

DISTRICT COURT 19-1330

No. 20-2637

20-7727

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Hasan Shareef — PETITIONER
(Your Name) **ORIGINIAL**
vs.
Balko Judge to McCune — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

US Courts of Appeals in Philadelphia Pa
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Hasan Shareef
(Your Name)

FILED
APR 07 2021
OFFICE OF THE CLERK SUPREME COURT, U.S.

SCI Forest
(Address)

Po box 307 106 Woodland Dr
(City, State, Zip Code)

Mahanville Pa 16139
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

STATE TOOPER

Did Brian Fallo Butter County Forget
A Search warrant that was never sign
Buy MDT William O'Donnell of Slifer Rock
P2 I got arrested 5-27-16 and never
had a Preliminary Hearing in front of
the Judge who suppose sign a Search warrant
for my arrest I had a Preliminary
Hearing in front MDT William Fullerton
where there NO search warrant from
him I explain this to Judge McCune
Judge Doerr and Both De Terry S
and Mack Lofe which is violation of
my Civil Right and Malicious Prosecution
Did US Laws of Appeal get these decisions
Wrong they never address jurisdictional ISSUES
what so ever was it violation of my Civil
Right if lawyer new about this did nothing
under law

SPARK vs US 435-349

no jurisdiction

Mich vs ~~State~~ U.S. State is Person or NOT

HAI US 58

My Lawyers NOT doing a good job with ~~not to~~ held ~~or~~

Evidence from me tells 12 PC 49

Walters 424 F2d 454 GSBitt 416 F2d 89

Costello 60 F2d 812d 4

Costello 60 F2d 812d 4

Objection to US Laws of Appeals PAGE 4

Objection to US Laws of Appeals PAGE 4

Heck vs Humphrey 512 US 477

I think u CAN sue before case is over

See Shaeel ~~for~~ those brief

Revo 28 F3d 26

Sims 200 F3d 1170

Dont believe me under this case laws

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

10 320 Shaeef vs Butler Newspaper
10 1863 Shaeef vs Fullerton Judge
Shaeef vs Judge o'connell
10-426

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

SPARK vs US 435-349

Mich vs US State is Person or NOT 491 US 58
THIS NOT good CASE IAW NO more last time I check

felis 12 F2 49

WALTERS 424 F2 454

ESBTT 416 F2 89

Costello 60 F2 DC 2d 4

STATUTES AND RULES

of 8 Amendments AND USL 1983 28 USL 1331

14 Amendments and Civil Rights ACT CRUEL UNUSUAL
PUNISHMENT VIOLATION 6 Amendments Faretta Self

Representation 422 US 806 Faretta 5 Amendments DUE PROCESS

t. 880 F2d 1040 Excess Rate 354 FSupp 505 Allear

4 Amendments whether Judge had Jurisdiction IN MY CASE

State vs Hawkins 130 LA APP 126 1973 SPARK vs US 435-349
OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 3-12-21
2-2-21

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

*Write on my brief
This Court Has Jurisdiction*

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

It is violation of Federal and state
law of 8 Amendments USL 1983 28 USL 1331
14 Amendments Civil Rights Act LRUCL unseely
Punishment Violation 6 Amendments 14 Amendments
5 Amendments

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I think I AM ENTITLED TO RELIEF BECAUSE I THINK
they had NO JURISDICTION IN MY CASE UNDER
SARAH VS US 435-349 they DID NOT TAKE IN FRONT
MDJ WHO ISSUE they ALLEGED WARRANT IN MY
CASE my Lawyer LINGLON is the witness IN MY
CASE I think LOP forged + bogus Search warrant
What Bear Keel Me IN JAIL for 100 months see Sarah
Post Sentence Motion And reply brief 3 And Agreements
IN District Court 18-1494 19-1330 And Collection
officers took my law book before trial SAYING
UK SPKE ON IT but never Coming Court violation
DUE PROCESS AND my Lawyer KNEW that Violation
Did not let on it UNDER CASE 140
Walters 424 P2 454 ESB 416 P89 Page 12 P 49
Costello 60 P2 2d 4


REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I feel US Courts of Appeal might got
three decision wrong on jurisdiction ISSUES
and on ~~lawyer~~ ~~case~~ so I can try address
these issues on Civil matter to you
Shaleef

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,



Date: 3 31 21