

60 East South Temple Suite 1000 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 (801) 533-9800 www.wnlaw.com

January 29, 2021

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Scott S. Harris Clerk of the Court Supreme Court of the United States 1 First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20543

> Re: Khan et al. v. Merit Medical Systems, Inc. et al. (No. 20-773) Request for 28-day Extension of Time

Dear Mr. Harris:

I am counsel of record for Merit Medical Systems, Inc. and 109 other related respondents in the above-referenced matter, as set forth in the waiver filed with the Court on December 8, 2020. We are in receipt of your January 26 letter requesting a response to the petition for a writ of certiorari by February 25, 2021.

Pursuant to Rule 30.4, we respectfully request that the time for filing the requested response be extended by 28 days, up to and including March 25, 2021. I and my colleague in this case, Mr. Brent Lorimer, have a number of professional obligations in other matters during the next few weeks, including briefing and discovery deadlines in *Bytedance, Ltd. et al. v. Triller, Inc.*, IPR2021-00099 (Pat. Tr. & App. Bd.), *Triller, Inc. v. Bytedance Ltd. et al.*, 6:20-CV-693 (W.D. Tex.), *Bytedance Inc. et al. v. Triller, Inc.*, 3:20-CV-7572 (N.D. Cal.), and *Zeroville Sales & Collections, Inc. v. Nagravision S.A.* (Hon. Wayne D. Brazil, Arbitrator). The requested extension of time is necessary to allow suitable time for us to prepare the requested response, given the press of our other professional obligations. I have corresponded with counsel for the Petitioner, Mr. Herstoff, who does not object to the requested extension of time. Accordingly, we respectfully request that the time for filing the requested response be extended by 28 days, up to and including March 25, 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ David R. Todd

Counsel of Record for Merit Medical Systems, Inc. et al.

cc: Jonathan Herstoff