interests, before he is affected by any judicial decision on the question.” Why is the Petitioner not being heard as of yet?

## **LIST OF PARTIES**

All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgement is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Robert Kynoch, Rod Bruce, Lori Huskisson

Jose Trigo

## **RELATED CASES**

Case No.'s 20-12237, 20-12125, 20-12149, 20-12024, 20-12234, & 20-12126

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT.....	5
CONCLUSION.....	6

## **INDEX TO APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A- ORDERING AND DESIGNATION OF REPORTER’S TRANSCRIPTS

APPENDIX B-ORDER TO FILE RESPONSE

APPENDIX C-DENIAL OF WRIT OF CERTIORARI

APPENDIX D-MANDATE

APPENDIX E- REDACTION REQUIREMENTS

APPENDIX F-TRANSFERRAL OF WRIT OF CERTIORARI

APPENDIX G-JUDGEMENT AND PAYMENT

APPENDIX H- FLORIDA UNIFORM TRAFFIC CITATION

APPENDIX I-REQUIREMENT OF PAYMENT

## APPENDIX J- REQUEST OF CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

### CASES

### PAGE NUMBER

Earle v Mcveigh .....Questions Presented

Norton vs Shelby County.....4

Khalid vs California.....5

STATUTES AND RULES.....3

OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A-L to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A-L to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A-L to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the A-L court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was                      ? N/A

? ☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date:                     , and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix           .

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including                      (date) on                      (date) in Application No.   A          .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

? The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 1/9/2020.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A-4.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date:                     , and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix           .

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including                      (date) on                      (date) in Application No.   A          .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Mandate 1/28/20



## **CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED**

- 1. The Treaty of Peace and Friendship of 1836 A.D.**

Article 20 and Article 23

- 2. The Constitution of the United States**  
Article 6, The 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment.

- 3. Article 9 of the Bill of Rights**

- 4. Thompson vs Smith 154 SE 583**

- 5. Asis v. US, 568 F2d 284**

- 6. Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 13 241 of the United States Codes**

- 7. Traffic Light Camera Inadmissible Khalid vs California**

## **STATEMENT OF THE CASE**

On or around July 7, 2020, David J. Smith issued the Petitioner an order to pay to the District of South Florida the Docketing Fees with Notice to that office. Also on June 2, 2020, Angela E. Noble, the clerk of Court for the United States District Southern District of Florida, Issued the Petitioner an Ordering and Designation of Reporter's Transcript. That was an unconstitutional Act. Norton vs Shelby County, 118 U.S. 425. On or around September 26, 2019, the Petitioner's request to proceed in "Forma Pauperis" was denied by Frederico A. Moreno. The State of Florida's Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles Division of Motorists Services issued an order of suspension of drivers license on September 11, 2018 to the Petitioner Edward Shane West-El. The Petitioner has no contract with the State of Florida or with any other segment of the United States that can grant Jurisdiction over human rights; or over political, economic, social and cultural rights of Indigenous Peoples. On April 15, 2019 the Petitioner paid a ticket, suit, court costs in the amount of \$277.00 under threat, duress, and coercion. If a correction is needed from the Neil Carver was the hearing officer on November 1, 2018 and Teresa Pooler errored in her decision in the case. The Petitioner is Moorish American Aboriginal Indigenous Sovereign Man can Invoke Jurisdiction. The Petitioner has the Right to Travel. The Universal declaration of Human Rights 1948, Article 3, Everyone has the Right to life, liberty, and the security of persons. Jose Trigo, Rod Bruce, Lori Huskisson, Robert Kynoch if found guilty are each being sued for \$30,000 for compensatory damages.

## **REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION**

**TRAFFIC LIGHT CAMERA INADMISSIBLE KHALID VS CALIFORNIA.**

The Universal declaration of Human Rights 1948, Article 3, Everyone has the Right to life, liberty, and the security of persons.

## CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward Shene West-EP

Date: 3/8/21