

ORIGINAL

20-7435

IN THE

FILED
JAN 07 2021

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

STEVEN GENE KNICKERBOCKER - PETITIONER

VS

WISCONSIN ETC - RESPONDENTS

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

EASTEREN DISRICT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIOPRARI

STEVEN KNICKERBOCKER

1006 COUNTY RD EE

REDGRANITE, WI 54970

920 566 2600

Redgranite prison

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

VIOLATING Federal law, then sever beating Knickerbocker in a cell, by Sgt Wilson and 6 deputies, in Outagamie jail. Judge Vincent R. Biskupic had me beaten in the cell and refused me healthcare there and at RGCI where Cythinth Barter refused Healthcare and throw me in the Hole, where my body was severely Bruised and endangered my life. Violating Sinclair v, United States 1929. The Bruising was do bad, that Knickerbocker should have been brought to the Hospital, the five false statements and 3 verbal false statements, and the destruction of the evidence , no state shall enforce Sinclair v, United States 1929, no unusual punishment shall be enforced by any State? In the interest of Justice Chuck Stertz and Dana J. Johnson should be charged for violating Hubbard v US and Sinclair v US, which Knickerbocker had security take pictuers of his legs. ADA Chuck Stertz, knowing full well that this prosecutorial misconduct is a federal crime, in the name justice Dana J. Johnson and Stertz should be arrested for almost killing me in Outagamie Jail, by Vincent Biskupic

LIST OF PARTIES

1 Chuck Stertz	7 Leonard Kachinski
2 Dana J Johnson	8 Scott Walker
3 Vincent R Biskupic	9 Tommy Thompson
4 William Atkinson	10 Brad Shimmel
5 Jeffrey Frolich	11 Steven Johnson
6 Heather Kavanaugh	12 Kelli Thompson

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW.....	No Opinions have been given as of yet
JURISDICTION.....	Federal, 18 USCS 1001 and 18 us code 1001
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED, Yes	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	several, 7 th refused my Writ of Mandamus
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT...no other court will rule on it.	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A 7th Cir. Denied the Mandamus and Certiorari by 3

Judges

**APPENDIX B Eastern he is a man.Denied, Lynn Adelman, because I
thought it was a woman,**

**APPENDIX C Nothing from the State of Wisconsin, out of their
Jurisdiction**

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
Olmstead V. United States, 227 US 438	20.35
Supreme Court of WI \2012\2013 WI 13 State V. Avery: Madison I crunch 137; 2L Ed. 60(1803)	36
State V. Tkacz 2002 WI app 281, 258 Wis. 2d 611; 654 N.W. 2d 37.	20, 35
23,31	
State V. Cobbs 221 Wis. 2d 101	31
Buckley V Fitzsimons 7th cir	40
Wis Stat 972.09 Hostile witnesses, inconstant statements; 943.32 Robbery (1)(a)*(3); 939.49 (1) Defense of property privileged to threaten or intentionally use force.	
State V. Medina 2006 Wi App 76	
Article 4 § 1 of the United Sates Constitution; full faith and credit shall given in each State to public acts, records, and Judicial proceedings every other State. And the Congress may by general laws prescribed the manner in which such acts, records, and proceedings shall be proved and the effects thereof.	
Brown V. Mississippi 297 U.S. 278, 286, 80 L. Ed. 682, 56 S. Ct 461(1936) in addition, in Malloy V. Hogan 378 U.S. 1,7,12 L. Ed. 2d 653, 84 S. Ct 1489 (1964). 461(1936) in addition, in Malloy V. Hogan 378 U.S. 1,7,12 L. Ed. 2d 653, 84 S. Ct 1489 (1964).	
Ake V. Oklahoma	

Brady v Maryland**State V. Wolff, 171 wis 2d 161, 167, 491 N.W. 2d 498, 501 (Ct. App 1992)**

28

State V. Lettice of his right of counsel and Due process...but in Law where came from, Perversion of Judicial machinery Id at 346. 548 N.W. 2d at 820**Weeks V. United States (1914).****Irvine V. California (1954).****Miranda V. United States****Sinclair v, United States 1929.****Hubbard V. United States No 94-172 Supreme Court of the United States 514 U.S. 695; 115 S. CT 1754; 131 L. Ed 2d 779; (1995), the coverage of 18 U.S.C.S. § 1001****Strickland v. Washington 466 US 668, 687 (1984)****State V. Powers 216 Wis. 2d 116, 573 N.W. 2d 901, 11****State V. Payano-Roman 2006 WI 47,290 Wis. 2d 380, 714 N.W. 2d 548,**

11

State V. Frohn No 87-0671-cr-nm, 11**New Berlin V. Cerrillo 174 Wis. 2d 599, 174 Wis. 2d 599, 501 N.W. 2d 469,**

11

State V. Williams 2001 WI 21,p18,241 Wis. 2d 631, 623N.W.2d 106,

11

State V. Horngren 2000 Wi. app 177, p7' 238 Wis 2d 347, 617 N.W.2d 508

11

California v Trombetta 467 U.S. 489 8.9.10**state v Hahn 132 wis 2d 351, 392 N.W. 2d 467(CT app 1986) 971.2(1)****United States V. Poole, 407 F. 3d 767,774 (6th Cir. 2005) Citing United States V.**

The Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and 14th and article 1 section 7 & 8 of the Wisconsin Constitution, as well as a violation of SCR 20:1.9, AND OF THE United States constriction

The United States Constitution under fire by a state, the use of allegations and hearsay destroying the 5th amendment and the use of Wisconsin admin code public defender 5; 01 and 5: 02 wiping out the criminals and citizens right to an attorney under Gideon and Brady, all criminals and civilians are allowed an attorney

The right to Habeas Corpus shall not be abridged unless a time of war, and that every trial shall be reexamined in any U. S. court. Under 28 U.S.C.S1443 anything that violates federal law can be thrown into any U.S. Court without exhausting it in a state court. 28 U.S.S.C. 1251, 1252,1254 Habeas Corpus anything exhausted in a state court can be brought into Federal court

Other constitution of the United States no state, shall enforce any cruel or unease punishment

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below

OPINIONS BELOW

for cases from federal courts

The opinion of the United States court of Appeals papers at Appendix B to Petition and is:

Is not published, for the three Judges denied the writ of Mandamus and of Certiorari

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix A to the Petition and is

Dismissed without prejudice, failure to pay, and is online Stertz V Knickerbocker, is unpublished, the use of false statements

for cases from State Court to review the merits appear at Appendix D to the Petition and is ,

Unpublished for the Courts will not review the case or give an opinion of the case so is not attached

The opinion of the Appeals court of Wisconsin appears in the appendix to the petition and is

Jurisdiction

For cases from Federal courts:

The date on which the highest court decided my case was the 7th cir of Chicago, ill, and refused to rule or make a decision on the case, of Writ of Mandamus 2019, refilled 20 CV 109

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case and the date was 2019, under 19-2918, 2:19 CV 1020 jps, 18 cv 805, NOW 20 cv 109, back in Eastern

The Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. 1254(1).

For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was never heard, even though it has the seal of the United Stated court stamp in the left hand corner when I first mailed it to the Supreme Court of the United States of America, they say that they cannot decided case that are a question of Federal law, and they wonder why there prisons are full, suppressing the 14th amendment by Judges in these Kangaroo courts.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Wisconsin Administration Code Public Defender 5:01 and 5:02, Except as provided in Sub 2 all SPD attorneys shall act as adversary condition in their representation to indigent clients.

Wiping out the right to counsel by the 6th and 14th amendment, also the Gideon Rule set forth by the United States Supreme Court of the United States of America, this is how the state can wipeout all the US laws, and the United States Constitution, which Charles Stertz did by lying under oath in a Scheme seen before in 08 cm 19.

Allegation Law wipes out the Due Process of law and inflicts US Code 1001 because of the lying under oath and destroys Article 6 of the United States Constitution, and under Medina's rights under the 5th, 6th and 14th and Article 1 sec 7&8 of the Wisconsin Constitution as well SCR 20:1,9

Article 7 suits of Common law, where the value of the right of trial by jury, Shall be preserved, and no fact, tried by Jury, shall be reexamined in any court of the United States, Judges in Wisconsin are refusing the facts, to be heard to the Jury, and suppressing the 14th amendment. " suppressing the facts to be heard, so the DA can lie under oath, and the Attorney has to help him lie under oath, making them party to the crime.

STATEMENTS OF THE CASE

All Persons born or Naturalized in the United States, and are Subject to the Jurisdiction thereof are citizens of the United States and of the state where in they reside, No State shall (Wisconsin) shall make or enforce any law which, shall abridge the privileges or immunities of Life, Liberty, or Property, without the due process of law.

Nor deprive any person, within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the law. To Clarify, when we use allegations we wipe out the Due Process of Law, which is unconstitutional. For any person, Judge or DA can lie under oath out of personal revenge and makes Cops easy to be manipulated, 17 CF399 here Charles Chuck Stertz is telling the cop Mark Hennen to lie under oath and telling the attorney Heather Kavanaugh to tamper with evidence, then getting Leonard Kachinski to file a no merit report saying that the false statements did not have a bearing on the trial, knowing fair well that they destroyed the right top trial and the right to the jury clause of the United States Constitution, and no State shall take away any citizens right to vote.

Tyranny the Abuse of Absolute power to throw people into prison, each person lied in each document.

its own laws, or worse, its' disregard of the charter of its' own existence. As Mr. Justice Brandeis, dissenting, said in *Olmstead V. United States*, 227 US 438. fourth amendment unreasonable search with no probable cause. Just like in 2016-CM-1113.

Hard to win a case when everybody is lying and the DDA is telling police to lie. See 2017-CF-399.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

For almost killing me when sgt Wilson and six deputys beat me in the cell violating Sinclair v us 1929

CONCLUSIN

Steven G. Knickerbocker 4/17/ 2020
The writ for a certiorari should be granted

PROOF OF SERVICE

THE EASTERN COURT AND THE 7TH CIRCUIT HAVE ALREADY BEEN NOTIFYED, BUT UNDER PRLA THE MONEY MUST BE PAID, OR YOUR CASE IS DISMISSED. I WAS TOLD BY JP STADMUELLER TO FILE WHEN I GOT OUT. THIS VIOLATE FEDERAL LAW AND YOU ARE A FEDERAL COURT, DO YOUR JOB, MARSHAL SHALL COME AND DELIVER ME TO FEDERAL COURT, SO AS THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, CAN REWRITE LAW AND CODE.

No. 20-1827

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

STEVEN G. KNICKERBOCKER-PETITIONER
VS
WISCONSIN ET AL—RESPONDENT(S)


PROOF OF SERVIVE

I, Steven Gene Knickerbocker, do swear or declare that on this date, March 16th, 2020, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have served the enclosed MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS and PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI on each party to the above proceeding or that part's counsel, and on every person required to be served, by depositing and envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

All names, and the Attorney General of Wisconsin, have been served these papers, from the 7th cir, this has been revisited each year when I get enough money to mail it in, this should have been heard in 2017 by Lynn Adelman-said need 350 to hear case, because I am in Prison, and PRLA is blocking me. For this violated Federal law.

I, Declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct



1-5-2020