

No. _____

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES OF AMERICA

Carlos Lorenzo Jackson - Petitioner

v.

STATE OF FLORIDA - Respondent(s)

APPENDIX TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Carlos Lorenzo Jackson Pro-Se
Harrer Correctional Institution
6901 State Road #62
Bowling Green, Florida 33834

INDEX TO APPENDIX

Page

(1). Exhibit-A. Order of Florida Supreme Court denying petition for writ of mandamus. Case No. SC70-1098

(2). Exhibit-B. Order of Florida Supreme Court denying initial petition for writ of mandamus. Case No. SC79-5716

(3). Exhibit-C. Composite of Clerk of the Court Minute Book Entries Case No. 77-34723.

(4). Exhibit-D1 and D2. Composite of written orders vacating judgments and sentences of predecessor court Case Nos. #77-34723 and #78-1751

5). Exhibits E1 and E2. Composite copies of written orders entering second convictions in Case Nos. 77-34723 / 78-1751

6). Exhibit-F. Composite ~~copy~~ of written order granting post conviction relief after remand for evidentiary hearing on claims asserted in motion

EXHIBIT

A

Supreme Court of Florida

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 22, 2020

CASE NO.: SC20-1098

Lower Tribunal No(s):

131977CF0347230001XX

CARLOS LORENZO JACKSON

vs. STATE OF FLORIDA

Petitioner(s)

Respondent(s)

The petition for writ of mandamus is hereby denied because petitioner raises the same issues as in *Jackson v. Fla. Dep't of Corr.*, No. SC19-576, 2019 WL 3229552 (Fla. July 18, 2019). Cf. *Topps v. State*, 865 So. 2d 1253 (Fla. 2004). No motion for rehearing or reinstatement will be entertained by this Court.

The Court hereby expressly retains jurisdiction to pursue any possible sanctions against petitioner. *See generally* Fla. R. App. P. 9.410(a).

Since 1999, the petitioner has initiated twenty other cases in this Court pertaining to Eleventh Judicial Circuit Court case numbers 131977CF0347230001XX and 131978CF0017510001XX. *See Jackson v. State*, No. SC20-1098 (pending mandamus petition); *Jackson v. Fla. Dep't of Corr.*, No. SC19-576, 2019 WL 3229552 (Fla. July 18, 2019) (mandamus petition denied, petitioner sanctioned for frivolous pleadings); *Jackson v. Junior*, No. SC18-1289, 2018 WL 5276742 (Fla. Oct. 23, 2018) (mandamus petition transferred); *Jackson v. State*, No. SC18-859, 2018 WL 2464953 (Fla. June 1, 2018) (notice to invoke dismissed); *Jackson v. Jones*, No. SC17-201, 2017 WL 1398594 (Fla. Apr. 19, 2017) (habeas petition dismissed in part, denied in part); *Jackson v. Jones*, No. SC17-53, 2017 WL 128179 (Fla. Jan. 13, 2017) (notice of appeal transferred); *Jackson v. State*, No. SC16-727, 2016 WL 1735014 (Fla. May 2, 2016) (notice to invoke dismissed); *Jackson v. Cannon*, 160 So. 3d 895 (Fla. 2014) (table) (No. SC14-2013) (habeas petition dismissed); *Jackson v. Crews*, No. SC13-629 (Fla. May 31, 2013) (habeas petition transferred); *Jackson v. Crews*, 114 So. 3d 180 (Fla. 2013) (table) (No. SC12-2001) (habeas petition dismissed); *Jackson v. Tucker*, 73 So. 3d 760 (Fla. 2011) (table) (No. SC11-1419) (habeas petition dismissed); *Jackson v. Circuit Court of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit of Florida*, 46

EXHIBIT-A

So. 3d 566 (Fla. 2010) (table) (Nos. SC10-1879 and SC10-1883) (two notices to invoke dismissed); *Jackson v. Circuit Court of the Eleventh Judicial Circuit of Florida*, No. SC10-175 (Fla. March 17, 2010) (quo warrant petition transferred); *Jackson v. State*, 973 So. 2d 1121 (Fla. 2007) (table) (No. SC07-2210) (all writs petition dismissed); *Jackson v. State*, No. SC02-1849 (Fla. Oct. 22, 2002) (habeas petition transferred); *Jackson v. State*, 823 So. 2d 124 (Fla. 2002) (table) (No. SC02-905) (mandamus petition denied); *Jackson v. Moore*, 797 So. 2d 586 (Fla. 2001) (table) (No. SC01-1111) (habeas petition denied); *Jackson v. Moore*, 786 So. 2d 579 (Fla. 2001) (table) (No. SC00-2365) (habeas petition denied); *Jackson v. State*, No. SC60-96365 (Fla. Sept. 1, 1999) (notice to invoke dismissed); *Jackson v. Singletary*, No. SC60-95147 (Fla. Mar. 26, 1999) (notice of appeal dismissed).

The Court has chosen to sanction pro se petitioners who have abused the judicial process and otherwise misused this Court's limited judicial resources by filing frivolous, non-meritorious, or otherwise inappropriate filings related to their convictions and sentences. Such petitioners have been barred from initiating further proceedings in this Court unless their pleadings, motions, or other requests for relief were filed under the signature of a member of The Florida Bar in good standing. *See, e.g., Steele v. State*, 14 So. 3d 221 (Fla. 2009); *Pettway v. McNeil*, 987 So. 2d 20 (Fla. 2008); *Tate v. McNeil*, 983 So. 2d 502 (Fla. 2008); *Rivera v. State*, 728 So. 2d 1165 (Fla. 1998).

In appearing that the petitioner has abused the judicial process by filing numerous pro se filings in this Court that are either meritless or not appropriate for this Court's review, the Court now takes action. Therefore, Carlos Lorenzo Jackson is hereby directed to show cause on or before October 12, 2020, why he should not be barred from filing any pleadings, motions, or other requests for relief in this Court related to Case Nos. 131977CF0347230001XX and 131978CF0017510001XX unless such filings are signed by a member of The Florida Bar in good standing. The petitioner is also directed to show cause why, pursuant to section 944.279(1), Florida Statutes, a certified copy of the Court's findings should not be forwarded to the appropriate institution for disciplinary procedures pursuant to the rules of the Florida Department of Corrections as provided in section 944.09, Florida Statutes.


POLSTON, LABARGA, LAWSON, MUÑIZ, and COURIEL, JJ., concur.


CASE NO.: SC20-1098

Page Three

A True Copy

Test:


John A. Tomasino
Clerk, Supreme Court



ks

Served:

MICHAEL W. MERVINE
CARLOS LORENZO JACKSON
HON. HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK

Supreme Court of Florida

No. SC20-1098

CARLOS LORENZO JACKSON,
Petitioner,

vs.

STATE OF FLORIDA,
Respondent.

December 31, 2020

PER CURIAM.

This case is before the Court on the petition of Carlos Lorenzo Jackson for a writ of mandamus. We have jurisdiction. *See* art. V, § 3(b)(8), Fla. Const. By order dated September 22, 2020, we denied Jackson's petition pursuant to *Topps v. State*, 865 So. 2d 1253 (Fla. 2004). *See Jackson v. State*, No. SC20-1098 (Fla. Sept. 22, 2020). Concurrent with the denial of the petition, we expressly retained jurisdiction to pursue possible sanctions against Jackson. *Id.*; *see* Fla. R. App. P. 9.410(a) (Sanctions; Court's Motion).

Jackson was convicted in the Eleventh Judicial Circuit (Miami-Dade County) case number 131977CF0347230001XX on three counts of kidnapping,

two counts of robbery with a deadly weapon, and two counts of sexual battery with a weapon or by force, for which he was sentenced to life imprisonment on April 20, 1984. Jackson was also convicted on one count of burglary of an occupied structure in Miami-Dade County, case number 131978CF0017510001XX, for which he was sentenced to five years' imprisonment on April 20, 1984. It is unclear whether Jackson appealed his convictions and sentences.

Jackson began filing petitions with the Court in 1999. Since that time, he has filed twenty-one previous petitions or notices, and all of these filings have been related to his convictions and sentences for the above-noted circuit court cases. We have never granted the relief sought in any of Jackson's filings, which have all been denied, dismissed, or transferred by the Court. This petition was no different. We denied the petition and directed Jackson to show cause why he should not be barred from filing any further requests for relief and referred to the Department of Corrections for possible disciplinary action pursuant to section 944.279, Florida Statutes (2019).

Jackson filed a response to the order to show cause in which he asserts that his claims are meritorious, so he should not be sanctioned. Upon due consideration of Jackson's response, we conclude that it fails to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed. Based on his persistent history of filing pro se petitions that were meritless or otherwise inappropriate for this Court's review, Jackson has

abused the judicial process and burdened this Court's limited judicial resources.

We further conclude that Jackson's mandamus petition filed in this case is a frivolous proceeding brought before this Court by a state prisoner. *See* § 944.279(1), Fla. Stat. (2019).

Accordingly, the Clerk of this Court is hereby instructed to reject any future pleadings, petitions, motions, documents, or other filings submitted by Carlos Lorenzo Jackson that are related to case numbers 131977CF0347230001XX or 131978CF0017510001XX, unless such filings are signed by a member in good standing of The Florida Bar. Counsel may file on Jackson's behalf if counsel determines that the proceedings may have merit and can be brought in good faith.

Furthermore, because we have found Jackson's petition to be frivolous, we direct the Clerk of this Court, pursuant to section 944.279(1), Florida Statutes (2019), to forward a copy of this opinion to the Florida Department of Corrections institution or facility in which Jackson is incarcerated.

No motion for rehearing or clarification will be entertained by the Court.

It is so ordered.

CANADY, C.J., and POLSTON, LABARGA, LAWSON, MUÑIZ, COURIEL, and GROSSHANS, JJ., concur.

Original Proceeding – Mandamus

Carlos Lorenzo Jackson, pro se, Bowling Green, Florida,

for Petitioner

No appearance for Respondent

EXHIBIT

B

Supreme Court of Florida

THURSDAY, JULY 18, 2019

CASE NO.: SC19-576

Lower Tribunal No(s).:

131977CF0347230001XX

CARLOS LORENZO JACKSON vs. FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS

Petitioner(s)	Respondent(s)
---------------	---------------

The petition for writ of mandamus is hereby denied as successive. *See Jenkins v. Wainwright*, 322 So. 2d 477, 478 (Fla. 1975) (declaring that once a petitioner seeks relief in a particular court by means of a petition for extraordinary writ, he has picked his forum and is not entitled to a second or third opportunity for the same relief by the same writ in a different court).

The Court hereby retains jurisdiction to pursue any possible sanctions. See Fla. R. App. P. 9.410(a) (Sanctions; Court's motion). Petitioner is hereby directed to show cause on or before August 2, 2019, why, pursuant to section 944.279(1), Florida Statutes (2018), a certified copy of the Court's findings should not be forwarded to the appropriate institution for disciplinary procedures pursuant to the rules of the Florida Department of Corrections as provided in section 944.09, Florida Statutes (2018).

CANADY, C.J., and POLSTON, LABARGA, and LAWSON, JJ., concur.
LAGOA, LUCK, and MUÑIZ, JJ., would deny the petition for writ of mandamus without issuance of the order to show cause.

A True Copy
Test:


EXHIBIT-8

CASE NO.: SC19-576

Page Two



John A. Tomasino
Clerk, Supreme Court



kc

Served: —

KENNETH SCOTT STEELY
CARLOS LORENZO JACKSON
HON. HARVEY RUVIN, CLERK
HON. JOHN CHARLES SCHLESINGER, JUDGE

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**