

20-5994

NO.

IN The Supreme Court of The United States

Khayree Smith El - Petitioner

STATE of Texas, Respondent

WRIT of National Habeas Corpus

STATUS AND JURISDICTIONAL CHALLENGE

Government of Jurisdiction

ORIGINAL

Khayree Smith El

Moorish American In propria persona sui juris

Ferguson Unit

12120 Savage Dr

Midway, TX 75852

FILED

DEC 16 2020

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- A) What branch of law authorized states to apply abolished slave labels (Negro, Black, colored) to any person of African descent after 1865? This act reinstates such persons as chattel property and reopens the institution of slavery under colorable constitutional amendments.
- B) Are blacks 'slaves' or otherwise 'persons', as used in the 14th amendment, and how can they be made 1st class citizens without their inalienable Free National descendant name of their forefathers?
- C) As for blacks with criminal records, what crimes can 'property' commit which it's owner, the slave master, is not accountable for in a court of law?
- D) If one can produce a (black) 'slave'. the same one must also produce the (black) slave owner.

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

Relief Sought

To commute petitioner's sentence, overturn conviction and release petitioner in his own right and proper person. The relief sought is what is required by law from this court.

VERIFICATION

That I affirm under penalty of perjury under common law of America, without "UNITED STATES", under laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my current information, knowledge and belief, per 28 USC 1746(l).

Certificate of Service

A true and correct copy has been served to: Clerk of the U.S. Supreme Court, One 1st St NE, Washington, DC 20543

Date 12-16-20

Wayne D. Beckel

REASON FOR GRANTING PETITION

THE STATE has knowingly committed the Federal crime of 'denationalization' by applying the abolished slave label of 'black' against me. All people who are Free Nationals are born with the inalienable right to inherent the nationality of their forefathers i.e. Mexican, Moorish, etc. Any act, lawful or disguised, which deprives a person or people of this birthright given to them by their Creator is an act of denationalization and genocide because it places them outside of the constitutional protection of the law. These are first degree criminal violations for any government to enact upon a people under colorable amendments to its constitutional laws. This confirmation is in dire violation of the U.S. Constitution Article 1, section 9 (clause 3) and 10, which are Ex Post Facto and the courts that enforce these laws are criminally liable. Fact: All slave names, slave owners and slaves were legally abolished in 1865 via the 13th Amendment. The Slave identifying marks of Negro, black, colored, etc. which were given to those enslaved were also voided with their institution because these names that were applied to captured and imported African Moors were 'demurable' and placed them out of their proper person to be treated unfairly and unjustly. Hence, all 'blacks' are lawfully 3/5 of a person (slave). The Supreme laws of the U.S. judicially uphold there can be no legal proceeding without the establishment of 1) Proper status and 2) correct jurisdiction. Jurisdiction cannot be sustained by a lower court. The claim of the 13th Amendment to abolish all entities of slavery (slaves, slave owner and slave names, e.g. Negro, Black, Colored) now become Ex post Facto in the 14th Amendment where it then declares the same Negro, Black and colored slaves as 'citizens' disguised under the word person and made subject to the jurisdiction. This claim gives rise to the legal conflict between freedom and slavery which is a constitutional issue. The Supreme court, empowered by the U.S. constitution is the only court that can address this matter of proper jurisdiction, denationalization and slavery. To NOT grant this petition would express that the States have been lawfully authorized by this supreme court (per the constitution) and Congress to reinstate the institution of slavery.

REASON FOR NOT APPLYING TO DISTRICT COURT

PRIOR to adjudication I was denationalized through unlawful procedures and given the slave label of 'black'. Per U.S. constitution article 1, section 2(clause 3), all blacks are $\frac{3}{5}$ of a person(slave). And per U.S. supreme court decision of Dred Scott v Sandford, "The Black Man has no rights that true U.S. citizens are bound to respect". Thus, 'black' is not proper status and only the proper status can be heard in the proper jurisdiction. Being 'black' is not a district court or superior court issue. In fact, the 'black' label would leave any court in want of jurisdiction except the U.S. Supreme court. Under the Supreme court ruling of Hagar v Lavine jurisdiction cannot be sustained by a lower court or entertain and decide any claim of conflict between State and Federal Laws. This ruling also expresses that the conflict itself is a constitutional matter regarding proper jurisdiction. The Claim that the 13th Amendment abolishes all entities of slavery(Slaves, slave owner and slave names, e.g. negro, black, colored, etc.) now becomes Ex Post Facto in the 14th Amendment which then declare the same negro, black and colored slaves as 'citizens' disguised under the word 'person' and made subject to the jurisdiction. This claim gives rise to the legal conflict between slavery and freedom and is itself a constitutional matter. District courts do not have authority to decide constitutional matters raised in this habeas corpus, ONLY the U.S. Supreme court can correct the matter. Any application to district courts, per article 3, section 1 and 2 of the U.S. Constitution, regarding jurisdictional challenges and proper status would be unconstitutional, as district courts are only delegated limited authority and cannot lawfully act on or even respond to the above matters. The State, via its district courts, are operating under 'assumable jurisdiction', denationalization and reopening the Institution of slavery.

CONCLUSION

THE PETITION FOR WRIT OF NATIONAL HABEAS CORPUS SHOULD BE GRANTED

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

Wm. J. Stettinius

IN PROPRIA PERSONA SUI JURIS

Date: 12-16-20