

20-7761

ORIGINAL
CHAMBERS

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

APR 01 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Andrew Indelicato Peterson — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

United States of America — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeal for the Sixth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Andrew Indelicato Peterson
(Your Name)

Fci Manchester, P.O. Box. 4000
(Address)

Manchester, Mn , 40962
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

RECEIVED
APR - 7 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

- Can the district Court Use Post Offense Conduct for crimes that a defendant Never Served Over a Year in Prison to assume a defendant Knew his status Prior to the Federal Offense.
- Should a Plea Agreement be Voided base on a Mandatory element missing out the Plea agreements.
- If a defendant Suggest or States, that he Would have Proceeded to trial had he been aware the Government had to Prove his knowledge of Status at the time of the Federal offense. Would this be enough to Vacate an Remant that defendant Conviction.
- If there is No Confirmation with ones knowledge to Status element in a defendant's Plea Agreement, Will that Plea agreement be Strongly Suggestive of knowledge Of felonie status by defendants.
- What avenue Can defendants take that filed a Previous § 2255 Motion Prior to a New Supreme Court interpretation Rulins.
- Does a defendant have a Right to be Notified by trial court's before accepting a guilty Plea of all essential Elements Concerning being a Prohibited Person.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A United States Court of Appeal for the Sixth Circuit

APPENDIX B Rehearing En banc denied Feb. 18, 2021

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at Sixth Circuit; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

18 U.S.C. § 2, 922(s) 1 § 924(a)(2)

OTHER

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

United States V. Guzman-Merced (18-2146) 1st Cir December 22nd)
18 U.S.C § 922(g)(1)

United States V. Rehaif, 17-9560 (S. Ct. June 21, 2019)

United States V. Gary (No. 18-4578 (4th Cir. March 25, 2020))

United States V. Lockhart 947 F.3d 187 (4th Circuit, 2020)

United States V. Medley, 972 F.3d 399, 2020 U.S. APP Lexis 26721
(4th Cir., Aug 21, 2020)

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was Dec. 17, 2020.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: Feb. 18, 2021, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix B.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

VI 6th Amendment Notice Clause

V 5th Amendment of Due Process clause

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On August 3, 2013 a Superseding indictment was filed on February 10, 2016 Peterson Pleaded Guilty to Count 7 of the Superseding indictment, Possession of ammunition as a felon, On December 7, 2017 Peterson Filed a Pro Se Motion to Vacate his Sentence Pursuant to U.S.C § 2255 Motion, On April 24th 2018 the court denied Peterson § 2255 motion. Peterson Filed a Petition for a Writ of habeas corpus Pursuant to U.S.C 2241 1/10/2020, And was denied on 3/30/2020. Peterson Filed a Motion to Amend/Correct, 4/27/2020, And was denied on 4/29/2020. Peterson Filed a Motion to Appeal that was denied 12/17/2020, Rehearing En banc denied 2/18/2021

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Rehaf v. United States, 588 U.S. 139 Sct 219 (2019), The Government was put on notice it did have to prove what is now known to be all the essential element of 18 U.S.C § 922(g), 924(a)(2) crime. So what paths can inmate who filed a previous § 2255 motion take to find relief. Under the Supreme Court Ruling in Rehaf there must be one. After all, the Constitution Suspension Clause guarantees every person incarcerated in the United States the right to seek the writ of habeas corpus to challenge an unlawful detention. Defendants have pleaded guilty over the years to 922(g), 924(a)(2), without being informed of this status element at the time they formed the plea agreement. Prior to the federal offense, which will raise a question that it will be possibly unlikely they know their knowledge of status at the time of the federal offense base on them just having prior felonies. Even if a probation violation/revocation happened after the federal offense it's no way a defendant will know his status at the time of the federal offense simply because it came after the fact, which is wholly irrelevant. Making assumption after the Government failure to prove a defendant status, this would require courts to guess or read ones mind at the time of the federal offense. It's currently a split in the circuits where some individuals are getting relief and others are not, fundamental fairness and equal protection of law should afford individuals seeking relief a chance to replea in court, or proceed to trial.

Respectful request the Supreme Court to Review the Following
Case and Clear up Conflict involving Conflicts Concerning the
Relevant Question of law.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Arthur R. Stein

Date: 3-28-21