

No. 20-7754

In the Supreme Court of the United States
Spring Term 2020

Robert Roland Yerton, Jr.
Petitioner,
v.
Oklahoma,
Respondent

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to
the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals

Petition for Rehearing

June 24, 2021

Table of Contents

page

Petition for Rehearing

1-3

Conclusion

3

Certificate of Mailing

4

Rule 44 Certificate

5

Petition for Rehearing

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 44.1, Robert Roland Yerton, Jr., Petitioner, Pro se, respectfully petitions for rehearing of the Court's decision issued June 14, 2024 in Yerton v. Oklahoma, No. 20-7754. Mr. Yerton moves this court to grant this petition for rehearing and consider his case with merits briefing and oral arguments. Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 44.1, this petition for rehearing is filed within 25 days of this Court's decision in this case.

Reasons for Granting the Petition

This case is strictly a matter of hearsay. It is at its core the word of the Petitioner against the word of his anger filled, vengeful, vindictive and millennial entitled son. There is no DNA evidence, video recording, other physical evidence, or actual witness to any of the events that the Petitioner has been accused of by his son, Brandon Micheal Roland Yerton a/k/a Brandon Webel.

In denying the Petitioner his certiorari you are supporting the lies of his son, abetting the trial court judge in his many grievous errors and missteps, and endorsing the Tulsa County District Attorney's Office in their decade's long effort to incarcerate those whom they deem unfit for society by whatever means they can: in this case by turning a blind eye to the deliberate perjury of the Petitioner's son and his continued deceit by committing identity theft and fraud upon the Petitioner after his unjust and illegal incarceration.

The Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals made a simple clerical error when applying the Writ of Mandamus in question. The Petitioner believes there was no malicious intent, but the erroneous application of the Mandamus has led to the obstruction of justice by not being able to submit proof of the Petitioner's son's continued manipulation of the courts to his further financial gain.

Conclusion

Mr. Yerton respectfully requests that this Court grant the petition for rehearing and order full briefing on the merits of the case.

Respectfully Submitted,

Robert Roland Yerton, Jr.

Robert Roland Yerton, Jr.

June 24, 2021

Certificate of Mailing

I affirm under the penalty for perjury that I placed the Petitioner's Petition for Rehearing with first-class postage prepaid in the prison mail system addressed to the Supreme Court of the United States Office of the Clerk Washington, D.C. 20543-0001 on Thursday, June 24, 2021.

Robert R. Yerton, Jr.

Robert R. Yerton, Jr.

June 24, 2021

No. 20-7754

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Spring TERM 2020

Robert Roland Yerton, Jr.,
Petitioner,

v.

Oklahoma,
Respondent.

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

RULE 44 CERTIFICATE

As required by the Supreme Court Rule 44.2. I certify that the Petition for Rehearing is limited to "intervening circumstance of a substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented" and that the Petition is presented in good faith and not for delay.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert Roland Yerton, Jr.

Petitioner, Po se

Executed Monday, June 21, 2021