

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

MARY JO WEIDRICK,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 21-416 (UNA)
)	
)	
JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR. <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Plaintiff, appearing *pro se*, has filed a Complaint against President Joe Biden and the United States Congress and an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The court will grant the application and dismiss this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) (requiring dismissal of a case upon a determination that the complaint is frivolous).

Plaintiff brings this action primarily “to allow” her “to immediately confer with her attorney of 3-4 years, Mark J. Geragos, and to immediately be deposed by the Manhattan District Attorney, Cyrus R. Vance Jr., for purposes of testifying before the Grand Jury to indict, arrest, try; and imprison Defendants immediately.” Compl. at 4. Plaintiff alleges, among other things, that “Defendants, named and unnamed, have engaged in terrorist activity 24/7 for over 31 years and continue to do so[.]” *Id.* Such activities include “violently raping” plaintiff’s “brain 24/7 with mind-reading equipment,” “slandering” her, “slowly trying to kill her[;] and simultaneously making a joke of Plaintiff and this terrorism of her.” *Id.* In addition, plaintiff alleges far-reaching “smear campaigns against her” by national and local media, “seemingly all federal intelligence agencies,” state and local officials, and social media. *Id.* at 5.

Complaints premised on fantastic or delusional scenarios or supported wholly by allegations lacking “an arguable basis either in law or in fact” are subject to dismissal as frivolous. *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); *see Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 33 (1992) (“[A] finding of factual frivolousness is appropriate when the facts alleged rise to the level of the irrational or the wholly incredible[.]”); *Best v. Kelly*, 39 F.3d 328, 330-31 (D.C. Cir. 1994) (a court may dismiss claims that are “essentially fictitious”-- for example, where they suggest “bizarre conspiracy theories . . . [or] fantastic government manipulations of their will or mind”) (citations and internal quotation marks omitted)); *Crisafi v. Holland*, 655 F.2d 1305, 1307-08 (D.C. Cir. 1981) (“A court may dismiss as frivolous complaints . . . postulating events and circumstances of a wholly fanciful kind.”). The instant complaint satisfies this standard and therefore will be dismissed. A separate order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

Date: March 4, 2021

s/
AMIT P. MEHTA
United States District Judge

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

3/4/2021
Clerk, U.S. District & Bankruptcy
Court for the District of Columbia

MARY JO WEIDRICK,

Plaintiff,

v.

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR. *et al.*,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 21-416 (UNA)

ORDER

For the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, it is

ORDERED that plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* [Dkt. # 2] is
GRANTED; it is further

ORDERED that the complaint and this case are **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

This is a final appealable Order.

Date: March 4, 2021

_____/s/_____
AMIT P. MEHTA
United States District Judge

MIME-Version:1.0

From:DCD_ECFNotice@dcd.uscourts.gov

To:DCD_ECFNotice@localhost.localdomain

Bcc:

--Case Participants:

--Non Case Participants: Clerk of Court, US Court of Appeals (dcnoa@cadc.uscourts.gov)

--No Notice Sent:

Message-Id:<7031162@dcd.uscourts.gov>

Subject:Activity in Case 1:21-cv-00416-UNA WEIDRICK v. BIDEN et al Order on Motion for Leave to Appeal in forma pauperis

Content-Type: text/html

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court

District of Columbia

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 3/19/2021 at 3:37 PM and filed on 3/19/2021

Case Name: WEIDRICK v. BIDEN et al

Case Number: 1:21-cv-00416-UNA

Filer:

WARNING: CASE CLOSED on 03/04/2021

Document Number: No document attached

Docket Text:

MINUTE ORDER: It is hereby ORDERED that plaintiff's [7] Motion for Leave to Appeal in forma pauperis is GRANTED. Signed by Judge Amit P. Mehta on 3/19/21. (psu2)

1:21-cv-00416-UNA Notice has been electronically mailed to:

1:21-cv-00416-UNA Notice will be delivered by other means to::

MARY JO WEIDRICK
1300 Rhodes Avenue
Sarasota, FL 34239