No. 20-7920

ORIGINAL

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
AUG 2 1 2020
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Edward S. West-El — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs. City of Miami Gardens et. al — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Southern District of Florida
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Edward S. West-El
(Your Name)
c/o 17701 NW 32 Ave
(Address)
Miami Gardens, Fl. 33056
(City, State, Zip Code)
786 277 6525
786 487 8050
(Phone Number)

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Why was the Petitioner denied the Right to Travel and had to pay a fine?

2. In Earle v McVeigh, 91 US 503, 23 L Ed 398, it says " Every person is entitled to an opportunity to be heard in a court of law upon every question involving his rights or interests, before he is affected by any judicial decision on the question." Why is the Petitioner not being heard as of yet?

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties do not appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgement is the subject of this petition is as follows:

DAWN GRACE JONES, MICHELLE SAMUEL, SANYA DICKENS, MIAMI GARDENS POLICE DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEE BADGE # 732

RELATED CASES

Case No.'s 20-12237, 20-12125, 20-12149, 20-12024, 20-12234, & 20-12126

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INDEX TO APPENDICES

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES **PAGE NUMBER**

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A-K to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A-K to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A-K to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix A-K to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[ ] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

[ ] For cases from **federal courts:**

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____. 6/10/20

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[ ] For cases from **state courts:**

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 1/21/20 2/6/20.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. The Treaty of Peace and Friendship of 1836 A.D.

   Article 20 and Article 23

2. The Constitution of the United States
   Article 6, The 5th Amendment.

3. Article 9 of the Bill of Rights

4. Thompson vs Smith 154 SE 583

5. Asis v. US, 568 F2d 284

6. Title 18, Part 1, Chapter 13 241 of the United States Codes

7. Traffic Light Camera Inadmissible Khalid vs California

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

In support of this Petition I state for the record; On or around June 10, 2020, Angela Noble, the clerk of court for the United States District Southern District of Florida. Angela Noble issued the Petitioner an Ordering and designation of reporter's transcript [Pursuant to FRAP10 (b)]. That was an unconstitutional act. "An unconstitutional act is not law; it is in legal contemplation, as inoperative as though it had never had been passed." Norton vs Shelby County, 118 U.S.425. On or around September 9, 2019, the Petitioner's " Forma Pauperis" was denied by Ursula Ungaro of the United States District Southern District of Florida. On or around March 28, 2019, The City of Miami Gardens Police Department Employee with the badge #732 issued the Petitioner Edward Shane West-El a failure to comply with a steady Red Light suit, ticket, violation notice. On June 18, 2019, Dawn Garce Jones an Employee of the City of Miami Gardens, Florida issued the Petitioner a Final Judgement ordering the Petitioner to pay $308.00. The Petitioner paid the $308.00 on July 18, 2019 under threat, duress, and coercion. Michelle Samuel and Sanya Dickens were present in the conspiracy as well. The respondents Dawn Grace Jones, Michelle Samuel, Sanya Dickens and the Employee of Miami gardens Police Department with the badge #732 are being sued for $75,000 for compensatory damages and $75,000 in punitive damages in lawful money.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

TRAFFIC LIGHT CAMERA INADMISSIBLE KHALID VS CALIFORNIA.

The Universal declaration of Human Rights 1948, Article 3, Everyone has the Right to life, liberty, and the security of persons.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward Shane Ulett-El

Date: 3/8/21