

20-7765

ORIGINAL

No. _____

FILED

MAR 29 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JARED MARTIN — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

THE PEOPLE — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

JARED MARTIN
(Your Name)

P.O. BOX 6000
(Address)

DELANO, CA 93216
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- ① IS CALIFORNIA VIOLATING THE U.S. CONSTITUTION BY NOT LETTING ME REPRESENT MYSELF IN COURT?
- ② CAN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT MAKE THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA GIVE ME COURT RECORDS?
- ③ CAN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FORCE AN ATTORNEY ON ME I DO NOT WANT?
- ④ ARE CALIFORNIA COURTS VIOLATING MY CIVIL RIGHTS?
- ⑤ ARE THE STATE ATTORNEYS ALLOWED TO SET UP THEIR OWN CLIENTS?
- ⑥ CAN MY LAWYER DO EVERYTHING OPPOSITE OF WHAT I TELL, ASK THEM TO DO?
- ⑦ IS A JURY MEMBER LYING ABOUT KNOWING ME DECEPTION, JUROR DECEPTION? NEW TRIAL GROUNDS?
- ⑧ CAN THE JUDGE TELL THE D.A. WHAT CHARGES TO FILE?
- ⑨ CAN A JUDGE LEGALLY BAR ME FROM USING COURT DOCUMENTS AND POLICE REPORTS AS EVIDENCE?
- ⑩ DO I HAVE THE RIGHT TO REPRESENT MYSELF IN COURT BEYOND THE INITIAL TRIAL?

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

- ① THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
- ② SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA
- ③ SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
- ④ COURT OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT
- ⑤ ATTORNEY ALAN SPEARS (67630)
- ⑥ Judge KATHLEEN BLANCHARD
- ⑦ Judge SHANNON KNIGHT

RELATED CASES

COURT OF APPEAL, SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT CASE NO. B03064
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES CASE NO. MA076747
U.S. DISTRICT COURT CASE NO. CV20-8425-GDW(JEX)
CASE NO. 2:20-CV-67735-MWF-MAA

JARED MARTIN V. R. FISHER JR. CASE NO. 2:20-CV-9527
JARED MARTIN V. R. FISHER JR. CASE NO. 2:19-CV-10839-MWF-MAA
PEOPLE V. MARTIN S266736
PEOPLE V. MARTIN SUPERIOR COURT NO. MA076747

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	U.S. COURT OF APPEALS - DENIED, FRIVOLOUS DISTRICT COURT - DENIED
APPENDIX B	DENIED - ALL MOTIONS DENIED - FOUND GUILTY CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT
APPENDIX C	COURT OF APPEALS - DENIED EVERYTHING EVERY MOTION
APPENDIX D	DENIED REVIEW - DENIED ALL MOTIONS - DENIED ALL WRITS
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
DOUGLAS V. CALIFORNIA (1963) 372 U.S. 353, 83 S. Ct. 814, 9 L. Ed. 2d 811	811
PEOPLE V. STEPHENS (1976) MICH. APP. 33, 246 N.W. 2d 429, 432	429, 432
WEBB V. STATE (TEX. CR. APP. 1976) 533 S.W. 2d 780, 783	780, 783
HATHORN V. STATE (TEX. CR. APP. 1992) 848 S.W. 2d 101, 122-123	101, 122-123
PRILE V. JOHNSON, 334 U.S. 266 [68 S. Ct. 1049, 42 L. Ed. 1356]	1356
MYERS V. JOHNSON (5th Cir 1996) 76 F.3d 1330, 1333-1334	1330, 1333-1334

STATUTES AND RULES

United States Constitution
 26 BTH 14TH AMENDMENTS

OTHER

Simply - A PERSON HAS A GOD GIVEN
 RIGHT TO SPEAK FOR ONESELF, I CAN
 AND DO SPEAK ON MY OWN BEHALF.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 3-17-2001.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

① FIRST - FREE SPEECH, PETITION THE GOVERNMENT, ACCESS TO COURTS

SIXTH - RIGHT TO COUNSEL, IMPARTIAL JURY, HAVE COMPULSORY PROCESS FOR OBTAINING WITNESSES

EIGHTH - CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT
FOURTEENTH - DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION

AMENDMENTS - UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION

② DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE - PEOPLE, POWER TO SELF GOVERN, THROW OFF GOVERNMENT RULE

③ THE BRAUN ACT - RECORDS AND INFORMATION

④ THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT - CRIMINAL HISTORY

⑤ THE DISCOVERY ACT - RIGHT TO DISCOVERY

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

PETITIONER HAS BEEN SET UP AND FRAMED BY CALIFORNIA COURTS, JUDGES, PROSECUTORS AND PUBLIC DEFENDERS. JARED MARTIN HAS BEEN THE VICTIM OF SYSTEMIC RACISM AND CALIFORNIA PRISON INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX. JARED MARTIN HAS HAD HIS CIVIL AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS VIOLATED. THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA AND OTHERS HAVE PURPOSEFULLY, WILLFULLY AND KNOWINGLY LOCKED THE PETITIONER AWAY FOR THINGS HE HAS NOT DONE. THE COURTS OF CALIFORNIA ARE HIDING JARED MARTIN'S INNOCENCE BY REFUSING TO PROVIDE RECORDS, EVIDENCE, DISCOVERY, TRANSCRIPTS OF COURT PROCEEDINGS. JARED MARTIN HAS BEEN SET UP, FRAMED AND RAILROADED BY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ON MULTIPLE OCCASIONS. IF THE PETITIONER IS NOT ALLOWED TO PRESENT THIS CASE, IN FULL VIEW OF THE NATION. THIS WILL CONTINUE TO HAPPEN. CALIFORNIA, COURTS, POLICE, PROSECUTORS ETC. THE CALIFORNIA JUDICIAL SYSTEM ARE SENDING INNOCENT PEOPLE TO PRISON ON PURPOSE. THOSE WHO ARE NOT ALLOWED TO REPRESENT THEMSELVES ARE VICTIMS OF INJUSTICE AND CORRUPTION, I WANT TO REPRESENT MYSELF IN COURT, MILLIONS OF PEOPLE ACROSS AMERICA WANT TO REPRESENT THEMSELVES IN COURT.

DATED 3-29-21
JARED MARTIN COURT # BL1274
KERN VALLEY STATE PRISON
P.O. BOX 6000
DELANO, CA 93216

X
JARED MARTIN
IN PRO-SEA

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

THIS SHOULD BE HEARD BECAUSE THE PEOPLE ARE BEING SCREWED OVER AND LIED TO, THIS JUDICIAL SYSTEM IS NOT WORKING PROPERLY. NO COURT, NO JUDGE, NO LAW, NO ONE, ANYWHERE ON EARTH SHOULD, CAN, OR BE ABLE TO PREVENT SOMEONE, ANYONE FROM TELLING THEIR SIDE OF THE STORY. I HAVE HAD MORE THAN ONE ATTORNEY. INCLUDING ALAN SPEARS (67630) NOT DO THIER BEST WORK FOR ME. THESE LAWYERS LOST ON PURPOSE, THESE MEN LIED TO ME AND ON ME AND SET ME UP AND FRAMED ME. THE COURTS DO THIS TO THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE EVERYDAY ACROSS AMERICA. A LOT OF US ARE IN PRISON FOR THINGS WE HAVE NOT DONE. OTHERS ARE DOING TOO MUCH TIME. A PERSON CAN REPRESENT THEMSELF IN TRIAL COURT, SMALL CLAIMS COURT AND TRAFFIC COURT ETC, WE THE PEOPLE HAVE THE RIGHT TO REPRESENT OURSELVES IN ANY COURT, WE THE PEOPLE HAVE THE RIGHT TO SPEAK FOR OURSELVES.

WITHOUT THE VOICE TO SPEAK OUT THE PEOPLE
ARE LEFT TO TYRANNY AND OPPRESSION. THAT
IS WHAT IS HAPPENING IN THE COURTROOM,
BIAS, PREJUDICE, INTUITLE, RACISM, INTOLERANCE
ARE IN THE COURTROOM. WE THE PEOPLE ARE
BEING TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF. OUR RIGHTS HAVE
BEEN TAKEN AWAY. AMERICA HAS A VOICE,
WE THE PEOPLE SHOULD, CAN AND WILL SPEAK
FOR OURSELVES. I ASK ON BEHALF OF MILLIONS OF
AMERICANS, TELL THE COURTS TO LET US REPRESENT
OURSELVES, TELL THE APPELLATE COURTS AND SUPREME COURTS
TO STOP VIOLATING OUR RIGHTS, RIGHT TO SELF REPRESENTATION,
CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

JARED MARTIN⁶

Date: 3-29-2021